Southern Ocean deep convection as a driver of Antarctic warming events
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Abstract Simulations with a free-running coupled climate model show that heat release associated with Southern Ocean deep convection variability can drive centennial-scale Antarctic temperature variations of up to 2.0°C. The mechanism involves three steps: Preconditioning; heat accumulates at depth in the Southern Ocean; Convection onset: wind and/or sea ice changes tip the buoyantly unstable system into the convective state; and Antarctic warming; fast sea ice-albedo feedbacks (on annual-decadal time scales) and slow Southern Ocean frontal and sea surface temperature adjustments to convective heat release (on multidecadal-century time scales) drive an increase in atmospheric heat and moisture transport toward Antarctica. We discuss the potential of this mechanism to help drive and amplify climate variability as observed in Antarctic ice core records.

1. Introduction

Deep waters rising to the surface along isopycnals in the Southern Ocean (SO) exchange heat and carbon with the global atmosphere [Rintoul and Naveira Garabato, 2013]. Intense cooling, sea ice production, and wind-driven advection at the SO surface then return these waters to deep and intermediate depths, closing the SO overturning circulation, and connecting the atmosphere with the ocean interior [Marshall and Speer, 2013]. It is estimated that ~75% of the ocean store of anthropogenic carbon and ~40% of the store of anthropogenic carbon enter the ocean interior through this region [Roemmich et al., 2015; Frölicher et al., 2015]. It follows that past changes in SO overturning could be an important driver of climate variability in Antarctica and the southern high latitudes [e.g., Latif et al., 2013; Menviel et al., 2015].

The dominant mode of deep water production in the modern SO is via brine rejection during sea ice formation on the Antarctic continental shelves [Rintoul and Naveira Garabato, 2013]. A second mode, involving deep convection in the open ocean, has also been documented [e.g., Gordon, 1991]. In 1974 when the first satellite microwave data were obtained from the Antarctic sea ice zone, a 250,000 km² open ocean polynya was observed in the winter sea ice pack of the Weddell Sea [Carsey, 1980]. The ocean mixed layer in the polynya extended to 3000 m depth, with strong upwelling of relatively warm (with respect to the surface) deep waters, supporting an average winter surface heat flux of 136 Wm⁻² [Gordon, 1982]. An estimated 2–3 Sv (1 sverdrup = 10⁶ m³ s⁻¹) of dense bottom water was produced in the polynya from the intense surface cooling and subsequent deep sinking [Gordon, 1982]. Although initially thought to be a permanent feature, the polynya closed after 3 years, and no open ocean deep convection beyond isolated events lasting some weeks has not been observed since [Gordon, 2014].

While clearly rare in the modern SO, open ocean deep convection may have been more common in the past. Two thirds of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change class global climate models show open ocean deep convection under preindustrial boundary conditions; the convection shuts down in most of these models in the 21st century due to anthropogenic freshening of SO surface layers [de Lavergne et al., 2014]. Gordon [2014] argues that deep convection was more common in the past and was potentially the dominant mode of SO deep water formation during the glacial when the ice sheets advanced over the Antarctic continental shelf [Golledge et al., 2013], capping the dominant sites of today’s deep water formation in the coastal polynyas. The presence of SO deep convection in both observations and climate models raises questions about the possible climate impacts of shifts between the convective and nonconvective modes.

Here we describe an internal mode of SO deep convection variability that causes multicentennial-scale warming events over the Antarctic continent. The mechanism is based on a free-running Kiel Climate Model (KCM) simulation that exhibits deep convection events sharing many similarities with the observed
Weddell Sea Polynya [Martin et al., 2013]. In the KCM, heat supplied by the lower branch of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) accumulates in the intermediate depths of the Atlantic-Indian sector of the SO and is then released by deep convection in the Weddell Sea [Martin et al., 2013]. The convection events recur on multicentennial time scales and feature global teleconnections [Park and Latif, 2008; Latif et al., 2013; Martin et al., 2015]. Each event is associated with sea ice retreat and a ~2°C sea surface temperature (SST) increase in the convection region (Figures 1a, 1b, and S1 in the supporting information).

The onset of deep convection in the KCM requires preconditioning by accumulation of sufficient subsurface heat to make the water column buoyantly unstable; stochastic wind and sea ice variability then tip the system into the convective state [Martin et al., 2013]. Convection shutdown is linked to stabilization of the water column by surface freshening from precipitation and sea ice melt anomalies. Our mechanism has parallels with the hypothesis of Dokken et al. [2013] in the Nordic seas, in which warm Atlantic waters are isolated beneath a fresh surface layer until halocline collapse, convective overturning, and sea ice retreat drive regional warming.

The overall SO heat loss during convective events in the KCM is of order 10^{23} J (Figure S1), equivalent to one third of the total observed global upper ocean warming of the last century [Domingues et al., 2008]. We examine the dynamics and time scales by which this ocean heat loss affects surface temperatures over Antarctica and discuss implications for Antarctic climate variability as observed in the paleoclimate record from ice cores.

2. Methods

We use a multimillennial present-day control simulation of the Kiel Climate Model (KCM), an extension of the run in Martin et al. [2015], with constant greenhouse gas forcing (348 ppmv CO₂). The KCM consists of the ECHAM5 atmosphere general circulation model and the NEMO-LIM2 ocean-sea ice model applied to a Mercator
grid of 2° horizontal resolution with refinement in the tropics and 31 vertical levels [Park et al., 2009]. As the simulation is free running (i.e., no external perturbations such as freshwater hosing or flux corrections are applied), the deep convection variations are entirely self-sustained. Although the KCM run was conducted under present-day conditions, it could provide insight into SO sea ice-atmosphere coupling under colder and glacial climate states during which open ocean deep convection is thought to be more prevalent [Gordon, 2014]. We analyzed the last 2000 years of the run, which is fully spun-up from the Levitus et al. [1998] climatology.

3. Results

Southern Ocean (SO) deep convection events in the KCM are associated with multicentennial-scale surface air temperature (SAT) variations of ~1.0°C in mean Antarctic temperature and up to 2.0°C at some individual sites where ice core records have been obtained (Figures 1c and S2). The temperature response is strongest in the Atlantic sector of Antarctica and is fastest at near-coastal sites, including Law Dome and James Ross Island. On the Antarctic plateau, the temperature response is more gradual, lagging ~50 years behind the maximum in convection (Figures 1b and 1c). For example, the simulated temperature at the European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica (EPICA) Dronning Maud Land (EDML) ice core site, which is highly correlated with the Antarctic mean temperature \((r = 0.90; p < 0.01)\), lags mixed layer depth in the Weddell Sea convective zone by 55 years \((r = 0.76, p < 0.05)\).

To investigate the mechanism for Antarctic warming and the cause of the time lag between Antarctic SATs and convective area SSTs, we average the data from the six major convective events. For each event we define two 50 year time slices: "stage 1," spanning the onset of deep convection and SST increase in the Weddell Sea sector (blue shading, Figure 1); and "stage 2," spanning the maximum in Antarctic mean SAT (red shading). Composite maps of mean SSTs and SATs for each stage are shown in Figure 2.

During stage 1, the upwelling of warm subsurface waters results in immediate surface warming in the Weddell Sea sector south of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) (Figure 2a); SSTs in the remainder of
the SO show very little response. During stage 2 (50–100 years later), vast parts of the Southern Hemisphere have warmed (Figure 2b) in response to the processes triggered by the deep convection in the Weddell Sea. The delayed surface warming north of the ACC reflects the time scale of ocean adjustment to heat and buoyancy loss from the deep convection zone. Buoyancy loss steepens the meridional ocean density gradient, as evidenced by negative sea surface height anomalies in the 50°–70°S band (Figure 2g). The steeper density gradient causes the ACC to accelerate (Figure 1d) and shift slightly south. The subtropical front shifts south in turn, enabling warm surface waters of the South Atlantic and southern Indian Oceans to expand poleward and enhancing the transport of warm Indian waters into the Atlantic (Figure 2b). These ocean adjustments contribute to the midlatitude surface warming that notably occurs in phase with the surface warming over the Antarctic plateau (Figures 1b, 1c, and 2d). Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) export across 30°S also increases by 3–4 Sv in phase with the simulated Antarctic warming (Figure 1d). The time scale of ACC and ocean frontal adjustment to buoyancy forcing in our simulation is consistent with the multidecadal-centennial time scale of ACC adjustment to changes in meridional density gradients [Allison et al., 2011].

The ocean heat transport and frontal adjustments are also coupled to changes in atmospheric circulation and meridional heat transport. At the onset of deep convection, the immediate high-latitude warming in the convective area reduces the meridional temperature gradient (Figure 2h, black dashed line), shifting the circumpolar westerlies north of their long-term mean (Figure 2e, red contours). In contrast, the subsequent midlatitude warming strengthens the meridional temperature gradient and is associated with a poleward intensification of the westerlies (Figure 2f). See also Figure S3 for comparisons of the zonal mean and Atlantic sector meridional heat flux anomalies across 50°S and 70°S; horizontal purple arrows show the same for the ocean at 50°S. Note the increase in southward meridional atmospheric heat transport during stage 2. See Table S1 for additional details and conversion to units of Wm^{-2}.
During stage 1, the active deep convection results in a 10 terawatt (TW) ocean to atmosphere surface heat flux anomaly over the 50°–70°S band. Sea ice retreat, partly damped by increases in cloud cover, then causes a 5 TW increase in the net downward TOA heat flux. The large transfer of heat from SO intermediate depths to the surface ocean and the sea ice-albedo feedback support a 13 TW northward atmospheric heat flux anomaly toward the midlatitudes (contributing to the gradual midlatitude warming) and a 2 TW increase in the southward atmospheric heat flux across 70°S to Antarctica (driving some warming at near-coastal ice core sites). During stage 2, the midlatitudes have warmed and support a 12 TW increase in southward atmospheric heat flux across 50°. The increased southward atmospheric heat flux in combination with ongoing sea ice-albedo feedbacks then supports a 6 TW increase in the atmospheric heat flux across 70°S to Antarctica. It is this lagged increase in the southward atmospheric heat flux that explains the lag of the maximum Antarctic warming behind SSTs in the convective area. The southward heat flux anomalies also correlate with enhanced moisture transport onto the Antarctic continent, resulting in a small (~2%) increase in cloud cover during stage 2 (not shown).

4. Discussion

Heat release from changes in SO overturning is invoked in multiple hypotheses seeking to explain Antarctic climate variations, ranging from centennial-scale variability in SSTs on the western margin of the Antarctic Peninsula [Etourneau et al., 2013; Shevenell et al., 2011] to millennial-scale variability in Antarctic temperatures during the glacial [Weaver et al., 2003; EPICA Community Members, 2006; Anderson et al., 2009; Menviel et al., 2015]. Previous studies have primarily considered the response of SO overturning to externally imposed or remotely triggered forcings, such as wind stress [Anderson et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2011], changes in deep water production in the North Atlantic [Broecker, 1998; Menviel et al., 2015], locally applied meltwater (or salinity) fluxes [Weaver et al., 2003; Menviel et al., 2015], and atmospheric temperature changes [Watson and Naveira Garabato, 2006]. Although debate continues on the relative role of such forcings in past (and future) climate variations, a common conclusion is that increased rates of the upwelling of deep waters, which are relatively warm with respect to the surface, lead to SST warming and sea ice retreat.

Missing from previous studies has been an analysis of how warming at the SO surface is propagated to the Antarctic continent itself. Our results point to a critical role for amplifying sea ice feedbacks, movement of ocean fronts, and changes in atmospheric heat transport. Also, missing from previous studies has been the concept that variations in SO deep convection may be an intrinsic part of the coupled sea ice-atmosphere system in the SO. This is not to dispute the influence on Antarctic climate of external forcings or teleconnections from remote locations. Indeed, external forcings could push the SO state toward the convective or nonconvective mode, thereby amplifying remote forcings. The presence of such an amplifying mechanism could help to resolve several open questions in the climate dynamics of the southern high latitudes.

The bipolar ocean seesaw mechanism proposes that the centennial-to-millennial-scale Antarctic warming events of the last glacial period—Antarctic Isotope Maxima (AIMs)—result from changes in northward heat transport by the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) modulating SO heat content [Crowley, 1992; Stocker and Johnsen, 2003]. The AIMs occur out of phase with abrupt Dansgaard-Oeschger events in Greenland ice cores [EPICA Community Members, 2006; and see Figure S4]: the prevailing view is that a collapsed state of the AMOC reduces northward ocean heat transport in the Atlantic, causing gradual warming in the SO and Antarctica at the same time as abrupt cooling (amplified by sea ice variations) in the North Atlantic and Greenland (and vice versa for a strengthening of the AMOC) [e.g., Ganopolski and Rahmstorf, 2001; Schmittner et al., 2003]. However, the ocean seesaw mechanism is challenged on physical oceanographic grounds by the difficulty of propagating anomalies between the South Atlantic and Antarctica; the problem is that the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) presents a dynamic barrier [Ferrari and Nikurashin, 2010], and there is no zonal boundary for wave propagation, leaving eddy fluxes of temperature and salinity or atmospheric teleconnections to propagate the signal across the ACC [e.g., Schmittner et al., 2003; Vettoretti and Peltier, 2015].

Earth system model studies in which the AMOC strength is abruptly altered generally show strong temperature anomalies in the South Atlantic; the temperature response in the SO and Antarctica is less consistent between models, with a damped temperature response in some [Schmittner et al., 2003; Menviel et al., 2015; Vettoretti and Peltier, 2015; Pedro et al., 2016] and little or no response in others (e.g., see review by Kageyama et al. [2013]).
Menviel et al. [2015] argue that AMOC-induced changes in meridional ocean heat transport can drive Antarctic temperature anomalies of order 0.5–1.5°C but are insufficient to account for the 2–3°C increases of Antarctic temperature and SO SSTs reported for the largest Antarctic warming events (e.g., AIM 7 and 8, see Figure S4) [e.g., Parrenin et al., 2013; Phanke and Sachs, 2006; Barrows et al., 2007; Lopes dos Santos et al., 2013]. Applying freshwater/salinity anomalies to the SO surface can bring the temperature response in the model in line with observations [Menviel et al., 2015], by directly forcing changes in SO overturning; however, the large fluxes of freshwater required are not well supported by data.

We suggest that shifts between convective and nonconvective modes of the coupled sea ice-atmosphere system in the SO provide an alternative mechanism to help drive or amplify Antarctic warming events. Support that Antarctic warming events are associated with changes in SO overturning comes from neodymium isotope ratios from South Atlantic marine cores indicating northward advance of Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) during the Antarctic warming phase [e.g., Piotrowski et al., 2008; Gottschalk et al., 2015]. In our results, AABW export across 30°S increases by 3–4 Sv in phase with the simulated Antarctic warming, while ACC strength increases by ~10 Sv (Figure 2d). Southern Ocean marine sediment records indicate that large AIM events are accompanied by enhanced SO overturning (also ventilating CO₂ from the deep SO) [Anderson et al., 2009; Skinner et al., 2014] and by increases in ACC strength [Lamy et al., 2015].

There are two important challenges to the relevance of our deep convection mechanism to observed AIM events. First, the model warming events have durations of up to 400 years, whereas most AIMs in the ice core record are millennial scale with only a few examples of centennial-scale events (Figure S4). Second, a complete description of AIM events must account for their systematic relationship with the Dansgaard-Oeschger events in the North Atlantic and also with changes in the position of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) [e.g., Wang et al., 2001; WAIS Divide Project Members, 2015]. We address these challenges in turn below.

The potential for our mechanism to operate over longer time scales is suggested by sensitivity experiments with KCM, which show that deep convection is less frequent under thicker sea ice (and vice versa); this is because the surface-freshening influence of sea ice requires that more heat accumulate at depth before stratification can be overcome [Martin et al., 2013]. While our simulations were conducted under modern boundary conditions, we hypothesize that the more extensive and thicker sea ice under glacial boundary conditions [Gersonde et al., 2005] may cause deep convection events to occur less frequently and last longer.

The systematic out-of-phase relationship between North Atlantic Dansgaard-Oeschger events and AIM events calls for a process to push the southern high-latitude system toward the convective (warming) state, while the North Atlantic is in the cold (stadial) state and vice versa. Evidence from climate proxy and modeling results shows that during the stadial state, the ITCZ and the SO surface wind field are both shifted south [e.g., Wang et al., 2001; Chiang et al., 2014; Montade et al., 2015; Ljung et al., 2015]. Whether changes at low latitudes themselves precede [e.g., Steffensen et al., 2008; Kleppin et al., 2015] or lag [e.g., Huber et al., 2006] changes in the North Atlantic remains unclear. But in either case, southward shifted winds would indeed be expected to push the SO system toward the convective state by enhancing the Ekman-driven upwelling of intermediate-depth waters, enhancing the advection of sea ice northward away from the convective zone, and by deepening the mixed layer [Hall and Visbeck, 2002; Cheon et al., 2014; Ferreira et al., 2015]. The situation would be reversed in the case of interstadials in which there is evidence (as cited above) for a northward shift in the ITCZ and southern westerlies. It is thus possible that a chain of coupled ocean and atmosphere processes helps to bridge the oceanic barrier formed by the ACC.

5. Summary

In summary, we have shown quantitatively that Southern Ocean (SO) deep convection events can drive temperature variations in Antarctica of up to 2°C. The mechanism can be summarized into the following three steps. (1) Preconditioning: heat accumulates at depth in the SO, shifting the water column toward a buoyantly unstable state. (2) Convection onset: SO wind stress and/or sea ice variability, potentially influenced by atmospheric teleconnections from low latitudes, tip the preconditioned system into the convective state. (3) Ocean-atmosphere readjustment on two time scales: first, a fast (annual-decadal) response in which ocean to atmosphere heat flux from convective overturning is amplified by sea ice-albedo feedbacks, driving immediate increases in SST in the convective zone; second, a slower (multidecadal-century) response in
which buoyancy loss from the convective zone forces a southward migration of the ACC and SO fronts. The frontal migration combined with atmospheric heat flux anomalies from the convective zone causes SST increases in the southern midlatitudes, resulting in a poleward intensification of the westerlies and an enhanced atmospheric heat and moisture transport toward Antarctica. Mean Antarctic warming lags the onset of deep convection by ~50 years owing to the adjustment time scale of the ACC and midlatitude SSTs. Depletion of the SO heat reservoir and surface freshening eventually shuts down convective overturning, returning the system to the preconditioning step.
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