Impact of secondary hard substrate on the distribution and abundance of *Aurelia aurita* in the western Baltic Sea
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Abstract

This study assessed the impact of secondary hard substrate, as being introduced into marine ecosystems by the establishment of wind farm pillars, on the occurrence and distribution of the moon jelly *Aurelia aurita* in the southwestern Baltic Sea. A two-year data sampling was conducted with removable settlement plates to assess the distribution and population development of the scyphozoan polyps. The data collected from these samples were used to set up a model with Lagrangian particle technique. The results confirm that anthropogenic created hard substrate (e.g. offshore wind farms) has the potential to increase the abundance of the *Aurelia aurita* population. The distribution of wind farm borne jellyfish along Danish, German and Polish coasts indicates conflicts with further sectors, mainly energy and tourism.
1. Introduction

The generation of renewable energy by offshore wind farms is likely to increase significantly in the western Baltic Sea despite of current delays in the implementation of already approved wind farms. In March 2012 Denmark passed a new Energy Agreement with the target of 100% renewable energy in 2050 (ENS, 2012). Already in 2020 half of the energy consumption shall be covered by wind farms. The energy concept of the German Government aims at a share of 50% for offshore wind farms in the national energy production by 2050 (BMU, 2011). A capacity of 25 GW shall be installed offshore until 2030. Sweden’s energy policy foresees a 50% share of renewable energies with 10 TWh offshore wind energy production in 2020 (Regeringskansliet, 2009). This will lead to a considerable expansion of today’s offshore wind farms in the western Baltic Sea, where single wind farms have already been built in Danish and German waters during recent years.

A number of studies researched local impacts of wind farms on benthic communities in the region (e.g. Birklund & Petersen, 2004; DHI, 2000; DHI, 2006a; DHI 2006b; Petersen & Malm, 2006). Wind farms as well as other fixed offshore installations such as platforms, piles and pillars act as artificial reefs (Petersen & Malm, 2006; Maar et al., 2009; Wilhelmson et al., 2006; Wilhelmson & Malm, 2008). These provide substrate for organisms which would not be able to settle on the original soft sediments (Svane & Pedersen, 2001). This issue is of high relevance in the western Baltic Sea where predominant soft-sediments (Emeljanov et al., 1993; Hermansen & Jensen, 2000) limit the spectrum of organisms. An organism that might benefit from this additional secondary hard substrate is the moon jellyfish *Aurelia aurita*. Scyphozoans like *A. aurita* have a life cycle consisting of planktonic sexually-reproducing medusae and benthic asexually-reproducing polyps (Möller, 1979; Gröndahl, 1988; Lucas, 2001). The benthic polyps need hard substrate to settle on. From these polyps ephyrae are released by strobilation and further develop into medusae. The medusae in turn reproduce by the release of planula larvae which develop again into polyps. In accordance with these development stages *A. aurita* populations are found predominantly in those areas where suitable hard substrata are available for the benthic scyphistoma (Lucas, 2001).

Several studies suggest that anthropogenic installations might favor problematic occurrence of massive numbers of jellyfish (Duarte et al., 2013). These so called jellyfish blooms were related to factors such as climate warming, eutrophication, overfishing, aquaculture, invasion of non-native species by marine traffic and maritime constructions (Purcell et al. 2007). The increasing amount of artificial underwater hard substrate by maritime constructions is
expected to provide new habitats especially for the settlement and reproduction of benthic stages of scyphozoan jellyfish such as *A. aurita*. Considering rising numbers of marinas, harbor expansions, wind farms, which are located in the western Baltic Sea (Janßen et al., 2013), increased benthic polyp colonies and subsequently increased medusa blooms are likely for the Baltic Sea.

Jellyfish species are of high ecological importance by its functional value in the marine pelagic ecosystem acting as predator for native zooplankton and competitor for commercially important planktivorous fish species. If scyphomedusae occur with high abundance they have impacts on zooplankton communities (Barz & Hirche, 2005). A reduction of zooplankton and herring larvae populations caused by *A. aurita* in the western Baltic is documented e.g. by Möller (1980a) and Schneider & Behrends (1998). Experiments showed also predation on cod larvae (Baily & Batty, 1984; Titelman & Hansson, 2006). This is of importance for the southwestern Baltic as it is characterized by various Marine Protected Areas (MPA) administered under European Natura 2000 legislation. As shown by Barz et al. (2006) also remote areas might be affected by the occurrence of *A. aurita* due to drift over larger distances.

Up to 21 wind farms are planned in the study area, of which three are already build and four recently received an approval. The present study modeled the impact of secondary hard substrate on the occurrence and distribution of juvenile *A. aurita* on a regional scale by using a hydrodynamic model with particle tracking technique. The study is structured into four steps. First, data on the spatial and temporal patterns of *A. aurita* occurrence were collected by in situ experiments in the southwestern Baltic Sea. Secondly, to assess the spatial movement of *A. aurita* towards and also from secondary hard substrate at wind farms, a drift model with particle tracking technic was set up on the basis of this these sampling data. Thirdly, the potential abundance of *A. aurita* released from wind farms was estimated on the basis of current wind farm construction plans. Finally the drift simulation results have been analyzed to further identify affected areas and possible conflicts.
2. Material & Methods

2.1 Data sampling

The seasonal and temporal distribution of benthic developmental stages (polyps) and pelagic developmental stages (ephyrae and medusae) of *Aurelia aurita* were studied in 2009 and 2010 in the southwestern Baltic Sea.

To assess the distribution and population development of the scyphozoan polyps, off-shore polyp settlement experiments were carried out in Fehmarnbelt and in Mecklenburg Bight. Mooring systems with removable settlement plates were installed at 4 stations in the southwestern Baltic Sea in Mecklenburg Bight in July 2009 (Fig. 1).

Areas with a water depth more than 15 m allowed polyp sampling at two different water layers (above and below the halocline). At these stations, two settlement frames, were installed above each other on the same mooring (Fig. 2). Each settlement frame had about 1 m diameter and consisted of 12 removable settlement plates, attached to three horizontal arms (Fig. 2). The rectangular settlement plates were made of concrete and had a total settling area of 190 cm² (horizontal settling area on the upper and bottom side each 75 cm², vertical settling area 40 cm²).

Planula larvae released in summer and autumn were expected to attach on the plates and develop into polyps during autumn and winter. Concrete was chosen as material because wind farm foundations and piles in the southwestern Baltic with typical water depths below 40 m may partly or fully be made out of concrete (Larsen et al., 2005; STRABAG, 2013). Such an example is the existing Lillgrund wind farm southeast of Sweden (Vattenfall, 2008). Another common material for the construction of wind farm piles in the case study region is steel with epoxy or polymer coatings. *A. aurita* polyps are able to settle also on this substrate (Ishii & Katsukoshi, 2010) and their abundance on polymeric materials may be higher than on concrete (Holst & Jarms, 2007). Sampling of settlement plates and counting of polyps was carried out monthly during the annual polyp growth and strobilation period in two successive years (October 2009 - April 2010, November 2010 - April 2011). In this study we only present the polyp abundances for the second measured settling season (November 2010 - April 2011) after 1.5 years of mooring deployment. This means that the moorings were...
exposed to planula larvae settling for two seasons (summer 2009 and summer 2010) before sampling.

Settling plates were collected either by craning the whole mooring out of the water on a research vessel or by scientific scuba diving. In case of craning, the settling frames were submersed in habitat water tanks on board of the vessel during removing the settling plates. For transport to the laboratory the removed plates were placed in habitat water containers and stored at habitat temperature. Polyps were counted and measured alive at each settling side of the plate under a stereomicroscope within 1 day after sampling. The abundance of polyps attached upright at the upper side of the plate, upside down on the bottom side of the plate and horizontally positioned on the vertical edge was calculated separately and was expressed as polyps cm⁻².

To assess the abundance of pelagic developmental stages of *A. aurita*, monthly samples were taken at 12 stations in the southwestern Baltic Sea between June 2009 and December 2010 (Fig. 1). The medusae field survey in the southwestern Baltic Sea covered an area between Kiel Bight in the West and Darss Sill in the East. Samples were taken by using a MultiPlanktonSampler (MPS, opening area 0.25 m², mesh size 500 µm), which was towed horizontally with a maximum inflow speed of about 1 m s⁻¹. Two electronic flow-meters measured the filtrated water volume (100-200 m³). Collected ephyrae and medusae of *A. aurita* were counted alive directly after sampling and the bell size (diameter) of each individual was determined. Specimens <0.5 cm were considered as ephyrae. The abundance of ephyrae and medusae was calculated (individuals m⁻³) from the number of counted specimens and the filtrated volume of water.

2.2 Hydrodynamic model and particle tracking technique

To assess the distribution of *A. aurita*, both from today’s potential habitats and from additional settlement areas provided by offshore wind farms, a hydrodynamic model and Lagrangian particle technique was used. A comprehensive description of those methods was published by Lehmann (1995) and Hinrichsen et al. (1997). The hydrodynamic model used in this study is based on the free surface Bryan-Cox-Semtner model (Killworth *et al.*, 1991) which is a special version of the Cox numerical ocean general circulation model (Bryan, 1969; Semtner, 1974). The Baltic Sea model domain comprises the entire Baltic Sea. The horizontal resolution is 2.5 km, with 60 vertical levels specified. The Baltic Sea model is driven by atmospheric data provided by the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological
Institute (SMHI: Norrköping, Sweden) and river runoff taken from a monthly mean runoff database (Bergström & Carlsson, 1994). Prognostic variables of the model are the baroclinic current field, the 3-D temperature, salinity and oxygen distributions, the 2-D surface elevations and the barotropic transport, all of them available at six hour intervals. Physical properties simulated by the hydrodynamic model agree well with known circulation features and observed physical conditions in the Baltic. A detailed description of the equations and modifications made, necessary to adapt the model to the Baltic Sea can be found in Lehmann (1995) and Lehmann & Hinrichsen (2000a). A detailed analysis of the Baltic Sea circulation has been performed by Lehmann & Hinrichsen (2000b) and by Lehmann et al. (2002). Data on the number and location of *A. aurita* at different life stages for particle tracking was gained from the sampling studies (see sampling results below). In accordance with the sampling results each simulation run started in November with strobilation starting in December. And the simulation stopped in June when most juvenile medusae were from this time on able to move actively and to change water layers.

The model was run for a time period of 12 years (1997-2008). To establish a Lagrangian view of the simulated circulation, drifters can be placed in the modeled flow fields at every 3-D position within the model domain. Moreover, the initial release locations of the drifters can be chosen independent of the vertical resolution of the model’s grid. Simulation of juvenile medusae (ephyrae) drift patterns were obtained from three-dimensional Eulerian flow fields from the hydrodynamic model by utilization of a Lagrangian particle tracking technique. The drifters were allowed to leave the vertical positions where they initially were released, thus the positions of the drifter varied over time as a result of the three-dimensional velocities experienced. Furthermore, along the tracks, the data contained information on the temporal evolution of hydrographic properties (temperature, salinity) as well as on bottom depths.

Simulations of intra- and interannual variations in the drift routes of the juvenile medusae were performed for their reproduction periods from 1997 to 2008. For the whole simulation period, ephyrae were released as Lagrangian drifters in coastal and shallow water areas of the western Baltic at depths between the surface and 10 m (Fig. 2). The water depth for the release of drifters was chosen in accordance with results of the polyp settlement experiments (cf. chapter 3.1 and tab. 1 below). However, the total area where strobilation of ephyrae from polyps in the western Baltic could take place is unknown. Every drifter release position located in coastal or shallow waters represents an area of approximately of 25 km². It was
assumed that all these drifter release areas have the potential to contribute to juvenile medusae populations, as polyps can find sheltered areas with their preferred conditions (cf. Möller, 1979; Möller, 1980b; Gröndahl, 1988) and adequate natural (hard-bottom substrate, rocks) and anthropogenic hard substrate (marinas, ports, hardened shorelines etc.) is available (Tauber, 2012).

A total of 7104 ephyrae were inserted as particle drifters into the modeled flow fields at 10-day intervals, i.e. every 10 days a new batch of ephyrae was started to drift. The release dates started the 1st of November and ended the 28th of February, thereby covering the presently known reproduction period of this species. The whole set of drifter batches were tracked until the 15th of April, when the drifters were re-located in the vertical domain (0 - 10 m). The latter was necessary to be done to realistically start vertical feeding migrations of the juvenile medusae on zooplankton species/stages (Hernroth & Gröndahl, 1985). Afterwards the drifters were tracked until 30th of June utilizing the same parameters and requirements as during the drift period before.

Furthermore, in order to demonstrate the degree of retentive or dispersive variability of the drift patterns of the juvenile medusae we have calculated how many of them were located in different regions of the western and central Baltic Sea at the end of the simulation period.

A second goal of our drift analyses was to estimate the impact of anthropogenic created hard substrate (e.g. offshore wind farms) on the final distribution of the juvenile medusae. For this purpose, at the positions of planned as well as at positions of already established offshore wind farms (Fig. 3) additional batches of ephyrae drifters were released into the modelled flow fields covering the same time periods and requirements as for the “regular” released drifter batches.

[Figure 3 about here]

3. Results

3.1 Field sampling of polyp and medusa distributions

The abundance of settled polyps varied between 0.1 individuals cm⁻² and 6.1 individuals cm⁻² above the halocline during the sampling period between November 2010 and April 2011 in the investigation area. Highest polyp abundances were observed at the bottom side of the settling plates compared to lower abundances on the upper side and the vertical edge (tab. 1).
The mean abundance in the entire investigation area was 1.07 individuals cm\(^{-2}\) above the halocline. Below halocline the abundance reached up to 1.7 individuals cm\(^{-2}\) during the investigation period (mean 0.3 individuals cm\(^{-2}\)). Polyps were not equally distributed across the settling plate surface but occurred in patches. The highest patch density was observed with 30 individuals cm\(^{-2}\).

[TABLE 1 about here]

According to current construction plans the majority of wind farms in the case study region shall be built with monopiles. A typical diameter for these piles is about 6 m and about 830 piles are planned. Based on the sampling results for vertical substrate and considering a recruitment rate of six ephyrae per polyp during one reproductive season (Holst & Jarms, 2010) this could potentially lead to 4.64 bn individuals being released from wind farm piles in the upper 10 m of the water column. On the settlement plates no polyps were found on vertical surfaces below 10 m water depth.

Considering the entire medusae investigation area (Kiel Bight in the West to Darss Sill in the East), the mean abundance of \emph{A. aurita} medusae was 0.095 individuals m\(^{-3}\) in summer 2009. Peak values of up to 0.4 and 0.6 individuals m\(^{-3}\) were measured in June and July in the region of Darss Sill. The abundance decreased in autumn 2009 (mean 0.013 individuals m\(^{-3}\)). In 2010 the abundance of \emph{A. aurita} varied constantly around 0.02 individuals m\(^{-3}\) from summer to autumn. In both years, there was a tendency of higher abundances in the eastern part of the medusae study area (Darss Sill) compared to the western study area (Kiel Bight; tab. 2).

[TABLE 2 about here]

3.2 Model

A general interesting feature of the cumulative distribution, representing the whole number of medusae initially released between 1997 and 2008, is that some open sea areas (central Arkona and Bornholm Basin) as well as the northern coastal and shallower regions of the Bornholm Basin exhibit a low probability of medusae occurrence (cf. fig. 7). Secondly, there are predictions obtained for other areas to be permanently associated with high abundances of medusae (e.g. Kattegat). The relative final mean distributions of all released drifters between 1997 and 2008 show that the majority of juvenile medusae remained within the release areas
(Fig. 4), transport between the release areas as well as losses out of the release areas were only of minor importance. Figure 5 shows final mean particle distributions for ephyrae released at the locations of planned and partly already existing offshore wind farms.

[FIGURE 4 about here]

[FIGURE 5 about here]

Marked differences in drift characteristics of juvenile medusae are apparent when comparisons are made between simulations conducted for different years. During the reproduction periods 2000/2001 and 2006/2007 a wide coverage of juvenile medusae in almost the whole western Baltic Sea area was obtained. The most striking differences between the two time periods could be related to medusae abundances in the Polish coastal environment (Fig. 6).

[FIGURE 6 about here]

To determine the fractions of juvenile medusae which were released from potential natural habitats and were able to reach offshore wind farms at the end of the simulation period (30th of June), we have calculated the mean distances of these fractions apart from the wind farm locations (Fig. 7). Not very surprisingly, with increasing distance range the probability of medusae occurrence increased, but the fraction occurring closest to the wind farm locations (< 20 km) could be remarkably high (> 10%).

[FIGURE 7 about here]

For juvenile medusae, originally released by those subpopulations utilizing offshore wind farms as secondary hard substrate (cf. fig. 3), the probability of particle transport towards shallow or coastal areas (<20m bottom depths) was obtained to be on average extremely high (0.38). This affects coastal but also offshore Marine Protected Areas (MPA), of which a large share underlies an occurrence of wind farm borne jellyfish (Fig. 8). To assess the pressure caused by these jellyfish on MPAs the potential number of additional individuals of *A. aurita* occurring in Natura 2000 sites was calculated for the end of the simulation period (30th of June). This calculation is based on an average polyp concentration of 0.5 polyps cm² and a strobilation rate of 6 ephyrae/polyp. It neglects mortality e.g. by predation or by bycatches in fisheries. Eight MPAs can potentially be affected by an additional occurrence of more than one jellyfish m² integrated over the whole water column (tab. 3). Peak values reach up to a potential of 1.3 individuals m².
Spatial analyzes of the drift patterns show a strong transnational dimension of the distribution of wind farm borne jellyfish. In the given example most of the planned wind farms are located in waters under German administration. The majority of *A. aurita* individuals released from them, however, are drifting into none-German waters (71.25% by 30th of June) (tab. 4). Here especially Danish waters are affected where more than a half of all drifters move to.

**4. Discussion & Conclusions**

The results of our study have provided new knowledge and insight on the potential small to meso-scale ecological impact of human-induced habitat extension of the invertebrate *Aurelia aurita* in the western Baltic. However, local changes of juvenile medusae distributions are sensitive to the time of release. This means that ephyrae transport depends on atmospheric conditions in terms of the direction and of the strength of the prevailing forcing.

This study was focused on the drift of virtual ephyrae and virtual juvenile medusae released into simulated flow fields as passive particles. The chosen model approach might be complicated by individual swimming behavior of the medusae. In this study horizontal swimming was assumed to be generally less important in comparison to the flow fields. Hamner et al. (1994) reported average horizontal swim speed during day times of $2.33 \pm 0.30 \text{ m min}^{-1}$ with very slow or no swimming during the night while the western Baltic Sea shows average current speeds above $3 \text{ m min}^{-1}$. Nonetheless, to avoid too disperse scatter the annual simulation runs were stopped in June and new drifters restarted from the release areas in the following year. Medusae are also able to actively change their vertical position in the water column (Mackie et al., 1981). However, during the pelagic sampling medusae were generally found in the upper part of the water column (cf. Barz & Hirche, 2005), thus it could not be expected that differences in the vertical position might significantly alter the drift routes.

The results presented in Fig. 8 confirm that anthropogenic created hard substrate (e.g. offshore wind farms) has the potential to significantly increase the settlement probability of scyphozoan polyps, which possibly could lead to an increase in abundance and in an extended spatial distribution of the medusae population of *A. aurita*.
Dependent on temperature, light and salinity conditions the planned installation of about 830 wind mills has the theoretic potential to cause about 4.6 billion ephyrae being released from pile based polyps. These numbers, however, are based on the theoretic potential which is an unsure estimate and dependent on the used material for foundations and piles (e.g. concrete, steel with or without anticorrosive coating, type of coating etc.). Furthermore it neglects mortality, e.g. by predation or by-catch, and will vary from year to year. Also the development of stable habitats around wind farm piles over time (Zettler & Pollehne, 2006) will influence the occurrence of A. aurita. Settlement of blue mussels Mytilus edulis for instance will increase the potential settling surface area for A. aurita larvae on wind farm piles and thus cause an increase of polyp abundances. Moreover, the asexual reproduction of polyps will increase polyp colony densities. Miyake et al. (2002) found up to 88 polyps cm\(^{-2}\) in Kagoshima Bay, Japan and Gröndahl (1988) reported up to 40 polyps cm\(^{-2}\) in Gullmar Fjord. On the other hand predation on A. aurita might increase with the development of complex habitats on and around wind farm piles. However, offshore wind farms will potentially contribute to an increased occurrence of A. aurita in Marine Protected Areas of partly more than one additional medusa m\(^{-2}\). Especially in those MPAs with sandbanks A. aurita may act as a predator e.g. on copepods and fish larvae with potential impacts on the food web. Single MPAs like Adlergrund or Oderbank serve as spawning areas for cod or herring. In these spawning grounds increased abundance of A. aurita may lead to direct impacts on fish recruitment.

Independent from the question with which abundance additional individuals might occur, already the spatial distribution raises new questions for the cross-border management of marine areas. The insertion of larger amounts of secondary hard substrate into marine systems which are dominated by soft sediments may lead to impacts in remote regions over a distance of more than 150 km. While in this study most of the wind farms are located in waters administrated by one nation, here Germany, the impact occurs mainly in neighboring waters, here especially Denmark followed by Sweden and Poland.

The distribution of wind farm borne jellyfish along Danish, German and Polish coasts indicates conflicts with further sectors, mainly fisheries and tourism. Increased appearance of A. aurita may impede coastal tourism, e.g. on Darss peninsula, as tourists often perceive jellies in general as annoying. And A. aurita is able to clog fishing nets and the distribution if wind farm borne jellies partly overlaps with areas of intense fishing effort (cf. Pedersen et al., 2009). However, so far there are no reports about clogged fishing nets in the Baltic Sea. In
any case the spatial distribution of A. aurita across borders gives an example of how impacts of anthropogenic activities in marine region may spread over larger distances.

Within the conditions of the partly brackish Baltic Sea the impact of secondary hard substrate on the occurrence of A. aurita concentrates on the Western and Central Baltic Sea. Medusae drifting into the Central Baltic Sea, e.g. into Bornholm Basin, are able to survive decreasing salinities (around 7 PSU between surface and halocline) but may usually not reproduce there (Barz & Hirche, 2005; Janas & Witek, 1993).

Hydrographical conditions and the location of wind farms determine the distribution of wind farm borne jellyfish. It is therefore worth discussing whether Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) should and could be based on the consideration of abiotic and biotic functions to improve a cross-border marine management as well as the management of cross-border environmental impacts (ecosystem-based MSP). According to the UNECE Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo/EIA Convention) and the UNECE Protocol on Strategic Environmental Assessment to the Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Kyiv/SEA Protocol) a state who plans a project (or develops a plan) with significant cross-border impacts, has to inform affected states as early as possible. This has to include also a broad public participation to bring together all stakeholders. Usually this fails to appear on MSP level as the potential impact of wind farm borne jellies is not detected or not considered as being significant. But as shown in this study an assessment of the cumulative impact of all wind farms is helpful to identify potential conflicts with protection goals or with other economic sectors. In the spirit of the EIA Convention and the SEA Protocol this should be done on a transnational level. And following the MSP definition of the UNESCO-IOC (IOC, 2013) whereupon MSP is public process of analyzing and allocating the spatial and temporal distribution of human activities in marine areas to achieve ecological, economic, and social objectives, such assessments should be done not only in the framework of a licensing procedure but already on MSP level. As shown in the given example such assessments are able to identify issues which are of relevance for regional development both in the sea and on land.

The present study suggests the applicability of hydrodynamic models to assess the drift and resulting spatial distributions of juvenile A. aurita stages in the western Baltic Sea. However, close agreements between observations and simulations could not be expected, hence comparisons of observed spatial distributions of settled medusae with model results have not been performed. Any kind of quantitative comparison requires detailed model input
information, i.e. variations in spatial distributions of polyps, as well as about timing and lengths of the reproduction period. Additionally, during their drift period ephyrae are exposed to processes which are presently not well known, e.g. rate-dependent processes like stage development or mortality due to predation and starvation.

Nevertheless, our numerical simulations provide detailed information about the current patterns that allow the identification of transport features, which can be inferred neither from theoretical analyzes nor from extensive field experiments. As a novel opportunity, the drift model results could in combination with favorable environmental forcing conditions investigate the role of newly generated habitats (e.g. offshore wind farms as secondary hard substrata) that are potentially susceptible to lead to species outbreaks. Because our modeling approach reflects the high degree of the predictive capacity of circulation and operational models, it could be used to determine the probability that an outbreak could occur at specific locations and times with respect to the interaction of different specific drivers (e.g. climate and anthropogenic changes).
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### Table 1: Abundance of scyphozoan polyps cm$^{-2}$ ±sd during the polyp reproductive season between November 2010 and April 2011 (second year of exposure)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station ID</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Exposure side</th>
<th>Polyps density below halocline</th>
<th>Polyps density above halocline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water depth [m]</td>
<td>Polyps cm$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS01</td>
<td>Fehmarnbelt</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bottom</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.71±1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vertical</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS03</td>
<td>Mecklenburg Bight</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bottom</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vertical</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N10</td>
<td>Mecklenburg Bight</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bottom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vertical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARN</td>
<td>Mecklenburg Bight</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bottom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vertical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Seasonal mean (±sd) of abundance of *Aurelia aurita* medusae (ind m⁻³) in summer (May-August) and autumn (September-November) 2009 and 2010 at 12 sampling stations in the southwestern Baltic Sea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Summer 2009</th>
<th>Autumn 2009</th>
<th>Summer 2010</th>
<th>Autumn 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.018±0.012</td>
<td>0.013±0.023</td>
<td>0.006±0.004</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>0.121±0.098</td>
<td>0.008±0.012</td>
<td>0.008±0.005</td>
<td>0.008±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>361</td>
<td>0.023±0.008</td>
<td>0.007±0.007</td>
<td>0.007±0.009</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.009±0.012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.047±0.05</td>
<td>0.013±0.023</td>
<td>0.022±0.009</td>
<td>0.016±0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.031±0.01</td>
<td>0.008±0.011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.155±0.013</td>
<td>0.024±0.039</td>
<td>0.017±0.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.005±0.003</td>
<td>0.009±0.012</td>
<td>0.017±0.025</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.005±0.007</td>
<td>0.003±0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.133±0.13</td>
<td>0.019±0.026</td>
<td>0.01±0.009</td>
<td>0.008±0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS1</td>
<td>0.231±0.283</td>
<td>0.014±0.001</td>
<td>0.065±0.096</td>
<td>0.073±0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>0.024±0.01</td>
<td>0.063±0.07</td>
<td>0.02±0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total area</td>
<td>0.095±0.125</td>
<td>0.013±0.017</td>
<td>0.022±0.021</td>
<td>0.021±0.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 3: Density of drifters and potential density of ephyrae in Marine Protected Areas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPA-Name</th>
<th>Site code</th>
<th>Density of drifters [pcs/ha]</th>
<th>Density potential of Ephyrae [Ind./sqm]</th>
<th>area of MPA [ha]</th>
<th>Share of MPA impacted by drifters [%]</th>
<th>Natura2000-Type</th>
<th>Protected elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adler Grund og Rønne Banke</td>
<td>DK00VA261</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>32,054</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Sandbanks, Reefs, Mammals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Przybrzeżne wody Bałtyku</td>
<td>PLB990002</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>182,284</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>Birds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darßer Schwelle</td>
<td>DE1540302</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>29,676</td>
<td>84.93</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Sandbanks, Reefs, Birds, Mammals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kadettrinne</td>
<td>DE1339301</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>10,003</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Sandbanks, Reefs, Reefs, Mammals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adlergrund</td>
<td>DE1251301</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>23,385</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Sandbanks, Reefs, Birds, Mammals, Plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Östliche Kieler Bucht</td>
<td>DE1530491</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>57,993</td>
<td>38.24</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>Birds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakskov Fjord og Inderfjord</td>
<td>DK006X088</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>7,199</td>
<td>35.21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Birds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakkebredt og Bakkegrund</td>
<td>DK00VA310</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Reefs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: Occurrence of ephyrae drifters per nation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waters administrated by</th>
<th>Drifter [pcs.]</th>
<th>Percentage [%]</th>
<th>potential individuals [bn. pcs.]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>7232</td>
<td>54.79</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>3796</td>
<td>28.76</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1412</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>13200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 6: Simulation of ephyrae drifters released from natural habitats at the end of the simulation periods 2000/2001 (left) and 2006/2007 (right)
Fig. 7: Probability for juvenile medusa to occur in the vicinity of offshore wind farms
Fig. 8: Simulation of ephyrae drifters released from wind farms at the end of the simulation period (30th of June) aggregated over the whole simulation time (1997-2008)