Eurasia spreading basin to Laptev Shelf transition: structural pattern and heat flow
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SUMMARY
New geophysical data have become available from shipborne and satellite measurements allowing a re-evaluation of the largely unknown junction of the Arctic spreading centre and the northeastern Siberian continental margin where the transpolar mid-ocean Gakkel Ridge abuts against the continental slope of the Laptev Sea. Based on multichannel seismic reflection and gravity data, this sediment-covered spreading axis can be traced to the continental rise where it is cut-off by a transcurrent fault. Further continuation of the extensional axis into the continental slope can be attributed to two asymmetric grabens, which terminate against the prominent Khatanga–Lomonosov Fracture. Remnants of hydrothermal fauna and high heat-flow values of approximately 100 mW m$^{-2}$ documented around these grabens in the up-slope area are typical for an oceanic spreading axis. Thus we consider these grabens to be morphotectonic termination of the global Atlantic–Arctic spreading system with plate motions shifting to the Khatanga–Lomonosov Fracture. The high heat flow and the distribution of earthquake epicentres allow us to assume that the present-day divergent plate tectonic boundary passes from the Gakkel Ridge to the eastern part of Laptev Sea with an offset of initial rifting along the Bel’koy–Svyatoi Nos Rift to the projected prolongation of the buried spreading axis by 140–150 km.
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INTRODUCTION
Areas of transition from rifting to spreading are of increasing interest for Earth’s scientists (see, for example, the MARGINS initiative Science Plan at http://www.ldeo.columbia.edu/margins/SciPlan.html). These sites are regarded as crucial to the study of active processes of breakup of supercontinents and the origin of Atlantic-type passive continental margins, including large-scale and meso-scale lithospheric deformations, mantle processes and their environmental impact. At present, active seafloor spreading is converting into a continental rifting in the Gulf of Suez and the Gulf of Tadjoura in the Red Sea region, the Gulf of California in North America, Woodlark Basin in Papua New Guinea and in the Siberian Laptev Sea. The latter is situated between the Taimyr Peninsula and the New Siberian Islands at the eastern end of the Eurasia basin (Fig. 1), where the slowest spreading ridge on the Earth (the Gakkel Ridge) abuts against the Laptev Sea continental margin (LSCM).

The concept of the Gakkel Ridge extension into the Laptev Shelf was initially proposed by Demenitskaya & Karasik (1969) and Grachev et al. (1970) after the spreading nature of the Eurasia Basin was recognized. The LSCM was considered to be a transitional zone of crustal extension between the Gakkel Ridge and the intracontinental Moma Rift in internal Northeastern Asia (Zonenshain et al. 1978; Grachev 1982). Nevertheless, this area has for a long time remained an enigmatic site of rift to drift transition since the spreading ridge/continental margin intersection occurs entirely under a remote ice-covered northern sea. Before the mid-1980s the outline of the predicted rift system beneath the shelf could only be roughly delineated by interpretation of the bathymetry, seismicity, potential field surveys and limited seismic refraction data (Patyk-Kara & Grishin 1972; Grachev 1982; Vinogradov 1984; Fujita et al. 1990a). Much less was known of where and how the Gakkel Ridge intersects the continental margin. Moreover, although the Moma Rift and surrounding Cherskii Arch are characterized by high heat flow and the presence of thermal springs (Parfenov et al. 1988), no heat-flow measurements had been conducted at the LSCM.

A breakthrough in understanding of LSCM geology was achieved by marine multichannel seismic reflection (MCS) surveys. On a small scale they were started by the Russian geophysical company MAGE (Marine Arctic Geological Expedition, Murmansk) in 1984 and were continued by MAGE in 1986, 1987 and 1990, LARGE (Laboratory of Regional Geodynamics, Moscow) and SMNG (Sevmorneftegeofisika, Murmansk) in 1989, BGR (Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources, Hannover, Germany)

The drastic improvement in our understanding of the Gakkel Ridge/LSCM intersection is mainly due to the MAGE 1990 survey, the MCS profiles of which crossed the eastern Eurasia Basin between $77^\circ$–$80^\circ$N and $115^\circ$–$133^\circ$E north of the Laptev Shelf (Sekretov 2002, see fig. 1 for the survey location). In subsequent years a new insight into the tectonic fabric of this region was derived from an unprecedented gravity field obtained by means of satellite ERS-1 and ERS-2 altimetry (Laxon & McAdoo 1994, 1998). Finally, first heat-flow measurements were performed from the German FS Polarstern in 1998 as part of the recently concluded programme ‘Laptev Sea System 2000’. This paper presents the results of these studies, which help to define the main structural characteristics and heat-flow values of the Gakkel Ridge at its junction with the LSCM.

**TECTONIC SETTING AND STRUCTURE OF THE EURASIA BASIN IN THE VICINITY OF THE LAPTEV SEA CONTINENTAL MARGIN**

The Eurasia Basin is an oceanic basin created by seafloor spreading over the previous 53–56 Myr (Karasaki 1974; Vogt et al. 1979; Kristoffersen 1990). Its spreading axis, the Gakkel Ridge, separates the North American and Eurasian lithospheric plates in the Arctic and represents an ultraslow spreading ridge with recent spreading taking place close to a pole of plate rotation at approximately the Lena Delta (Cook et al. 1986; Franke et al. 2000). This position of the pole of rotation is derived entirely from seismological studies and brings it back on to the continent, in opposition to the northern position at the shelf break found by Argus & Hefflin (1995). The
finite opening rate in the Eurasia Basin decreases from approximately 16.5 mm yr$^{-1}$ near Greenland to 6 mm yr$^{-1}$ at its Laptev termination (DeMets et al. 1990). The eastward-directed degeneration of the spreading along the Gakkel Ridge is also well consistent with aeromagnetic data (Karasik 1974; Vogt et al. 1979; Karasik et al. 1983).

The available net of aeromagnetic track lines (Verhoef et al. 1996; Glebovsky et al. 2000) covers most of the Eurasia Basin. A well-detectable set of linear magnetic anomalies ranged from A24 to A0 in the central part of the basin loses its distinctiveness towards the Laptev Sea where the data coverage and resolution decrease. The magnetic field in the vicinity of the LSCM reveals a weak and poorly differentiated linearity, which is quasi-symmetric about an axial negative anomaly. This is considered to be mainly a consequence of very slow spreading, resulting in merging (overlapping) adjacent anomalies (Karasik 1974). According to Karasik & Sochevanova (1990), only anomaly 13 (33 Ma. The age of magnetic anomalies is given after Cande & Kent (1995).), which is a prominent anomaly in the North Atlantic and Arctic regions, can be identified in this area with confidence.

The near-Laptev part of the Eurasia Basin south of 80°N has received a large amount of terrestrially derived sediments, supplied by several large Siberian rivers, of which the Lena River is the largest. According to the MAGE MCS profiles (Sekretov 2002), a thick sedimentary cover spreads down to 3500 m isobath and further into the ocean basin, burying the basement topography of the Eurasia Basin. The Gakkel Ridge is vanishing almost completely under this Siberia-derived sedimentary pile at ca. 80°40′N, 122°E, representing a rare case of an active sediment-covered ridge.

Based on MCS and gravity data, the buried spreading axis (BSA) is traced to the continental rise up to 78°22.6′N 126°05.3′E where it terminates against an oblique transcurrent fault. At the apparent upslope continuation of the BSA there are two isolated gravity minima. They are crossed by the seismic line MAGE-90704 and, as follows from the MCS data, are correlated to asymmetric grabens (Fig. 3). Some of the normal faults bordering the grabens reach up to the sea bottom, affecting its morphology and providing evidence of recent tectonic activity, which is supported by the seismicity.

Both the BSA and its upslope continuation are seismically active (Fig. 2). For most of the events the extensional focal mechanisms with a fault plane oriented along the rift valley are determined (Savostin & Karasik 1981; Parfenov et al. 1988; Fujita et al. 1990b; Avetisov 1999). The earthquake epicentres are clustered along the normal faults that border the sediment-covered rift valley. A separate cluster is located at the termination of the BSA on its intersection

**Figure 2.** Structural pattern of the Eurasia Basin–Laptev Shelf transition area (polar stereographic projection, IBCAO bathymetry). NB—Nansen Basin, AB—Amundsen Basin, WG—Western Graben, EG—Eastern Graben, UR—Ust’ Lena Rift, ELH—East Laptev Horst, BSNR—Bel’kov–Svyatoi Nos Rift. Axis of linear magnetic anomalies are given after Karasik & Sochevanova (1990). The light grey indicates a positive free-air gravity field of 0 to 60 mGal, and the white background corresponds to negative field of 0 to −50 mGal as corresponds to a chart (A) at the right upper corner (gravity data provided by D. McAdoo 2000). The seismicity data were extracted from National Earthquake Information Center database, US Geological Survey (http://eqint.cr.usgs.gov).
with the transcurrent fault. South of this site the strip of epicentres turns to the Western Graben, and then passes over on to the shelf where seismicity is widely distributed following the main rift zones as Ust’ Lena and Bel’kov–Svyatoy Nos rifts (Drachev 1998; Avetisov 1999; Franke et al. 2000).

The Khatanga–Lomonosov Fracture striking from the Khatanga River Inlet to the shelf edge of the Amundsen Basin is a major SW–NE-trending transcurrent fault, which probably represents a sheared boundary between the spreading-dominated Eurasia Basin and the continental rift system of the Laptev Shelf (Drachev et al.)
1998). This fracture cuts off the Western and Eastern grabens in the upper continental slope. Further on the shelf a zone of recent plate divergence can be attributed to a pronounced branch of the epicentres found east of the East Laptev Horst along the northern part of Bel’kov–Svyatoi Nos Rift. Thus there is a left-hand offset of approximately 140–150 km along the Khantaga–Lomonosov Fracture between the BSA and the recently active easternmost rift of the Laptev Rift system.

In the area of the Western Graben (Fig. 2) fossil bivalve shells of the family Vesicomyidae were found in 1993 and 1995 during FS Polarstern cruise ARK-IX/a (Fütterer 1994; Petryashov et al. 1994; Sirenko et al. 1995). The species of the family Vesicomyidae are among typical representatives of benthic communities known from hydrothermal sites of mid-oceanic ridges. According to Petryashov et al. (1994) the retrieved species are similar to Archivesica inflata from the Gulf of California. C14 dating performed by the Leibniz Laboratory (Kiel, Germany) revealed an age of an flH9804P03 were taken in app. 520 m water depth (left) and show in influence of transient effects introduced by water mass variations. The measurements H9804P01–H9804P03 were taken in app. 1500 m water depth. The plot G displays the results of in situ determinations of thermal conductivity.

**HEAT-FLOW MEASUREMENTS: INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA DESCRIPTION**

During FS Polarstern cruise ARK XIV 1/b, a Lister-type heat-flow probe (Hyndman et al. 1979) was used to measure the temperature gradients down to 3.5 m below the sea bottom. This instrument of violin bow design is capable of performing in situ thermal conductivity measurements using a pulsed heat source method. The active length of the sensor string is 3 m with 11 thermistors spaced every 0.3 m.

Measurements at a station have been made using a set of three individual penetrations to gain reliable results, even in the case of lateral heat-flow variability or measurement deficiencies. Processing of the raw data is done according to an inversion method, developed by Hartmann & Villinger (2002). The thermal conductivity is calculated from heat pulse decay. Nine stations have been investigated in total, seven on the shallow shelf and two, which are relevant for this survey, on the continental slope. The results of six penetrations on these two stations (H9804 and H9805) are presented in Table 1. These two stations on the continental slope are of particular importance, because permanent pack ice prevents easy access to more northerly locations and a shallow shelf to the south prevents access to an undisputed geothermal gradient of those heat-flow stations there.

Station H9804 is located on the continental slope at 515–540 m water depth. This is below the lowermost sea level stand that has ever occurred in this region (~120 m after Bauch et al. 1999). From conductivity, temperature, depth (CTD) measurements it is known that warm Atlantic intermediate water masses flow into the Arctic Ocean and influence the depth section between 100 and 800 m (Rudels et al. 1994). So station H9804 is free of terrestrial influx but possibly suffers from oceanographic effects, which are variable in time and depth range. Figs 4(a)–(c) depicts the results of three penetrations (H9804P01–H9804P03), which are approximately 100 m apart. The gradients are not linear along the complete penetration depth, indicating a distortion caused by near-bottom water within the recent past. The lowermost three temperature readings are considered to be reasonably linear to represent the geothermal heat flux. The thermal conductivity is assumed to be 1.1 W mK⁻¹.

The second station H9805 is further down the continental slope at 1541–1568 m water depth. According to a CTD cast, this water depth is well below the influx of Atlantic mid-water inflow. Figs 4(d)–(f)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site no</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Long., E</th>
<th>Latitude, N</th>
<th>Water depth (m)</th>
<th>T. grad. (mK m⁻¹)</th>
<th>Therm. cond. (W mK⁻¹)</th>
<th>Heat flow, (mW m⁻²)</th>
<th>Heat flow corr. (mW m⁻²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H9804P01</td>
<td>7.8.98</td>
<td>132°03’61’</td>
<td>77°56’00’</td>
<td>514.6</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.9–1.1</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9804P02</td>
<td>7.8.98</td>
<td>132°03’26’</td>
<td>77°56’02’</td>
<td>529.4</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.9–1.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9804P03</td>
<td>7.8.98</td>
<td>132°03’09’</td>
<td>77°56’07’</td>
<td>537.1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.9–1.1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9805P01</td>
<td>8.8.98</td>
<td>131°25’67’</td>
<td>78°03’83’</td>
<td>1568.0</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>0.9–1.1</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9805P02</td>
<td>8.8.98</td>
<td>131°26’62’</td>
<td>78°03’90’</td>
<td>1554.0</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>0.9–1.1</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9805P03</td>
<td>8.8.98</td>
<td>131°27’35’</td>
<td>78°03’94’</td>
<td>1541.0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.9–1.1</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.** Temperature gradients measured at the upper (H9804) and middle (H9805) continental slope of the Laptev Sea. The measurements H9804P01–H9804P03 were taken in app. 520 m water depth (left) and show influence of transient effects introduced by water mass variations. The measurements H9805P01–H9805P03 were taken in app. 1500 m water depth. The plot G displays the results of in situ determinations of thermal conductivity.
shows the gradient of three penetrations (H9805P01–H9805P03), which were approximately 350 m apart. One measurement of thermal conductivity was performed at penetration H9805P01 indicating values of 0.9–1.1 W mK⁻¹ (see Fig. 4g).

HEAT-FLOW CORRECTION

The heat-flow stations are located in an area of high sediment accumulation. Thus a correction for the reduced geothermal gradient owing to rapid sedimentation has to be applied.

The average sedimentation rate can be deduced from the thickness and age of the sedimentary cover. As the heat-flow stations are 20 km away from MCS line MAGE-90704, we have used a fragment of this line to estimate the total sedimentary thickness, which is approximately 2.3 km (2 s TWT) in the part of the profile where the heat-flow stations are projected to (Fig. 3c). To constrain possible time limits of the sedimentation we need to apply different assumptions drawn from models of opening of the Eurasia Basin according to Vogt et al. (1979), Karasik et al. (1983), Jackson & Johnson (1986), Savostin et al. (1984) and Drachev et al. (1988).

Since the heat-flow stations are located in the middle and upper continental slope, we assume that this area is underlain by a continental crust, though highly thinned during opening of the Eurasia Basin. The proximity of the heat-flow stations to the Khatanga–Lomonosov Fracture may suggest a significant contribution of shear to the crustal deformation in the area of the continental slope, as a result of the eastward Lomonosov Ridge during the course of the Cenozoic spreading in the Eurasia Basin (Fig. 5). Thus the subsidence at the heat-flow stations might have started as soon as the Lomonosov Ridge had passed the area of the heat-flow stations, which then came to face the oceanic basin.

As is known from published plate kinematic models, there are two major stages to the Eurasia Basin opening: relatively fast spreading between chron 24 and 13 (53–33 Ma) followed by very slow spreading beyond the chron 13. The heat-flow stations are projected into that part of the Amundsen Basin, the crust of which is older than 33 Myr (Figs 2 and 3c). As the distances between the BSA and the anomaly A13, and BSA and the western flank of the Lomonosov Ridge measured along the seismic line MAGE-90704 parallel to the Khatanga–Lomonosov Fracture are 75 and 325 km, respectively, the calculated linear spreading rate for the Amundsen Basin for the period 53–33 Ma is 12.5 mm yr⁻¹. Since there is a distance of 123 km between the BSA and the heat-flow stations (Fig. 3c), the time when the western flank of the Lomonosov Ridge has passed heat-flow stations is calculated to be 43.2 Myr (Middle Eocene).

The Middle Eocene beginning of the sedimentation in the area of heat-flow stations is in contradiction with the seismic reflection data, which show the basement there to be overlain with the two uppermost seismic units (Fig. 3c). The age of these units can be inferred from the regional stratigraphy of the Laptev shelf region summarized by Grinenko (1989) since there are no drill holes over the entire Laptev Sea. These onshore data reveal a prominent hiatus at the Oligocene base in many key sections on the New Siberian Islands and around the Laptev Sea, while the overlying sediments demonstrate clear evidence of an Oligocene to Early Miocene regression (Drachev et al. 1998). In some areas this hiatus extends upsection to the Upper Miocene forming a prominent seismic unconformity offshore, indexed by Franke et al. (2001) as LS-3. Thus we infer an Oligocene to Middle Miocene age for the SU-4, which was deposited at the sea level low stand, while the overlying SU-5 may correspond to a high sea level depositional environment during the Late-Miocene–Quaternary. Thus, the seismic data constrain indirectly the beginning of the sedimentation at heat-flow stations to the Early Oligocene (approximately 33–30 Ma). Both, seismic reflection and plate kinematic data give evidence that, though the Lomonosov Ridge might have passed the area of the heat-flow stations at approximately 43 Ma, this area had not been subsiding until 33 Ma, remaining a site of shearing deformation and probably denudation along the Khatanga–Lomonosov Fracture.

Accepting 33 Ma as the beginning of sedimentation in the area of the heat-flow stations we can calculate 0.07 mm yr⁻¹ to represent an average sedimentation rate. This estimated value agrees well with the sedimentation rates from 0.04 to 0.5 mm yr⁻¹ for the last 12 kyr, derived from several sediment cores recovered from the continental slope of the Laptev Sea (Stein et al. 1999).

The reduction of the thermal gradient by sedimentation was estimated according to Jessop (1990). Fig. 6 depicts the graphs for continuous sedimentation rates for 0.01, 0.07 and 0.1 mm yr⁻¹ over time. 31.6–33.6 Myr of continuous sedimentation at a 0.07 mm yr⁻¹ rate leads to a reduction of the thermal gradient to 0.83 of its original value. This yields a reduction of approximately 17 per cent. Applying this correction yields heat-flow values of 85–117 mW m⁻². In comparison, assuming a crustal cooling model after Parsons & Sclater (1977) for the 33 Myr old crust would yield a maximum value of 83 mW m⁻².

Corrections owing to rapid sedimentation caused by hang slides or turbidity currents may apply. These corrections are even more difficult to quantify because of the lack of high-resolution sediment profiles or cores. Nevertheless, all the corrections would have the same effect: to increase the actual values. Thus values given here, uncorrected and with the sediment correction applied, are minimum estimates.

A terrain correction was calculated for the continental margin according to the approach of Lachenbruch (1968). The overall slope of the margin according to IBCAO data (International bathymetric chart of the Arctic Ocean Jakobsson et al. 2000) does not exceed an angle of 2°, resulting in a correction of less than 2 per cent, which can be neglected within our error bounds.

Occurrences of water discharge are simply not known and cannot be deduced from the data.

DISCUSSION

The fact that the structural elements of the easternmost Eurasia Basin are overlain by sediments and are not manifested in the sea bottom morphology has been used by Sekretov (2002) to suggest a degeneration of the Gakkel Ridge towards the LSCM and cessation of the spreading itself for the last 33 Myr. However, the available data sets, presented partly in this paper, not only reveal the buried spreading axis but also show evidence of continued and ongoing extension under a thick sedimentary cover.

According to the MCS profiles and the gravity field, the BSA can be continuously traced under the sedimentary pile of the eastern Eurasia Basin up to 2500 m isobath. Furthermore, in the area of the continental slope the BSA appears as two asymmetric grabens, around which the hydrothermal fauna and the high heat-flow values are found. Thus we attribute these grabens to the buried spreading axis of the Gakkel Ridge and consider them as a finite segment of the global Atlantic–Arctic spreading system abutting the Khatanga–Lomonosov Fracture.
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Figure 5. Spreading model for opening of the eastern Eurasia Basin. HFS—heat flow stations, Eur/NA—Eurasian/North American plates, UR—Ust’ Lena Rift, BSNR—Bel’kov–Svyatoi Nos Rift. The active shear deformations along the Khatanga–Lomonosov Fracture are marked by a grey strip.

Though a thinned and detached continental crust might still be present beneath the continental slope, we assume that this region is mostly affected by a spreading-driven extension. This suggestion is based on the obvious necessity to apply a northeastern movement of the Lomonosov Ridge with regard to the LSCM during opening of the Eurasia Basin (Drachev et al. 1998; Drachev 2000). Probably the whole continental slope represents a zone of transtension dislocations generated by the spreading and a shear-type movement of the southern Lomonosov Ridge along the LSCM while the whole ridge was moving away from its pre-spreading position at the Barents–Kara continental margin (Fig. 5). Thus the Khatanga–Lomonosov Fracture, which separates the spreading Eurasia Basin from a continental shelf rift system, may have been accommodating this lateral movement.

The heat-flow-probed area is located at the middle to upper continental slope just northward of the Khatanga–Lomonosov
Fracture. So it might suffer strong shearing during the first stage of the Eurasia Basin opening (53–33 Ma, Fig. 5). Despite that the western Lomonosov flank has passed this site by 43 Ma and since that time it has been located in the vicinity of the oceanic basin, the active tectonic movements may have been provoking the local uplift and denudation. At 33 Ma a change in the global plate movement took place, so the pole of North American/Eurasian plate rotation is found for this time at the eastern termination of the Eurasia Basin (Karaski et al. 1983; Savostin et al. 1984; Savostin & Drachev 1988) where it has remained for the next 13 Myr (Fig. 5). This event led to compression in many places of the Laptev Sea Region (Drachev et al. 1998), while within the eastern Eurasia Basin the plate divergence continued, but at a very low rate (approximately 1 mm yr\(^{-1}\)). In this regime of tectonic stagnation the area of heat-flow probing was probably subjected to a subsidence as shown by the seismic reflection data. At approximately 20 Ma the plate divergence at the Eurasia Basin/Laptev Shelf transition was gradually increased again as the pole of plate rotation had been moving southward. It triggered normal faulting at the continental slope continuation of the buried spreading axis and significant subsidence within the area of the heat-flow measurements and accumulation of the seismic unit 5. Within the continent, this phase of extension in the Late-Miocene to Pliocene caused the appearance of the large Cherskii Arch, complicated by the axial Moma Rift (Fig. 7).

Heat-flow values were previously measured at a few sites around the Laptev Sea. Most of them are included in a global database (Pollack et al. 1993, see also www.geo.lsa.umich.edu/IHF/heatflow.html). However, no heat-flow values have been recovered for the entire Laptev Shelf so far. This is partly because of the presence of a permafrost layer beneath the Holocene marine sediments, proved recently by shallow offshore drilling (Kassens et al. 2000). This prevents a determination of the true basal thermal gradient from the few surface measurements on the Laptev Sea Shelf. The only three known values exist just onshore where wells have been drilled deep enough to penetrate the permafrost. These are: 53 mW m\(^{-2}\) near the Lena Delta (Tiksi Well), 50 mW m\(^{-2}\) in the northern part of Bol’shoy Lyakhov Island and 46 mW m\(^{-2}\) close to Omoloi Graben, which is an onshore continuation of the Ust’ Lena Rift (Fig. 7).

More heat-flow observations are known in the areas south of the Laptev Sea. Most of them are shown in Fig. 7. From deep drill holes, Duchkov (1991) deduces a background heat flow of 38 mW m\(^{-2}\) for the Siberian Craton comprising an area from the Kara Sea to the Lena River. The northern part of the craton reveals a mean heat-flow values of 20 mW m\(^{-2}\). The low heat flow is attributed to the fact that this platform area has been tectonically stable for more than the past 200 Myr.

The area east of the Siberian Craton has a significantly larger heat flow. This younger tectonic province was built up by the Late Mesozoic fold belts, which were affected by crustal extension in the Late Cenozoic along the Cherskii Range (Grachev 1982; Cook et al. 1986; Parfenov et al. 1988; Fujita et al. 1990a; Paech et al. 1998). The high heat-flow values are found near the Moma Rift, which is a zone of young elongated depressions, basalt volcanism and hot springs (Argunov & Gavrikov 1960; Naymark 1976). Grachev (1999), on the basis of the geochemistry of the recent basaltts of Northeastern Asia, postulates that this area is influenced by a mantle plume, which is under an initial stage of development.

The heat flow measured at the continental slope of the LSCM is considerably higher than is known from an onshore ‘hot’ region. The revealed actual basal heat-flow values of 85–117 mW m\(^{-2}\) exceed the regional background value 38 mW m\(^{-2}\) by a factor of 2.5. The hydrothermal site at the southern termination of the Gakkel Ridge identified by assemblages of bivalve shells indicates a high heat flux in conjunction with a fluid drainage site. Both a high heat flow and hydrothermal benthos are typical for a spreading axis environment and can be considered as evidence of ongoing extension at the up-slope prolongation of the BSA.

**CONCLUSION**

The northern Laptev Sea is one of the few places globally where a currently active spreading ridge approaches a continental margin. Because of its tectonic setting this region represents a unique natural laboratory for addressing the processes of the breakup of continents and their impact on the natural environment. Significant progress in studying the spreading ridge/continental margin intersection in the Laptev Sea has been achieved over the past ten years. New geophysical observations, primarily the Russian and German multichannel seismic reflection data, ERS-1 and ERS-2 satellite altimeter-derived gravity field and heat-flow measurements, have shed more light on this unique tectonic junction.

Based on seismic reflection and gravity data, the spreading axis of the Gakkel Ridge is traced under a thick Cenozoic sedimentary cover of the eastern Eurasia Basin up to the continental rise, representing the unique occurrence of a sediment-covered ultraslow spreading axis. Its further continuation into the area of the continental slope can be attributed to two asymmetric grabens, which terminate against the prominent Khatanga–Lomonosov Fracture. Hydrothermal faunal remnants and the high heat flow (85–117 mW m\(^{-2}\)) documented around these grabens in the up-slope area are typical characteristics for an oceanic divergent axis. Thus we consider these grabens as an extreme end of the global Atlantic–Arctic spreading system.

In a modern tectonic setting the Laptev Sea is an area of interaction between the North American and Eurasian lithospheric plates. The geometry of the southward continuation of the divergent plate boundary as it passes from the Eurasia Basin on to the Laptev Shelf can only be inferred from seismologic data and multichannel seismic reflection surveys. Probably, as has already been mentioned by Drachev (2000) and Franke et al. (2000), the buried spreading axis of the Gakkel Ridge does not penetrate directly through the
Khatanga–Lomonosov Fracture and spreading is not taking place within the shelf. A linear narrow zone of seismicity follows the Gakkel Ridge rift valley and then turns to the Western Graben at the continental slope. Further shelf continuation of the divergent plate boundary can be inferred westward of the New Siberian Islands where a high seismicity zone corresponds to the northern part of the Bel’kov–Svyatoi Nos Rift. Distribution of the earthquake epicentres, increased heat flow and currently active normal faults allow us to assume a 140–150 km eastward offset of the present-day divergent plate boundary in the area of the Eurasia Basin–Laptev Sea transition along the Khatanga–Lomonosov Fracture. Further to the south the plate boundary is found in the Cherskii Mountain Belt, as shown by Savostin & Karasik (1981), Grachev (1982), Cook et al. (1986), Parfenov et al. (1988), Fujita et al. (1990a) and Franke et al. (2000).
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