Evaluation of PCR Primer Selectivity and Phylogenetic Specificity by Using Amplification of 16S rRNA Genes from Betaproteobacterial Ammonia-Oxidizing Bacteria in Environmental Samples
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The effect of primer specificity for studying the diversity of ammonia-oxidizing betaproteobacteria (βAOB) was evaluated. βAOB represent a group of phylogenetically related organisms for which the 16S rRNA gene approach is especially suitable. We used experimental comparisons of primer performance with water samples, together with an in silico analysis of published sequences and a literature review of clone libraries made with four specific PCR primers for the βAOB 16S rRNA gene. With four aquatic samples, the primers Nita/NitB produced the highest frequency of ammonia-oxidizing-bacterium-like sequences compared to clone libraries with products amplified with the primer combinations βAMOF/βAMOr, βAMOF/Nso1255g, and Nita/Nso1225g. Both the experimental examination of ammonia-oxidizing-bacterium-specific 16S rRNA gene primers and the literature search showed that neither specificity nor sensitivity of primer combinations can be evaluated reliably only by sequence comparison. Apparently, the combination of sequence comparison and experimental data is the best approach to detect possible biases of PCR primers. Although this study focused on βAOB, the results presented here more generally exemplify the importance of primer selection and potential primer bias when analyzing microbial communities in environmental samples.

Microbial ecology has undergone a profound change in the last two decades in terms of methods employed for the analysis of natural communities. Emphasis has shifted from culturing to the analysis of signature molecules, in particular specific gene sequences. This approach often relies on amplification of target sequences by use of the PCR (32). The outcome of a PCR can be affected by factors as diverse as the biases associated with cell lysis and nucleic acid extraction, the PCR conditions, the abundance of the target sequence, and the choice of primers. Differences in the specificity (rejection of nontarget organisms) and sensitivity (discrimination of target organisms) of the primers have an effect on the detection of specific groups of microorganisms in environmental samples. Consequently, the selection of the appropriate primers for PCR is important for the outcome of these studies. Although primer sensitivity and specificity can be partially studied by in silico approaches, ultimately experimental evaluation is essential to validate the performance of the different primer pairs for PCR.

In order to analyze the influence of primer specificity and sensitivity on diversity studies, it is necessary to select a group of microorganisms for which enough information has been compiled. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) represent one of the bacterial groups for which the 16S rRNA gene approach has been successfully used (4, 17, 23, 30, 37, 48). AOB and the recently discovered ammonia-oxidizing archaea are autotrophic microorganisms that carry out the first step in nitrification (19, 27, 46). AOB are divided into two monophyletic groups based on their 16S rRNA gene sequences (14, 38, 39, 45). The first group belongs to the betaproteobacteria (βAOB) and includes clusters of Nitrosomonas (including Nitrosococcus mobilis) and Nitrospira (including Nitrosolobus and Nitrosovibrio) species. The second group, affiliated with the gammaproteobacteria, contains Nitrosococcus oceanii and Nitrosococcus halophilus. Due to their phylogenetic coherence, several 16S rRNA gene primers or probes for the specific detection of AOB by PCR or fluorescence in situ hybridization have been published (13, 15, 24, 30, 31, 36, 44, 47, 48, 50, 52). Some of these primers and probes were designed at the beginning of the molecular era, when only a limited number of sequences were available (30, 48, 49).

Our picture from AOB communities in different habitats is probably incomplete and biased by the possible limitations of PCR methods (49). Therefore, comparative analyses of the specificity and sensitivity of PCR with different primer combinations is required to interpret the results from diversity studies and to select appropriate PCR conditions for best recovery of a broad range of different nitrifying bacteria. In the case of AOB, little information is available about the influence of primer specificity and sensitivity on the outcome of diversity studies (29). In previous studies, primer bias has been evaluated mainly by comparing nucleotide sequences of the primers with known sequences of target organisms (21, 38, 47). Recently biases of several PCR strategies for studying AOB have
been shown in experiments with denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) in two environmental samples (29). The aim of the present work was to study the effect of primer specificity on diversity studies of AOB. For this, we evaluated experimentally the specificity of five PCR primers designed for specificity on diversity studies of AOB. For this, we evaluated the DNA extraction techniques has been published previously (18).

The 16S rRNA gene was amplified with the bacterial primers Eub9_27/Eub1542 (5), using the proofreading Pfu DNA polymerase (Promega) according to a previously described method (18). These PCR products were cleaned, diluted 100 times, and used as templates in a nested PCR with the specific βAOB primers. Nested amplification was also carried out with Pfu DNA polymerase (Promega) and consisted of the following: initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min; and hot start at 80°C and 25 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s, and 73°C for 3 min. The following primer combinations specific for βAOB were used: NitA/NitB (48), βAMOf/βAMOr (30), βAMOr/Nso1225g, and NitA/Nso1225g (Table 1). The primer Nso1225g is a modification of the probe Nso1225 (31), which lacks the final A at the 3' end, thus facilitating primer extension by the DNA polymerase. For cloning, products of three different amplifications were pooled and cloned using the Zero Blunt PCR cloning kit (Invitrogen). From each cloning, 48 to 96 clones were picked and screened as described earlier (18). Sequences were compared with all GenBank entries using BLAST (2). Groups of unique sequences were defined according to the hits in BLAST. The groups were confirmed by alignment of the sequences with ClustalX and with the phylogenetic software program ARB (28). Although the number of clones sequenced might not describe the whole community present in the sample, it allows recognizing changes of the major clades amplified with different primer combinations.

The percentages of AOB-like sequences detected in the clone libraries were different and dependent on the primer pairs used (Table 2). In all the samples, the proportion of AOB-like sequences was higher in the libraries prepared with products of the primer combination NitA/NitB. In the sample from the metalimnetic layer of Lake Kinneret, sequences from the 4 clone libraries were related to 10 different groups of bacteria (Table 2; also see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Just one of these groups was identified as AOB-like from the Nitrosospira lineage. The other nine groups contained sequences similar to those of different nonnitrifying betaproteobacteria. The NitA/NitB clone library contained sequences from Nitrososphaera and the Baltic Sea, samples were collected from theoxic-anoxic sediment-water interface. An additional sample was collected from the water column of the Baltic Sea (20 m), which corresponds to a suboxic layer. A more detailed description of the samples from Lake Flussee and the Baltic Sea and the DNA extraction techniques has been published previously (18).

For PCR, a nested approach was chosen to increase the detection limit of AOB, as has been suggested earlier (48). The 16S rRNA gene was amplified with the bacterial primers Eub9_27/Eub1542 (5), using the proofreading Pfu DNA polymerase (Promega) according to a previously described method (18). These PCR products were cleaned, diluted 100 times, and used as templates in a nested PCR with the specific βAOB primers. Nested amplification was also carried out with Pfu DNA polymerase (Promega) and consisted of the following: initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min; and hot start at 80°C and 25 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s, and 73°C for 3 min. The following primer combinations

### Table 1. Primers analyzed in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5'-3')</th>
<th>Positions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NitA</td>
<td>CTG AAG TGG GGA ATA ACG CAT CG</td>
<td>136–158</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NitB</td>
<td>TTA CGT GTG AAG CCC TAC CCA</td>
<td>1213–1233</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTO189fa</td>
<td>GGA GRA AAG CAG GGG ATC G</td>
<td>189–207</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTO189fb</td>
<td>GGA GGA AAG TAG GGG ATC G</td>
<td>189–207</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTO654r</td>
<td>CTA GCT TGT TAG TTT CAA ACG C</td>
<td>632–653</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βAMOf</td>
<td>TGG GGR ATA ACG CAY CGA AAG</td>
<td>142–162</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βAMOr</td>
<td>AGA CTC CGA TCC GGA CTG CG</td>
<td>1295–1314</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nso1225g</td>
<td>CGC CAT TGT ATT ACG TGT G</td>
<td>1224–1243</td>
<td>Modified from 31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The comparison of the two clonal libraries prepared from the sediment-water interface of Lake Pußsee and the Baltic Sea, s/w interface, showed contrasting results (Table S2 in the supplemental material). However, in the library prepared with the primer combination NitA/NitB products, only 4% of the sequences were related to AOB. The βAMOβ/βAMOr library was dominated by the betaproteobacterial genera *Hydrogenophaga* and *Delftia*.

Analysis of the clone libraries prepared in this study showed that the 16S rRNA gene primers used for the detection of βAOB by PCR differed in their specificities when used with different environmental samples (Table 2). Sequences of βAOB, including those associated with *Nitrosospira* and *Nitrosomonas*, were identified in all clone libraries made from PCR products with the primer combination NitA/NitB (48), whereas in those prepared with βAMOβ/βAMOr (30), non-AOB sequences (*Methylomonas, Variovorax, Hydrogenophaga, and Delftia*) dominated. These results are contradictory to conclusions drawn on the basis of theoretical sequence matching that among all primers used for specific amplification of the 16S rRNA gene from βAOB, βAMOβ/βAMOr best fulfilled the criteria of specificity and sensitivity (21, 38). In another independent experimental evaluation for the specific detection of βAOB by PCR and DGGE (29), the primers βAMOβ/βAMOr also produced a high proportion of bands from non-AOB compared to results for nested amplification with the primers CTO189f/CTO654r.

### Table 2. Recovery of AOB in clone libraries of PCR products prepared with different primer pairs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of sample</th>
<th>Primer pair</th>
<th>No. of clones</th>
<th>No. of AOB</th>
<th>% AOB</th>
<th>Nitrosospira-like</th>
<th>Nitrosomonas-like</th>
<th>BLAST hit (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake Kinneret</td>
<td>βAMOβ/βAMOr</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nsp12 (8)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NitA/NitB</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nsp17 (30),</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>βAMOβ/Nso1225g</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nsp17 (3)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NitA/Nso1225g</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nsp17 (1),</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nsp12 (1)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plußsee</td>
<td>βAMOβ/βAMOr</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NitA/NitB</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain HB (9)</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nv6 (1)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic Sea, 20 m</td>
<td>βAMOβ/βAMOr</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nsp57 (2)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NitA/NitB</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>100</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nsp12 (1)</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nsp57 (1)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic Sea, s/w interface</td>
<td>βAMOβ/βAMOr</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nsp58 (2)</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nsp12 (1)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NitA/NitB</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>100</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nsp57 (1)</td>
<td><em>Nitrosospora</em> sp. strain Nsp58 (2)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The first identified hit in BLAST is given for the *Nitrosospora*- and *Nitrosomonas*-like clones. The number of clones (n) is indicated in parentheses. No. of clones, total number of clones screened; no. of AOB, total number of AOB clones obtained; % AOB, percentage of AOB clones; s/w, sediment-water.
Recovery of different AOB clusters in published studies that used different primer combinations. In order to increase the spectrum of habitats considered in which AOB communities are present, published data from a larger number of habitats were included in the analysis. A total of 24 publications were examined (see Table S5 in the supplemental material), dealing with samples from seawater (3, 11, 12, 16, 33, 35), estuaries (7, 9, 42), freshwater (18), marine or freshwater sediments (10, 30, 41, 42, 44), hypersaline lake (51), soil (6, 25, 26, 34, 41, 43, 44, 53–55), rhizosphere (22, 42), wastewater treatment plants (1, 8, 9, 40), and cultures (48). The comparison of published clone libraries was complemented by an in silico analysis of primer matching using an ARB database updated with all sequences from cultured species in GenBank (see Table S6 in the supplemental material). Despite the importance of sequence analysis for primer design and theoretical evaluation of primer and target interaction, this information alone is not sufficient to predict the outcome of a PCR. Information about the applicability of different primer combinations for the detection of AOB in different environments may be derived from a combined analysis of the retrieval of specific groups of AOB from various environments and sequence matching of the primers used (Table 3).

Amplification of non-AOB sequences is recognized in all publications considered in Table 3, but the proportion of unspecific sequences was given in only a few cases. The primer combinations most frequently used to analyze AOB communities in environmental samples were βAMO1/βAMO2 and NitA/NitB and a nested amplification from βAMO1/βAMO2 products with the primers CTO189f/CTO654r. Although significant variations in the composition of the AOB communities in the same type of environment may occur, in addition the methods of DNA extraction and amplification (especially the use of nested or direct amplification) can have a strong influence on the composition of the clone libraries. Some tendencies of preferential amplification with different primer combinations can be concluded from the data in Table 3. To simplify the presentation of the results, the cluster designations used by Freitag and Prosser (11) were followed.

The poor amplification of sequences related to Nitrosospira cluster 0 in all studies contrasted with the high sequence similarity to all AOB-specific primers. This might indicate a restriction of this group to a few habitats or a very low abundance in nature, which is supported by the observation that sequences related to this group were found in samples from the metalimnion of Lake Kinneret by a nested PCR approach used to improve the detection of this group.

Sequences from Nitrosospira clusters 2 and 4 have been amplified with the primers βAMO1/βAMO2 and CTO189f/CTO654r from soil and rhizosphere. Strains belonging to these clusters have been isolated from soil, and it has been suggested that they might be specific for this environment (37). Sequences from cluster 2 have not been recovered with the primer combination NitA/NitB, which might reflect the fact that these primers have not been frequently used with soil samples (see Table S5 in the supplemental material). Data from our study showed that sequences related to Nitrosospira cluster 4 can also be amplified from freshwater samples with NitA/NitB and βAMO1/βAMO2, contradicting the conclusion that they are apparently restricted to soil habitats (37). Be-
cause they have been detected in the metalimnion besides the water/sediment interface, it is unlikely that they have been washed off from soil habitats.

*Nitrosospira* cluster 3 was the most common group detected with the primer combinations βAMOF/βAMOr and CTO189f/CTO654r in soil. This cluster also appeared in libraries with the primers NitA/NitB from estuary (7) and wastewater treatment plant (1) sources. We have been able to detect sequences related to *Nitrosospira* cluster 3 in libraries prepared with the primers NitA/NitB and NitA/Ns1225g in samples from freshwater, lake sediment, and rhizosphere. The detection of *Nitrosospira* cluster 3 with the primer NitA contradicts the statement based on theoretical sequence comparison (21) that this primer is not recommended for studying AOB communities due to its low sequence similarity with sequences from *Nitrosospira* cluster 3.

Despite their low sequence similarity with all primers, sequences from *Nitrosomonas oligotropha* (subcluster 6a) and *Nitrosomonas marina* (subcluster 6b) are frequent in clone libraries from a variety of environments, underlining our conclusion that sequence comparison alone is not a significant indicator for predicting the outcome of a PCR.

Sequences related to *Nitrosomonas* cluster 7 have rarely been detected in natural environments, even when analyzed with the primer combination NitA/NitB, which matches perfectly most of the sequences in cluster 7. It has been suggested that this cluster contains species with preference for eutrophic habitats (20), and more recently the existence of physiological types adapted to extreme environmental conditions has been reported (51). Therefore, habitat adaptation might be an important factor explaining the low frequency of *Nitrosomonas* cluster 7 in the clone libraries from nonextreme environmental samples.

Sequences related to *Nitrosomonas* cluster 8 have rarely been detected in environmental clone libraries, though several cultures belonging to this cluster have been isolated from soil (20). Therefore, the low representation of this cluster in libraries prepared from soil samples with the primers βAMOF/βAMOr and CTO189f/CTO654r is surprising. Sequences related to cluster 8 were amplified from rhizospheric soil by using preamplification with bacterial primers prior to specific PCR with the primers NitA/NitB (Junier et al., unpublished), though NitA has low similarity with all sequences from this cluster.

So far, 16S rRNA gene sequences related to *Nitrosomonas cryotolerans* have not been amplified from environmental samples despite high sequence similarity with all the primers. This fact could reflect a high adaptation of these species to habitats that have not yet been studied in detail.
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