Nitrogen cycling in shallow low-oxygen coastal waters off Peru from nitrite and nitrate nitrogen and oxygen isotopes
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Abstract. O2 deficient zones (ODZs) of the world’s oceans are important locations for microbial dissimilatory nitrate (NO3−) reduction and subsequent loss of combined nitrogen (N) to biogenic N2 gas. ODZs are generally coupled to regions of high productivity leading to high rates of N-loss as found in the coastal upwelling region off Peru. Stable N and O isotope ratios can be used as natural tracers of ODZ N-cycling because of distinct kinetic isotope effects associated with microbially mediated N-cycle transformations. Here we present NO3− and nitrite (NO2−) stable isotope data from the nearshore upwelling region off Callao, Peru. Subsurface oxygen was generally depleted below about 30 m depth with concentrations less than 10 µM, while NO3− concentrations were high, ranging from 6 to 10 µM, and NO2− was in places strongly depleted to near 0 µM. We observed for the first time a positive linear relationship between NO3− δ15N and δ18O at our coastal stations, analogous to that of NO3− N and O isotopes during NO3− uptake and dissimilatory reduction. This relationship is likely the result of rapid NO3− turnover due to higher organic matter flux in these coastal upwelling waters. No such relationship was observed at offshore stations where slower turnover of NO3− facilitates dominance of isotope exchange with water. We also evaluate the overall isotope fractionation effect for N-loss in this system using several approaches that vary in their underlying assumptions. While there are differences in apparent fractionation factor (ε) for N-loss as calculated from the δ15N of NO3−, dissolved inorganic N, or biogenic N2, values for ε are generally much lower than previously reported, reaching as low as 6.5‰. A possible explanation is the influence of sedimentary N-loss at our inshore stations which incurs highly suppressed isotope fractionation.

1 Introduction

Chemically combined nitrogen (N), e.g., nitrate (NO3−), is an important phytoplankton nutrient limiting primary productivity and carbon export throughout much of the ocean (e.g. Gruber, 2008). The marine nitrogen cycle involves a series of microbial processes, which transfer N between a number of chemical forms. These include N2 fixation, nitrification (ammonium (NH4+) to nitrite (NO2−) oxidation), and loss of combined N to N2 via denitrification and anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox). Of particular importance is the global balance between sources of combined N (N2 fixation) and N-loss processes which ultimately control the combined N content of the ocean and thus its productivity and strength of the biological carbon pump. N-loss typically occurs under nearly anoxic conditions where the first step, dissimilatory NO3− reduction to NO2−, active at oxygen (O2) concentrations less than ~25 µM (Kalvelage et al., 2011), is used by heterotrophic microbes in lieu of O2 for respiration. Canonically, the denitrification pathway of successive reduction of NO3−, NO2−, nitric oxide (NO), and nitrous oxide (N2O) to N2 was considered as the dominant pathway for N-loss. However, since the early 2000s, anammox (NO2− + NH4+ → N2) was found to be widespread in the ocean (Kuypers et al., 2003, 2005; Hamersley et al., 2007; Dalsgaard et al., 2012; Kalvelage et al., 2013). While it is still a
matter of debate whether denitrification or anammox is the dominant pathways for N-loss in Oxygen Minimum Zones (ODZs) (e.g., Lam et al., 2009; Ward et al., 2009), both N-loss processes have been shown to strongly vary spatially and temporally and are linked to organic matter export and composition (Kalvelage et al., 2013; Babbin et al., 2014). It follows that there is still considerable uncertainty as to the controls on N-loss as well as the role for other linking processes such as DNRA (NO$_3^-$ to NH$_4^+$) and NO$_2^-$ oxidation in the absence of O$_2$.

Marine N-loss to N$_2$ occurs predominately in reducing sediments and the O$_2$ deficient water columns found in the Arabian Sea and Eastern Tropical North and South Pacific ODZs (Lam and Kuypers, 2011 and references therein; Ulloa et al., 2012). NO$_3^-$ is an important intermediate during N-loss and generally accumulates at concentrations up to $\sim$10 µM in these regions (Codispoti et al., 1986; Casciotti et al., 2013). The depletion of NO$_3^-$ is typically quantified as a dissolved inorganic N (DIN = NO$_3^- +$ NO$_2^- +$ NH$_4^+$) deficit relative to phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$) assuming Redfield stoichiometry and the accumulation of biogenic N$_2$ (when measured) is detected as anomalies in N$_2$/Ar relative to saturation with atmosphere (Richards and Benson, 1961; Chang et al., 2010; Bourbonnais et al., 2015).

NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ N and O isotopes represent a useful tool to study N cycle transformations as they respond to in situ processes and integrate over their characteristic time and space scales. Biologically mediated reactions are generally faster for lighter isotopes. For instance, both NO$_3^-$ uptake and dissimilatory NO$_3^-$ reduction produce a strong enrichment in both $^{15}$N ($\delta^{15}$N = [(15N/14N)$_{\text{sample}}$] / [(15N/14N)$_{\text{standard}}$] − 1] × 1000) and $^{18}$O ($\delta^{18}$O = [(18O/16O)$_{\text{sample}}$] / [(18O/16O)$_{\text{standard}}$] − 1] × 1000) in the residual NO$_3^-$ (Cline and Kaplan, 1975; Brandes et al., 1998; Voss et al., 2001; Granger et al., 2004, 2008; Sigman et al., 2005).

Canonical values for the N isotope effect ($\epsilon \approx \delta^{15}$N$_{\text{substrate}} - \delta^{15}$N$_{\text{product}}$, without significant substrate depletion) associated with microbial NO$_3^-$ reduction during water column denitrification range from 20 to 30 ‰ (Brandes et al., 1998; Voss et al., 2001; Granger et al., 2008). In contrast, the expression of the isotope effect of sedimentary denitrification is highly suppressed as compared to the water-column (generally < 3 ‰) mostly due to near complete consumption of the porewater NO$_3^-$ and diffusion limitation (Brandes and Devol, 1997; Lehmann et al., 2007; Alkhatib et al., 2012). The $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{18}$O of NO$_3^-$ are affected in fundamentally different ways during NO$_3^-$ consumption and production processes. The ratio of the $^{15}$N and $^{18}$O fractionation factors ($^{18}\epsilon : ^{15}\epsilon$) during NO$_3^-$ consumption during denitrification or assimilation by phytoplankton in surface waters is close to 1 : 1 (Casciotti et al., 2002; Granger et al., 2004, 2008). While the $\delta^{15}$N of the newly nitrified NO$_3^-$ depends on the $\delta^{15}$N of the precursor molecule being nitrified, the O atom is mostly derived from water (with a $\delta^{18}$O of $\sim$0 ‰) with significant isotopic fractionation associated with O incorporation during NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ oxidation (Casciotti, 2002; Buchwald and Casciotti, 2010; Casciotti et al., 2010). Therefore, any deviation from this 1:1 ratio in the field has been interpreted as evidence that NO$_3^-$ regeneration is co-occurring with NO$_3^-$ consumption (Sigman et al., 2005; Casciotti and Mclniv, 2007; Bourbonnais et al., 2009).

Additional information on N-cycling processes can be obtained from the isotopic composition of NO$_2^-$ to NO$_3^-$. For example, because of its inverse N isotope effect, NO$_2^-$ oxidation results in a lower NO$_2^-$/$^{15}$N than initially produced by NH$_4^+$ oxidation and NO$_3^-$ reduction (Casciotti, 2009; Brunner et al., 2013). Logically, NO$_2^-$ reduction would be expected to produce a positive relationship between $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_2^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_2^-$ though there are no quantitative observations in the literature. Analogous to NO$_3^-$ reduction, it also involves enzymatic breakage of the N-O bond. However, O-isotope exchange of NO$_2^-$ with water (as a function of pH and temperature) would reduce the slope of a NO$_2^-$ $\delta^{18}$O vs. $\delta^{15}$N relationship toward zero. NO$_2^-$ turnover time can therefore be assessed from this observed relationship and in situ pH and temperature (Buchwald and Casciotti, 2013).

It is still under discussion whether the global ocean N budget is in balance. Current estimates from direct observations and models for N$_2$ fixation, considered the primary marine N source, range from 110–330 Tg N yr$^{-1}$ (Brandes and Devol, 2002; Gruber, 2004; Deutsch et al., 2007; Eugster and Gruber, 2012; Großkopf et al., 2012). Estimates for marine N-sinks, i.e., denitrification and anammox in the water-column of oxygen deficient zones and sediments account for 145–450 Tg N yr$^{-1}$ (Gruber, 2004; Codispoti, 2007; De Vries et al., 2012; Eugster and Gruber, 2012). Large uncertainties are associated with this budget, mainly in constraining the proportion of sedimentary denitrification which is typically estimated from ocean’s N isotopic balance and the expressed isotope effects for water-column vs. sedimentary NO$_3^-$ reduction during denitrification (e.g. Brandes and Devol, 2002; Altabet, 2007; De Vries et al., 2012). Liu (1979) was first to suggest a lower $\epsilon$ for denitrification in the Peru ODZ as compared to the subsequently accepted canonical range for NO$_3^-$ reduction of 20 to 30 ‰ (Brandes et al., 1998; Voss et al., 2001; Granger et al., 2008). Ryabenko et al. (2012) provided a more widely distributed set of data in support. Most recently, a detailed study in a region of extreme N-loss associated with a Peru coastal mode-water eddy confirmed an $\epsilon$ value for N-loss of $\sim$14 ‰ (Bourbonnais et al., 2015).
plying such a lowered value to global budgets would bring the global N budget closer to balance.

Ryabenko et al. (2012) also suggested that ε values were even lower in the shelf region of the Peru ODZ. To investigate further, we present here N and O isotope data for NO$_3^−$ and NO$_2^−$ from shallow coastal waters near Callao, off the coast of Peru. These waters are highly productive as a consequence of active upwelling that is also responsible for shoaling of the oxycline. We determine the relationship between NO$_3^−$δ$^{15}$N and δ$^{18}$O and its implication for NO$_2^−$ cycling in these shallow waters as compared to offshore stations. We finally derive isotope effects for N-loss and infer the likely influence of sedimentary N-loss, which incurs a highly suppressed isotope effect, at our relatively shallow sites.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Sampling

The R/V Meteor 91 research cruise (M91) to the eastern tropical South Pacific Ocean off Peru in December 2012 was part of the SOPRAN program and the German SFB 754 project. It included an along shore transect of seven inner shelf stations located between 12 to 14°S that were chosen for this study (Fig. 1). These stations had a maximum depth of 150 m except for station 68 (250 m depth). We additionally sampled deep offshore stations during the M90 cruise in November 2012. Samples for NO$_3^−$ and NO$_2^−$ isotopic composition and N$_2$ / Ar ratio were collected using Niskin bottles mounted on a CTD/Rosette system, which was equipped with pressure, temperature, conductivity, and oxygen sensors. O$_2$ concentrations were determined using a Seabird sensor, calibrated using the Winkler method (precision of 0.45 μmol L$^{−1}$) with a lower detection limit of 2 μmol L$^{−1}$. Nutrients concentrations were measured on board using standard methods as described in Stramma et al. (2013).

2.2 NO$_2^−$ and NO$_3^−$ isotope analysis

NO$_2^−$ samples were stored in 125 mL HDPE bottles preloaded with 2.25 mL 6 M NaOH to prevent microbial activity as well as alteration of δ$^{18}$O-NO$_2^−$ by isotope exchange with water (Casciotti et al., 2007). Bottles were kept frozen after sample collection, though we have subsequently determined in the laboratory that seawater samples preserved in this way can be kept at room temperature for at least a year without alteration of NO$_2^−$δ$^{15}$N or δ$^{18}$O (unpublished data). Samples were analyzed by continuous He flow isotope-ratio mass spectrometer (CF-IRMS; see below) after chemical conversion to N$_2$O using acetic acid buffered sodium azide (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005). Because of high sample pH, the reagent was modified for NO$_3^−$ isotope analysis by increasing the acetic acid concentration to 7.84 M. In-house (i.e., MAA1, δ$^{15}$N = −60.6‰; MAA2, δ$^{15}$N = 3.9‰; Zh1, δ$^{15}$N = −16.4‰) and other laboratory calibration standards (N23, δ$^{15}$N = 3.7‰ and δ$^{18}$O = 11.4‰; N7373, δ$^{15}$N = −79.6‰ and δ$^{18}$O = 4.5‰; N10219; δ$^{15}$N = 2.8‰ and δ$^{18}$O = 88.5‰; see Casciotti and McIlvin, 2007) were used for NO$_2^−$δ$^{15}$N and δ$^{18}$O analysis.

NO$_3^−$ samples were stored in 125 mL HDPE bottles preloaded with 1 mL of 2.5 mM sulfamic acid in 25% HCl to both act as a preservative and to remove NO$_2^−$ (Granger and Sigman, 2009). Samples were also kept at room temperature and we have found that they can be stored in this way for many years without alteration of NO$_3^−$δ$^{15}$N or δ$^{18}$O. Cadmium reduction was used to convert NO$_3^−$ to NO$_2^−$ prior to conversion to N$_2$O using the “azide method” (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005) and IRMS analysis. Standards for NO$_3^−$ isotope analysis were N3 (δ$^{15}$N = 4.7‰ and δ$^{18}$O = 25.6‰), USGS34 (δ$^{15}$N = −1.8‰ and δ$^{18}$O = −27.9‰), and USGS35 (δ$^{15}$N = 2.7‰ and δ$^{18}$O = 57.5‰) (Casciotti et al., 2007). The lowest concentration of NO$_3^−$ or NO$_2^−$ analyzed for isotopic composition was 0.5 μM, thus δ$^{15}$N-NO$_3^−$ and δ$^{15}$N-NO$_2^−$ could not be measured below 37 m at station 63.

A GV Instruments IsoPrime Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (IRMS) coupled to an on-line He continuous-flow purge and/or trap preparation system was used for isotopic analysis (Sigman et al., 2001; Casciotti et al., 2002; McIlvin and Altabet, 2005). N$_2$O produced by the azide reaction was purged with He from the septum sealed 20 mL vials and trapped, cryofocused and purified prior to transfer to the IRMS. Total run time was 700 s sample$^{−1}$ (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005). Isotopic values are referenced against atmospheric N$_2$ for δ$^{15}$N and VSMOW for δ$^{18}$O. Reproducibility was 0.2 and 0.5‰, respectively.

2.3 N$_2$ / Ar IRMS analysis and calculation of biogenic N$_2$ and δ$^{15}$N biogenic N$_2$

The accumulation of biogenic N$_2$ from denitrification and anammox can be measured directly from precise N$_2$ / Ar measurements (see above; Richards and Benson, 1961; Chang et al., 2010; Bourbonnais et al., 2015). As described in Charoenpong et al. (2014), N$_2$ / Ar samples were collected from Niskin bottles using 125 mL serum bottles, and all samples were treated with HgCl$_2$ as a preservative and filled without headspace. When cavitation bubbles formed from cooling of warm, near-surface samples, these bubbles were collapsed and reabsorbed by warming samples in the laboratory in a 30–35°C water bath before analysis. N$_2$ / Ar was measured using an automated dissolved gas extraction system coupled to a multicollector IRMS (Charoenpong et al., 2014). Excess N$_2$ was calculated first from anomalies relative to N$_2$ / Ar expected at saturation with atmosphere at in situ temperature and salinity. Locally produced biogenic N$_2$ was obtained by subtracting excess N$_2$ at the corresponding density surface for waters outside of the ODZ (O$_2$ > 10 μM).
not affected by N-loss (Chang et al., 2010; Bourbonnais et al., 2015). $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ biogenic N$_2$ was calculated from the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$-N$_2$ anomaly as in Bourbonnais et al. (2015). Reproducibility was better than 0.7 $\mu$M for excess N$_2$ and 0.03‰ for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$-N$_2$. $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of biogenic N$_2$ was calculated by mass balance as in Bourbonnais et al. (2015).

2.4 Isotope effect ($\varepsilon$) calculations

Isotope effects are estimated using the Rayleigh equations describing the change in isotope ratio as a function of fraction of remaining substrate. The following equations are used for a closed system (Mariotti et al., 1981):

\[
\delta^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_3^- = \delta^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_3^- (f = 1) - \varepsilon \times \ln[f_1], \tag{1}
\]
\[
\delta^{15}\text{N}-\text{DIN} = \delta^{15}\text{N}-\text{DIN}(f = 1) - \varepsilon \times \ln[f_2]. \tag{2}
\]

where $f_1$ is the fraction of remaining NO$_3^-$ and $f_2$ is the fraction of remaining DIN (NO$_3^+$ + NO$_2^-$ concentrations). $\delta^{15}\text{N}$-DIN is the average $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ for NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ weighted by their concentrations. The fraction of remaining DIN is a better estimation of the overall effective isotope effect for N-loss (Bourbonnais et al., 2015), while using NO$_3^-$ as the basis to calculate $\varepsilon$ specifically targets NO$_3^-$ reduction. See below for details of $f$ value calculation.

The overall isotope effect for N-loss can also be estimated from the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of biogenic N$_2$ produced:

\[
\delta^{15}\text{N}-\text{biogenic N}_2 = \delta^{15}\text{N}-\text{DIN}(f = 1) + \varepsilon \times f_2/[1 - f_2] \times \ln[f_2], \tag{3}
\]

whereas the closed system equations assume no addition or loss of substrate or product, corresponding steady-state open system equations can account for such effects (Altabet,

For all equations, the slope represents $\varepsilon$ and the y intercept is the initial $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ prior to N-loss. For calculations using Eqs. (3) and (6) we only used $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values associated with biogenic N$_2$ greater than 7.5 $\mu$M because of increasing noise below this level due to the large atmospheric dissolved N$_2$ background (typically up to $\sim$ 500 $\mu$M).

Since the closed system equations assume no loss or re-supply of substrate or production in a water parcel, they are appropriate where there is little mixing and/or advection is dominant over mixing. The open system equations take into account supply from or loss to surrounding water parcels, e.g. mixing dominance. Both cases represent extreme situations.

In the next section, we will estimate and compare $\varepsilon$ using both sets of equations.

To do so, we need to estimate the fraction of NO$_3^-$ or DIN remaining ($f$). The assumption of Redfield stoichiometry (as in Eq. 9) in source waters is typically made:

\[
f_{1p} = [\text{NO}_3^-]/\text{Np}_{\text{expected}} \text{ or } \tag{7}
\]
\[
f_{2p} = ([\text{NO}_3^-] + [\text{NO}_2^-])/\text{Np}_{\text{expected}} \tag{8}
\]
\[
\text{Np}_{\text{expected}} = 15.8 \times ([\text{PO}_4^{3-}] - 0.3) \tag{9}
\]
\[
\text{N}_{\text{observed}} = [\text{NO}_3^-] + [\text{NO}_2^-] + [\text{NH}_4^+]. \tag{10}
\]

where Np$_{\text{expected}}$ is the concentration expected assuming Redfield stoichiometry. Equation (9) was derived in Chang et al. (2010) from stations to the west of the ETSP ODZ (143–146°W) and takes into account preformed nutrient concentrations. In our study, NH$_4^+$ generally did not significantly accumulate, except at station 63, and was thus not included.
This has been the traditional approach to quantify N-loss in ODZs (N deficit, N$_{\text{def}}$), by comparing observed DIN concentrations (N$_{\text{observed}}$) to N$_{\text{expected}}$:

$$
N_{\text{def}} = N_{\text{expected}} - N_{\text{observed}}.
$$

(11)

However, the assumption of Redfield stoichiometry may not be appropriate in this shallow environment due to preferential release of PO$_4^{3-}$ following iron and manganese oxyhydroxide dissolution in anoxic sediments (e.g., Noffke et al., 2012). An alternative method of calculating $f$ makes use of our biogenic N$_2$ measurements to estimate expected N prior to N-loss (N$_{\text{expected}}$–bio N$_2$) and $f$ values based on it:

$$
f_{\text{bioN}_2} = \frac{[\text{NO}_3^-]}{N_{\text{expected}} - \text{bioN}_2} \quad \text{(12)}$$

$$
f_{2\text{bioN}_2} = \frac{[\text{NO}_3^- + \text{NO}_2^/-]/N_{\text{expected}} - \text{bioN}_2}. \quad \text{(13)}$$

A third way to estimate $f$ is to use NO$_3^-$ or DIN concentrations divided by observed maximum NO$_3^-$ or DIN concentrations for the source of the upwelled waters (see red rectangles in Fig. 2).

3 Results

3.1 Hydrographic characterization

During the study period, there was active coastal upwelling especially at station 63 as seen by relatively low satellite sea surface temperatures, higher chlorophyll $a$ concentrations, and a shallow oxycline (Fig. 1). A common relationship and narrow range for $T$ and $S$ were found, comparable to $T/S$ signatures for offshore ODZ waters between ~100 and 200 m depths (Bourbonnais et al. 2015), indicating a common source of water upwelling at these inner shelf stations (Fig. 2). This is expected in these shallow waters, where upwelling of the Peru coastal current with low O$_2$ and high nutrients plays a dominant role (Penven et al., 2005). O$_2$ increased only in warmer near-surface waters as a consequence of atmospheric exchange. There was a change in surface water temperature from 15 to 20°C (Fig. 1b) with distance along the coast (from 12.0 to 14.0°S, about 222 km) that indicates corresponding changes in upwelling intensity. Stronger local wind forcing likely brought up colder deep water near station 63.

3.2 Dissolved O$_2$ and nutrient concentrations

As a consequence of active upwelling sourced from the offshore ODZ, the oxycline was very shallow at our inshore stations. O$_2$ was generally depleted below 10 to 20 m (Fig. 3a) and was always less than 10 µM below 30 m. Because we are focusing on N-transformations that occur in the absence of O$_2$, our data analyses will be mainly restricted to samples where O$_2$ concentration is below this value. Whereas a recent study indicates that denitrification and anammox are reversibly suppressed at nanomolar O$_2$ levels (Dalsgaard et al., 2014), CTD deployed Seabird O$_2$ sensors are not sufficiently sensitive to detect such low concentrations and hence our choice of a 10 µM threshold. In contrast, NO$_2^-$ oxidation, an aerobic process, was shown to occur even at low to non-detectable O$_2$ (Füssel et al., 2012).

Both Si(OH)$_4$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ concentrations had very similar vertical and along section distributions (Fig. 3c, d). Concentrations were at a minimum at the surface, presumably due to phytoplankton uptake, and increased with depth to up to 46 and 3.7 µM, respectively. Station 63 had the highest near-bottom concentrations, a likely result of release from the sediments, which is further supported by high near-bottom NH$_4^+$ concentrations (up to ~4 µM) as compared to the other stations (Fig. 3b, c, d).

In contrast to other nutrients, NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ concentrations were lowest near-bottom at station 63, only reaching their maxima above 60 m. Across most of our stations, NO$_3^-$ concentration was 22 µM at 20 to 40 m depth but decreased to near zero deeper within the O$_2$-depleted zone due to microbially mediated NO$_3^-$ reduction (Fig. 4a). NO$_2^-$ concentrations correspondingly ranged from 6 to 11 µM for O$_2$ concentrations less than 10 µM (Fig. 4b). The highest NO$_2^-$ concentration (11 µM) was found at around 50 m (station 64), but only reached 6 µM at all other stations.

3.3 NO$_2^-$ and NO$_3^-$ isotope compositions

As a consequence of kinetic isotope fractionation during N-loss, the N and O isotope composition of NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ varied inversely with NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ concentrations, with maximum δ$^{15}$N and δ$^{18}$O values near the bottom at each station. δ$^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ increased from about 10 ‰ in surface waters to up to 50 ‰ in the O$_2$-depleted zone due to microbially mediated NO$_3^-$ reduction (Fig. 4a). NO$_2^-$ concentrations correspondingly ranged from 6 to 11 µM for O$_2$ concentrations less than 10 µM (Fig. 4b). The highest NO$_2^-$ concentration (11 µM) was found at around 50 m (station 64), but only reached 6 µM at all other stations.

As expected for NO$_3^-$ reduction, δ$^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ positively co-varied with δ$^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and ranged from 12 to 46 ‰. We observed an overall linear relationship between δ$^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and δ$^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ with a slope of 0.86, which was significantly different than 1 (p value <0.05), and a y intercept of 1.90 (r$^2$ = 0.996, see Fig. 5a). NO$_3^-$ δ$^{15}$N and δ$^{18}$O have been shown to increase equally (ratio 1 : 1) during assimilatory and dissimilatory NO$_3^-$ reduction (Casciotti et al., 2002; Granger et al., 2004, 2008). However, deviations from this trend have been observed in the ocean and interpreted as evidence for co-occurring NO$_3^-$ production processes (Sigman et al., 2005; Casciotti and McIlvin, 2007; Bourbonnais et al., 2009, 2015). In this study, we observed a NO$_3^-$ δ$^{18}$O vs. δ$^{15}$N...
relationship less than 1, likely originating from NO$_2^-$ re-oxidation to NO$_3^-$ in our environmental setting as in Casciotti and McIlvin (2007). We also observed, for the first time, a significant correlation between $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_2^-$ in the ODZ for our in-shore water stations (Fig. 5b). As in prior studies (Casciotti and McIlvin, 2007; Casciotti et al., 2013), no such relationship was observed by us for a nearby set of offshore stations (see Fig. 5c) where longer NO$_2^-$ turnover times likely facilitated O isotope exchange with water. We will discuss implications of this unique finding in the next section.

3.4 The $\delta^{15}$N difference between NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$

The difference in $\delta^{15}$N between NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ ($\Delta \delta^{15}$N) reflects the combined isotope effects of simultaneous NO$_3^-$ reduction, NO$_2^-$ reduction, and NO$_2^-$ oxidation. For NO$_3^-$ reduction alone, highest $\Delta \delta^{15}$N values would be around 25% at steady-state (Cline and Kaplan, 1975; Brandes et al., 1998; Voss et al., 2001; Granger et al., 2004, 2008). The effect of NO$_2^-$ reduction would be to increase the $\delta^{15}$N of the residual NO$_3^-$, thus decreasing $\Delta \delta^{15}$N. In contrast, NO$_2^-$ oxidation is associated with an inverse kinetic isotope effect (Casciotti, 2009) which acts to decrease the residual $\delta^{15}$N of NO$_2^-$.
and hence overall increases the $\Delta \delta^{15}N$. Therefore, following NO$_3^-$ oxidation, $\Delta \delta^{15}N$ may be larger than expected from NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ reduction alone, especially if the system is not at steady-state (Casciotti et al., 2013). $\Delta \delta^{15}N$ ranged from 15 to 40 ‰ (average = 29.78 ‰ and median = 32.5 ‰) for samples with O$_2$ < 10 µM. These results confirm the presence of NO$_2^-$ oxidation for at least some of our depth intervals.

### 3.5 N deficit, biogenic N$_2$ and $\delta^{15}N$-N$_2$

N deficits, biogenic N$_2$ concentrations, and $\delta^{15}N$-N$_2$ anomalies relative to equilibrium with atmosphere were overall greater in the O$_2$-depleted zone reaching highest values near the bottom of station 63 (Fig. 7). N deficit, calculated assuming Redfield stoichiometry (Eqs. 9 to 11), ranged from 17 to 59 µM in this region. The concentration of biogenic N in N$_2$ ranged from 12 to 36 µM-N and, as expected, was strongly linearly correlated with N deficit ($r^2 = 0.87$; Fig. 8c). However, the slope of 0.45 for the linear relationship shows biogenic N in N$_2$ to be only half that expected from Np$_{def}$, a possible consequence of benthic PO$_4^3-$ release. The linear relationship ($r^2 = 0.91$) observed between biogenic N in N$_2$ and DIN (Fig. 8a) supports a single initial DIN value for the source waters to our stations and hence validates using this as a basis for calculating $f$. The slope of the correlation (0.74) is much closer to 1 as compared to the correlation with Np$_{def}$, further supporting excess PO$_4^3-$ as a contributor to the latter. However this value is still significantly less than 1, suggesting that biogenic N in N$_2$ may also be underestimated. Because our data are restricted to O$_2$-depleted depths, it is unlikely that biogenic N$_2$ was lost to the atmosphere. Alternatively, mixing of water varying in N$_2$ / Ar can result in such underestimates of biogenic N$_2$ when N$_2$ / Ar anomalies are used to calculate excess N$_2$ (see Charoenpong et al., 2014). As seen below, our estimates of $f$ are rather insensitive to choice of Np$_{def}$, biogenic N in N$_2$, or DIN concentration changes as the basis for calculation of $f$.

The $\delta^{15}N$-N$_2$ anomaly, i.e., the difference between the $\delta^{15}N$-N$_2$ observed and at equilibrium, derived as in Charoenpong et al. (2014), ranged from −0.2 to 0.1 ‰ (Fig. 7c). The corresponding range in $\delta^{15}N$ biogenic N$_2$ at O$_2$ < 10 µM was from −9.0 to 3.2 ‰. Negative $\delta^{15}N$-N$_2$ anomaly (i.e., lower $\delta^{15}N$-biogenic N$_2$) is produced at the onset of N-loss, because extremely depleted $^{15}N$-N$_2$ is first produced. At a more advanced N-loss stage, we expect $\delta^{15}N$-N$_2$ anomaly and $\delta^{15}N$-biogenic N$_2$ to increase, which we observed in this study, as heavier $^{15}N$ is added to the biogenic N$_2$ pool. The $\delta^{15}N$-N$_2$ anomaly signal appears small when compared to the isotopic composition of NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ but is (1) analytically significant and (2) the result of dilution by the large background of atmospheric N$_2$ (400 to 500 µM N$_2$).

### 3.6 Isotope effect ($\epsilon$)

Isotope effects were calculated using Eqs. (1) to (6) to compare closed vs. open system assumptions as well as different approaches to estimating $f$. Examples of plots of the closed system equations with $f$ calculated using biogenic N$_2$, are shown in Fig. 6. Comparison of results using all three approaches for calculating $f$ (i.e. Redfield stoichiometry, biogenic N$_2$ and observed substrate divided by maximum “up-welled” concentration, (see Sect. 2.4)) are shown in Table 1 (closed system) and 2 (open system). In the case of the closed...
system, \( \varepsilon \) values were in all cases lower than canonical ones, ranging narrowly from \( \sim 6\%e \) for changes in the \( \delta^{15}N \) of DIN to \( \sim 14\%e \) for changes in \( \delta^{15}N-\text{NO}_3^- \) (Table 1). For the open system equations, estimated \( \varepsilon \) was higher and covered a large and unrealistic range from \( \sim 12\%e \) for changes in the biogenic \( \text{N}_2 \) to \( \sim 63\%e \) for changes in the \( \delta^{15}N \) of \( \text{NO}_3^- \). For our inshore water stations, where we observed a single water mass (Fig. 2), a closed system should be a more realistic approximation of \( \varepsilon \). The Rayleigh equations’ \( y \) intercepts, where \( f = 1 \), represent the initial \( \delta^{15}N \) of \( \text{NO}_3^- \) or DIN, and varied from \( -0.5 \) to \( 10.9 \) and \( -21.9 \) to \( 8.5\%e \) for closed and open systems, respectively. The higher end of this range is more realistic based on prior isotopic measurements for source waters (e.g., Bourbonnais et al., 2015).

4 Discussion

4.1 Behavior of \( \text{NO}_2^- \)

\( \text{NO}_2^- \) is an important intermediate during either oxidative or reductive N-cycle pathways and can accumulate at relatively high concentrations through the ocean. While \( \text{NO}_2^- \) is generally elevated at the base of the sunlit euphotic zone (i.e. primary \( \text{NO}_2^- \) maximum; Dore and Karl, 1996; Lomas and Lipschultz, 2006), highest concentrations are found in ODZs as part of the secondary \( \text{NO}_2^- \) maximum (Codispoti and Christensen 1985; Lam et al., 2011). Accordingly, high \( \text{NO}_2^- \) concentrations ranging from 7.2 to 10.7 \( \mu \text{M} \) were observed at 50–75 m depth in coastal \( \text{O}_2 \)-depleted waters in this study as a likely consequence of dissimilatory \( \text{NO}_2^- \) reduction (e.g., Lipschultz et al., 1990; Lam et al., 2009; Kalvelage et al., 2013).

To assess the influence of the various N cycle processes that have \( \text{NO}_2^- \) as either a substrate or product, we first examined the relationship between the \( \delta^{15}N \) and \( \delta^{18}O \) of \( \text{NO}_2^- \). Several processes can influence the isotopic composition of \( \text{NO}_2^- \). \( \text{NO}_2^- \) reduction to \( \text{NO}_3^- \) is associated with a \( \varepsilon \) of 20 to 30\%e (Cline and Kaplan, 1975; Brandes et al., 1998; Voss et al., 2001; Granger et al., 2004, 2008) and acts to produce \( \text{NO}_2^- \) depleted in \( ^{15}N \) and \( ^{18}O \). In contrast, \( \text{NO}_2^- \) reduction as part of either anammox, denitrification or DNRA increases both the \( \delta^{15}N \) and \( \delta^{18}O \) of residual \( \text{NO}_2^- \), with laboratory and field estimates for \( \varepsilon \) clustering around 12 to 16\%e (Bryan et al., 1983; Brunner et al., 2013; Bourbonnais et al., 2015). However, \( \text{NO}_2^- \) oxidation to \( \text{NO}_3^- \) at low or non-detectable \( \text{O}_2 \) has been shown to be an important sink for \( \text{NO}_2^- \) in ODZs (e.g. Füssel et al., 2012). Anammox bacteria can also use...
NO$_3^-$ as an electron donor during CO$_2$ fixation under anaerobic conditions (Strous et al., 2006).

Nitrite oxidation has its own unique set of isotope effects (Casciotti, 2009; Buchwald and Casciotti, 2010). Nitrite oxidation incurs an unusual inverse N isotope effect varying from $-13\%$ for aerobic (Casciotti, 2009) to $-30\%$ for anammox-mediated NO$_2^-$ oxidation (Brunner et al., 2013), resulting in lower $\delta^{15}N$ for NO$_2^-$ as it is oxidized to NO$_3^-$, and increasing $\Delta \delta^{15}N$. Moreover, enzyme catalysis associated with NO$_2^-$ oxidation is readily reversible (Friedman et al., 1986) also causing O isotope exchange between NO$_3^-$ and water (Casciotti et al., 2007). O atom incorporation during both NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ oxidation have also been shown to occur with significant isotope effect, such that the $\delta^{18}O$ of newly microbially produced NO$_3^-$ in the ocean range from $-1.5$ to $1.3\%$ (Buchwald and Casciotti, 2012).

Past studies have found NO$_3^-\delta^{18}O$ values in ODZ’s in isotope equilibrium with water as a likely consequence of relatively long turnover time (e.g., Buchwald and Casciotti, 2013; Bourbonnais et al., 2015). O isotope exchange involves the protonated form, HNO$_2$, but because of its high pKa as compared to NO$_3^-$, this process can occur even at neutral to alkaline ocean pH on a timescale of 2 to 3 months at environmentally relevant temperatures (Casciotti et al., 2007). NO$_3^-\delta^{18}O$ isotopic composition at equilibrium with water is a function of the $\delta^{18}O$ of water and temperature ($+14\%$ for seawater at 22 $^\circ$C) (Casciotti et al., 2007; Buchwald and Casciotti, 2013) and is independent of its $\delta^{15}N$ value such that plots of NO$_3^-\delta^{18}O$ vs. $\delta^{15}N$ usually have a slope of near zero. This is seen in our NO$_3^-$ data from offshore stations occupied during M90 (Fig. 5c).

Figure 6. Raleigh relationships used to estimate $\epsilon$ (slope) and initial $\delta^{15}N$-substrate ($y$ intercept) assuming a closed system. (a) for NO$_3^-$ reduction (Eq. 1 and text, Sect. 2.4), (b) for N-loss calculated from the substrate (DIN) consumption (Eq. 2 and text, Sect. 2.4) and (c) for N-loss calculated from the $\delta^{15}N$ of biogenic N$_2$ (Eq. 3 and text, Sect. 2.4). In (e), only samples with O$_2$ concentrations less than 10 $\mu$M and biogenic N$_2$ values $>7.5$ $\mu$M were considered. Significant correlation coefficients at a 0.05 significance level are denoted by $^*$. 

Figure 7. N deficit, biogenic N in N$_2$ and $\delta^{15}N$-N$_2$ anomaly with O$_2$ overlaid. (a) N deficit calculated using PO$_4^{3-}$ ($\mu$M) (NPdef) and assuming Redfield stoichiometry (see Eqs. 9, 10 and 11, Sect. 2.4). (b) biogenic N in N$_2$ ($\mu$M). (c) $\delta^{15}N$-N$_2$ anomaly relative to equilibrium with atmosphere ($\%e$). Biogenic N$_2$ or $\delta^{15}N$-N$_2$ anomaly were not measured at stations 62, 64 and 66.
We observed, for the first time, a significant linear relationship for NO$_2^-$δ$^{18}$O vs. δ$^{15}$N at our inshore stations (slope = 0.64 ± 0.07, $r^2 = 0.59$, p value = 3 × 10$^{-6}$) where O$_2$ < 10 µM (Fig. 5b). Coupled δ$^{15}$N and δ$^{18}$O effects for NO$_2^-$ have not been as well studied as NO$_3^-$. Nevertheless, if NO$_2^-$ turnover was faster than equilibration time with water, NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ reduction whether as part of the denitrification, anammox or DNRA pathways, should also produce a positive relationship between NO$_2^-$δ$^{15}$N and δ$^{18}$O. In contrast to our offshore stations (Fig. 5c), this positive relationship thus demonstrates that the oxygen isotopic composition of NO$_2^-$ is not in equilibrium with water due to both rapid NO$_2^-$ turnover and the dominance of NO$_2^-$ reduction over oxidation in Peru coastal waters. Higher rates for aerobic NH$_4^+$ and NO$_2^-$ oxidation, as well as anaerobic NO$_3^-$ reduction to NO$_2^-$, and further reduction to NH$_4^+$ (DNRA) or N$_2$, have been reported in shallow waters off Peru presumably due to increased coastal primary production and organic matter supply to the inshore OMZ (e.g. Codispoti et al., 1986; Lam et al., 2011; Kalvelage et al., 2013). However, as our observations are restricted to anoxic waters, only high rates of N-loss could explain this more rapid NO$_2^-$ turnover.

In principal, we can estimate NO$_2^-$ turnover time from knowledge of rates for exchange with water and assumptions of the δ$^{18}$O vs. δ$^{15}$N slope expected in the absence of exchange. Unfortunately, the slope of the relationship between NO$_2^-$δ$^{18}$O vs. δ$^{15}$N expected in the absence of equilibration with water is not yet known. An upper limit for turnover time for NO$_2^-$ can be estimated based on equilibration time as a function of in situ pH and temperature (Buchwald and Casciotti, 2013). During the M91 cruise in December, subsurface temperature was 13 to 15°C along our transect and corresponding pH was near 7.8 (Michelle Graco, unpublished data). Assuming the NO$_2^-$ pool is in steady-state, we estimated an equilibration time of up to ~40 days for pH near 7.8 (estimated from equation 1 and Fig. 2 in Buchwald and Casciotti, 2013). A turnover time of up to 40 days implies a flux of N through the NO$_2^-$ pool of at least 0.21 µM d$^{-1}$, as estimated from the maximum NO$_2^-$ concentration observed in this study divided by this estimated turnover time. Assuming steady-state, this range also approximates the rates of NO$_3^-$ reduction as well as NO$_2^-$ oxidation plus production of N$_2$ from NO$_2^-$. This estimated flux is consistent with measured high NO$_2^-$ reduction and NO$_3^-$ oxidation rates of up to ~1 µM d$^{-1}$ in Peru coastal waters (<600 m depth, Kalvelage et al., 2013).

NO$_2^-$ oxidation is a chemoautotrophic process that requires a thermodynamically favorable electron acceptor such as O$_2$. As mentioned above, NO$_2^-$ oxidation appears to occur in ODZ's at low or non-detectable O$_2$ (e.g. Füssel et al., 2012) despite lack of knowledge of its thermodynamically favorable redox couple. The difference in δ$^{15}$N between NO$_2^-$ and NO$_3^-$ (Δδ$^{15}$N = δ$^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ − δ$^{15}$N-NO$_2^-$) see Sect. 3.3) is further evidence for the presence of NO$_2^-$ oxidation in the ODZ (e.g. Casciotti et al., 2013). At steady-state, and in the absence of NO$_3^-$ oxidation, Δδ$^{15}$N should be no more than the ε for NO$_3^-$ reduction (20 to 30 ‰) minus the ε for NO$_2^-$ reduction by denitrifying or anammox bacteria (12–16 ‰; Bryan et al., 1983; Brunner et al., 2013; Bourbonnais et al., 2015) or 8–18 ‰ . Our results range from 15–40 ‰ and average 29.8 ‰ for samples with O$_2$ concentrations < 10 µM.
The inverse kinetic isotope effect associated with NO$_2^-$ oxidation is likely responsible for these high $\Delta\delta^{15}$N values (e.g., Casciotti and Buchwald, 2012; Casciotti et al., 2013). Taking all isotope effects into account, the following equation can be derived to estimate $\Delta\delta^{15}$N at steady-state:

$$\Delta\delta^{15}N(\text{steady state}) = \varepsilon_{\text{NO}_2^-\text{oxid}} - (1 - \gamma) \times \varepsilon_{\text{NO}_2^-\text{red}} - \gamma \times \varepsilon_{\text{NO}_2^-\text{oxid}},$$

where $\gamma$ is the fraction of NO$_2^-$ oxidized back to NO$_3^-$. Highest values (over 30%) are found between 50 and 100 m, implying greater importance for NO$_2^-$ oxidation in deeper waters.

Given that $\varepsilon_{\text{NO}_2^-\text{oxid}}$ has been reported to be $\sim\text{13}^\circ$ for aerobic NO$_2^-$ oxidation and using the literature ranges for $\varepsilon_{\text{NO}_2^-\text{red}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{NO}_2^-\text{oxid}}$ above, our observed $\Delta\delta^{15}$N implies that up to 100% of NO$_2^-$ produced by NO$_3^-$ reduction could be oxidized back to NO$_3^-$. This estimate is higher than ratios of NO$_2^-$ oxidation / NO$_3^-$ reduction of up to 54% for the Peruvian coastal ODZ derived from direct rate measurements (Lam et al., 2009; Kalvelage et al., 2013), and should thus be considered as an upper limit. Alternatively, NO$_3^-$ oxidation also occurs as part of the overall metabolism of anammox bacteria (Strous et al., 2006) which can be the dominant N$_2$ producers in the Peru ODZ (Kalvelage et al., 2013). A large inverse kinetic $\varepsilon$ for NO$_2^-$ oxidation of $\sim\text{30}^\circ$ has been observed for anammox bacteria in culture (Branner et al., 2013). If this is the sole pathway for NO$_2^-$ oxidation, our data suggest NO$_2^-$ oxidation up to only $\sim\text{80}^\circ$ of total NO$_3^-$ reduction. However, anammox bacteria only oxidize a minor fraction of NO$_2^-$ to NO$_3^-$ in culture. At the same time, estimates of NO$_2^-$ oxidation (8.48 to 928 nM d$^{-1}$) are significantly higher than N-loss rates by anammox (2.84 to 227 mmol N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) on the Peruvian shelf (Kalvelage et al., 2013), clearly indicating non-anammox related nitrite oxidation.

The deviations from a 1:1 relationship for NO$_2^-$δ$^{18}$O and δ$^{15}$N can also be indicative of NO$_2^-$ oxidation. During NO$_3^-$ uptake or dissimilative NO$_3^-$ reduction, NO$_3^-$δ$^{15}$N and δ$^{18}$O increase equally with a ratio of 1:1 (Granger et al., 2004, 2008). We observed a slope of about 0.86 (Fig. 5a) for the NO$_2^-$δ$^{18}$O vs. δ$^{15}$N relationship in the in-shore Peru ODZ, similar to recent off-shore observations (Bourbonnais et al., 2015). Prior reports of deviations toward higher values for the slope were indicative of addition of newly nitrified NO$_3^-$ from a relatively low δ$^{15}$N source (e.g., see Sigman et al., 2005; Bourbonnais et al., 2009). Our observed deviation toward slopes $<\text{1}$ can instead be explained by the addition of newly nitrified NO$_3^-$ with a lower δ$^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$, mostly derived from water (Andersson and Hooper, 1983), relative to the high ambient δ$^{15}$O-NO$_3^-$ values. In fact, a slope for δ$^{18}$O:δ$^{15}$N of either greater or less than 1 can be observed, depending on initial environmental NO$_3^-$ isotopic composition relative to any in situ sources (Casciotti et al., 2013). Casciotti and Buchwald (2012) showed model results where NO$_2^-$ oxidation generally produces a slope $<\text{1}$ for the NO$_2^-$δ$^{18}$O vs. δ$^{15}$N relationship, when the NO$_2^-$δ$^{15}$N and δ$^{18}$O are higher than $\sim\text{15}^\circ$ as observed in Casciotti et al. (2013) and Bourbonnais et al. (2015).

### 4.2 Isotope effects for N-loss

As described above, the Rayleigh fractionation equations (Eqs. 1 to 6) are used here to estimate $\varepsilon$ values (Mariotti et al., 1981; Altabet, 2005) and examine the significance of calculations using (a) different approaches for calculating $f$ (Eqs. 7 and 14), (b) changes in the δ$^{15}$N of substrate (DIN) vs. changes in the δ$^{15}$N of product, and (c) closed vs. open system equations. This approach provides redundancy in our estimates of $\varepsilon$ and tests implied assumptions including N and δ$^{15}$N balance between NO$_3^-$ or DIN loss and the accumulation of biogenic N$_2$.

Linear regression coefficients for $\varepsilon$ calculated using the different approaches presented in Sect. 2.4 are listed in Tables 1 and 2. For example, Rayleigh closed system plots for δ$^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$, δ$^{15}$N-DIN, or δ$^{15}$N biogenic N$_2$ as a function of f$_{\text{bioN}_2}$ are shown in Fig. 6. Surprisingly, $\varepsilon$ values estimated from the slope of these relationships are not sensitive to choice of method for calculating $f$ despite the lack of 1:1 correspondence between different bases (Np$_{\text{expected}}$, biogenic N$_2$, or [NO$_3^-$]/[INO$_3^-$]$_{\text{max}}$). In the case of $\varepsilon$ calculated from changes in δ$^{15}$N-DIN, $\varepsilon$ ranged narrowly with choice of $f$ from 6.3 to 7.4‰ with standard errors on the slope of $<\text{0.6}^\circ$ (Table 1). As there was no significant difference between bases for calculating $f$, it appears that all three of our approaches are valid for this purpose.

However, $\varepsilon$ for N-loss (closed system) does vary significantly between calculations using changes in δ$^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$, δ$^{15}$N-DIN, or δ$^{15}$N biogenic N$_2$. $\varepsilon$ is largest for changes in δ$^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ ($\sim\text{14}^\circ$) and smallest for changes in δ$^{15}$N-DIN ($\sim\text{7}^\circ$). $\varepsilon$ based on δ$^{15}$N biogenic N$_2$ is intermediate ($\sim\text{11}^\circ$). The latter two, using DIN or biogenic N$_2$ as the basis to calculate $\varepsilon$, are more representative of N-loss. Calculations based on changes in δ$^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ are affected by NO$_3^-$ accumulation and isotope effects of NO$_2^-$ oxidation (see above). The 4‰ difference in $\varepsilon$ calculated from changes in δ$^{15}$N of biogenic N$_2$ vs. δ$^{15}$N of DIN may arise from the contribution of NH$_4^+$ derived from organic matter to biogenic N$_2$ via the anammox process. Supporting this hypothesis, NH$_4^+$ accumulation (5.3–7.5 μM) associated with a relatively low δ$^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ of 3.8 to 6.1‰ was observed at 125 and 200 m bottom water depths at shallow stations located in the studied area (~12.3°S and 77.3°W) in January 2013 (unpublished results). A contribution of NH$_4^+$ from organic material and consumption by anammox could therefore supply comparatively lower δ$^{15}$N to the biogenic N$_2$ pool, increasing $\varepsilon$. 
Table 2. $\varepsilon$ for NO$_3^-$ reduction, and net N loss estimated from both DIN consumption and produced biogenic N$_2$ using Rayleigh open system equations (Eqs. 4–6). Results are calculated for $f$ based on either $N_{\text{expected}}$ (Eqs. 7–9), biogenic N$_2$ (Eqs. 12–14), and measured substrate divided by maximum (upwelled) substrate concentrations (see text, Sect. 2.4). The standard error of the slope ($\varepsilon$) is shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis for $f$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$</th>
<th>y intercept</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$</td>
<td>$N_{\text{expected}}$</td>
<td>63.0±4.5</td>
<td>-18.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N$_2$ Biogenic</td>
<td>66.30±6.2</td>
<td>-21.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\left[\text{NO}_3^-\right]/\left[\text{NO}_3^-\text{max}\right]$</td>
<td>38.9±2.7</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N_{\text{expected}}$</td>
<td>17.4±1.2</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{15}$N-DIN</td>
<td>N$_2$ Biogenic</td>
<td>20.0±1.8</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DIN/DIN$_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>13.2±0.9</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{15}$N-Biogenic N$_2$</td>
<td>$N_{\text{expected}}$</td>
<td>12.3±1.9</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N$_2$ Biogenic</td>
<td>14.15±2.1</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The different approaches for estimating the $\varepsilon$ for N-loss can also be evaluated by examining the initial substrate $\delta^{15}$N predicted where $f = 1$ for each set of regressions. In the case of changes in $\delta^{15}$N-DIN and using $N_{\text{expected}}$ or biogenic N$_2$ as bases for $f$, realistic values are found consistent with the source of upwelled waters of 6 to 7‰ (Table 1; also see Ryabenko et al., 2012). For regressions based on changes in $\delta^{15}$N-biogenic N$_2$, initial $\delta^{15}$N values are somewhat lower ($\sim 3$ ‰), possibly due to a source from organic N decomposition.

Estimates of $\varepsilon$ using open-system equations are generally much higher than for closed system equations particularly for changes in $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ with unrealistically high values (39–63 ‰; Table 2). However, values for both closed and open systems tended to converge for estimates based on changes in $\delta^{15}$N-DIN or $\delta^{15}$N-biogenic N$_2$ with the latter having no significant difference. Estimates of substrate initial $\delta^{15}$N using the open system equations range widely and do not consistently reflect realistic values (Table 2).

Closed system estimates of $\varepsilon$ are likely more reliable in our setting because of low likelihood of mixing between water masses of contrasting characteristics on the shelf. Temperature and salinity in the ODZ at our stations narrowly ranged from 13.5 to 15°C and 34.88 to 34.98 (Fig. 2), similar to $T/S$ signatures from offshore source waters (Bourbonnais et al., 2015), and suggestive of a single water mass. Accordingly, as in Bourbonnais et al. (2015), we view the closed system equations as most reliable with a value of $\sim 6.5$ ‰ for $\varepsilon$ based on changes in $\delta^{15}$N DIN as the likely best estimate. However, given the overlap with the results of open system equations for changes in $\delta^{15}$N of biogenic N$_2$, an upper bound of $\sim 11$ ‰ appears appropriate. This range in $\varepsilon$ for N-loss falls below the results of Bourbonnais et al. (2015) for a near-coastal eddy in the same region and time period ($\sim 14$ ‰) and is much less than the canonical range of 20 to 30 ‰ (Brandeis et al., 1998; Voss et al., 2001; Granger et al., 2008). As discussed in Bourbonnais et al. (2015), a lower overall $\varepsilon$ for net N-loss could help resolve any imbalance in the oceanic N-budget, by decreasing the ratio of sedimentary and water-column N-loss necessary to account for the observed $\delta^{15}$N of mean ocean NO$_3^-$.

There are several reasonable explanations for these relatively low $\varepsilon$ values in coastal waters. These include higher microbial growth rates associated with higher productivity, which would shift biochemical rate limitation away from enzyme reactions to membrane transport with low fractionation potential (e.g. Wada and Hattori, 1978). Another is greater influence from benthic N cycling processes in our relatively shallow inshore system as compared to deeper waters. Sediment N-loss has been shown to incur low $\varepsilon$ due to, in analogous fashion to the effect of microbial growth rate, dominance of substrate transport limitation through the sediment (Brandes and Devol, 1997). This possibility will be explored further in the next section. Unlikely explanations for our relatively low $\varepsilon$ values for N-loss include the effects of decreasing NO$_3^-$ concentration (Kritee et al., 2012) and contributions from organic N via anammox to biogenic N$_2$. Lack of curvature in the Rayleigh plots demonstrates a lack of dependence of substrate concentration (Fig. 6a, b) as the range in $f$ corresponds to a large range in NO$_3^-$ or DIN concentrations. The possible effects of contributions from organic N to biogenic N$_2$ has already been taken into account in calculations based on changes in the $\delta^{15}$N of biogenic N$_2$, as discussed above.

4.3 Using $\varepsilon$ values for estimating sediment N-loss

The low $\varepsilon$ value we observe for water column N-loss at our inshore stations may be explained by contributions from sediment N-loss (e.g. see Sigman et al., 2003). If so, observed $\varepsilon$ for N-loss in the water-column should be the weighted average of the actual $\varepsilon$ values for N-loss in the water column and sediments:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{obs}} = \varepsilon_{\text{wc}} \times (1 - P_{\text{sed}}) + \varepsilon_{\text{sed}} \times P_{\text{sed}},$$

where $\varepsilon_{\text{wc}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{sed}}$ are the isotope effect of water column and sediments and $P_{\text{sed}}$ is the proportion of water column and sedimentary N-loss, respectively. We take $6.8 \pm 0.5$ ‰ as the value for $\varepsilon_{\text{obs}}$ (Fig. 6, Table 1), a value of $13.8 \pm 1.3$ ‰ for $\varepsilon_{\text{wc}}$ as estimated for offshore waters by Bourbonnais et al. (2015), and a $\varepsilon_{\text{sed}}$ of $1.5$ ‰ as in Sigman et al. (2003). From these numbers, we estimated that the proportion of N-loss due to sedimentary N-loss could be up to $\sim 60$ ‰ (48 to 64 ‰) at our coastal stations, which is in the same range than previously reported for other marine coastal environments, e.g. Saanich Inlet (also up to 60 ‰; Bourbonnais et al., 2013). Our estimate is higher than the 25 ‰ of benthic vs. total N-loss from a reaction-diffusion model and direct flux measurements for the same coastal region off Peru (Kalvelage et al., 2013). However, our comparison to direct measurements of fluxes should be considered tentative as they are made at single locations over relatively short time periods and thus subject to considerable spatial and temporal heterogeneity.
5 Conclusions

The inshore Peru ODZ is distinguished from offshore by its high productivity as a consequence of coastal upwelling as well as possible greater influence from benthic processes. To examine impact on N-loss processes and their isotope effects, we investigated the dynamics of N and O isotope of NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ at six coastal stations off Peru.

We found that N-loss representing the net effect of partial denitrification, anammox, and nitrification produced, in sum, large variations in isotopic composition. NO$_2^-$ $\delta^{15}$N ranged from $-20$ to 10‰ and NO$_3^-$ $\delta^{15}$N ranged from 10 to 50‰. Generally, NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ isotope values varied inversely with their concentrations as expected for Rayleigh-like fractionation effects. Isotope values were usually higher in low-O$_2$ near bottom waters where N species concentrations were also relatively low.

We observed, for the first time, a positive linear relationship between NO$_2^-$ $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{18}$O at our inshore stations. In offshore ODZ waters, such a relationship has never previously been observed as NO$_3^-$ $\delta^{18}$O reflected equilibration with water in these regions (Buchwald and Casciotti, 2013). Our results suggest a turnover time for NO$_2^-$ faster than the equilibration time with water and the effect of NO$_2^-$ oxidation over NO$_2^-$ reduction in these highly productive coastal waters. We estimated an NO$_2^-$ turnover time of up to $\sim$40 days from our data.

The difference in $\delta^{15}$N between NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ ($\Delta\delta^{15}$N) was high, reaching up to 40‰ in deeper waters, and was greater than expected from NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ reduction only. The influence of NO$_2^-$ oxidation is consistent with this observation due to its inverse fractionation effect (Casciotti, 2009). Additional evidence for NO$_2^-$ oxidation is found in the relationship between NO$_3^-$ $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{18}$O. NO$_3^-$ reduction alone is expected to produce a 1 : 1 relationship (Granger et al., 2008). While we observed a linear relationship between NO$_3^-$ $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{18}$O, the slope of 0.86 is indicative of simultaneous addition of NO$_3^-$ with relatively low $\delta^{18}$O, also consistent with a role for NO$_2^-$ oxidation at our coastal sites. However, a favorable thermodynamic couple for NO$_2^-$ oxidation in the absence of O$_2$ in these waters remains unknown.

A number of different approaches for estimating $\varepsilon$ for N-loss were compared including choice of N form for changes in $\delta^{15}$N (NO$_3^-$, DIN, or biogenic N$_2$), closed vs. open system Rayleigh equations, and the basis for calculating the denominator in $f$ (N$_{\text{expected}}$, biogenic N$_2$, or maximum NO$_3^-$). For the latter, there was little difference in estimated $\varepsilon$ despite discrepancies between the removal of NO$_3^-$ and appearance of N$_2$ estimated from them. Observation of a single water mass ($T-S$ plot) in our coastal region as well as more realistic ranges for derived $\varepsilon$ and initial $\delta^{15}$N indicated that closed system assumptions were more realistic. Using closed system equations, relatively low $\varepsilon$ values were calculated; $\sim$7‰ for changes in the $\delta^{15}$N of DIN and $\sim$11‰ for changes in the $\delta^{15}$N of biogenic N$_2$. As in Bourbonnais et al. (2015), $\varepsilon$ calculated from changes in the $\delta^{15}$N of NO$_3^-$ alone was not representative of the $\varepsilon$ for overall N-loss in consideration of the build up of NO$_3^-$ with distinct $\delta^{15}$N. These estimates for $\varepsilon$ for net N-loss are lower than recently reported for a nearby offshore eddy with intense N-loss ($\sim$14‰; Bourbonnais et al., 2015). This lower $\varepsilon$ may be attributed to the influence of sedimentary N-loss on the Peruvian shelf (e.g., Bohlen et al., 2011) with a highly suppressed $\varepsilon$ on the overlying water column at our shallow stations. Given this assumption, we estimate that sedimentary N-loss (by both denitrification and anammox) could account for up to 60% of the total N-loss in inshore Peru ODZ waters.

Our results further support geographical variations in the $\varepsilon$ of N-loss in ODZs, possibly related to the effects of varying primary productivity and microbial growth rates on the expression of $\varepsilon$ and partitioning between water-column and sedimentary denitrification. These variations need to be considered in future global isotopic N budget (e.g. see Brandes and Devol, 2002), potentially bringing the global N budget more in balance. This is further supported by the relatively lower $\varepsilon$ for N-loss of $\sim$14‰ recently observed offshore in the ETSP ODZ by Bourbonnais et al. (2015). A lower water-column $\varepsilon$ for N-loss also decreases the fraction of sedimentary denitrification needed to balance the global isotopic N budget (Brandes and Devol, 2002; Altabet, 2007).
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