Late spring shallow mixed layer over Yermak Branch of Atlantic Water/C15 Cruise 2015 (N-ICE2015) the Norwegian Young Sea ICE Thinner Arctic Sea Ice Regime: Atmosphere-ice-ocean-

Special Section:
Oceanographic observations from the Eurasian Basin north of Svalbard collected between January and June 2015 from the N-ICE2015 drifting expedition are presented. The unique winter observations are a key contribution to existing climatologies of the Arctic Ocean, and show a ~100 m deep winter mixed layer likely due to high sea ice growth rates in local leads. Current observations for the upper ~200 m show mostly a barotropic flow, enhanced over the shallow Yermak Plateau. The two branches of inflowing Atlantic Water are partly captured, confirming that the outer Yermak Branch follows the perimeter of the plateau, and the inner Svalbard Branch the coast. Atlantic Water observed to be warmer and shallower than in the climatology, is found directly below the mixed layer down to 800 m depth, and is warmest along the slope, while its properties inside the basin are quite homogeneous. From late May onwards, the drift was continually close to the ice edge and a thinner surface mixed layer and shallower Atlantic Water coincided with significant sea ice melt being observed.

1. Introduction

The Arctic Ocean is connected to the Atlantic Ocean via the deep Fram Strait and the shallow Barents Sea. The exchange in the Fram Strait is dominated by northward flowing warm and saline Atlantic Water (AW) and southward cold Arctic water near the surface [Rudels et al., 2000; Spall, 2013; Rudels et al., 2015]. The warm AW is the primary source of heat for the Arctic Ocean [Aagaard and Greisman, 1975; Carmack et al., 2015].

In Fram Strait, the inflow of warm AW advected by the West Spitsbergen Current splits as it reaches the Yermak Plateau [Aagaard et al., 1987]. The Svalbard Branch follows the topography inshore of the Yermak Plateau between the 400 and 500 m isobaths [Sirevaag and Fer, 2009]. The Yermak Branch circulates anticyclonically around the Yermak Plateau’s western slope and follows the 1500 m isobath [Perkin and Lewis, 1984; Muench et al., 1992; Garsdard et al., 1995]. A substantial fraction of the Yermak Branch has been observed to cross the Plateau eastward at 80.4°N through the Yermak Pass at 700 m depth [Garsdard et al., 1995], but this has not been confirmed by other studies, possibly due to the scarcity of observations in that area (Figure 1). Another part of the Yermak Branch detaches from the continental slope and recirculates across Fram Strait [Bourke et al., 1988]. It is thought that the remaining part of the Yermak Branch rejoins the inshore branch northeast of Svalbard but pathways past the northern tip of the Plateau are unclear. East of Svalbard, AW flows eastward along the slope of the Eurasian continent [Treshnikov, 1977], cooling and freshening, before it is eventually transported back to the Atlantic Ocean through the western Fram Strait [Lique et al., 2010; Polyakov et al., 2012] (Figure 1).

The Yermak Plateau is a local hotspot for vertical mixing and cooling of AW [Fer et al., 2015]. Strong tidal currents along the slopes of the Plateau lead to increased internal wave activity and therefore enhanced mixing rates [Padman and Dillon, 1991; Wijeseker et al., 1993; Fer et al., 2010]. Mixing causes water mass modification affecting regional ice cover [Fer et al., 2015]. North of Svalbard, inflowing AW...
interacts with the Arctic sea ice, and a fresher upper layer of Polar Water, is created [Onarheim et al.,
2014; Rudels et al., 2015]. This region is therefore key for understanding the formation of the cold halocline that insulates sea ice from warm AW [Rudels et al., 2004]. As noted by Steele and Boyd [1998], processes that create the Arctic Ocean stratification are best identified using winter observations when these processes are taking place.

The AW inflow to the Arctic has been warming since the late 1970s with the strongest warming signal over the Svalbard slope [Grotefendt et al., 1998; Schauer et al., 2004; Ivanov et al., 2009; Polyakov et al., 2012; Beszczynska-Möller et al., 2012]. The warming of the AW layer could lead to substantial melt of the Arctic sea ice in particular near the AW source along the Svalbard continental slope [Polyakov et al., 2013; Onarheim et al., 2014; Carmack et al., 2015].

The Arctic Ocean is undersampled compared to much of the world ocean [Abrahamsen, 2014]. Estimating trends and understanding mechanisms in an extreme seasonal environment is a challenge with few comprehensive observational campaigns outside the spring and summer periods [Grotefendt et al., 1998].

The Norwegian young sea ICE expedition (N-ICE2015) took place north of Svalbard in 2015 to investigate the new thinner Arctic sea ice regime [Renner et al., 2013] and associated interactions between the ice, ocean, and atmosphere, and the feedbacks between physical and biogeochemical processes [Granskog et al., 2016]. We present hydrographic and ocean current observations collected from January to June 2015 during N-ICE2015 in the Nansen Basin and over the Yermak Plateau. These 6 months of observations, spanning the winter and spring is a unique data set and provides the oceanographic context for topical studies presented in N-ICE2015 companion papers. We investigate the hydrography and circulation, focusing on the AW pathways, characteristics, and impacts on sea ice. The data collection and quality control are described in section 2. In section 3, we give an overview of the water mass distribution, seasonal mixed layer, seasonal and regional variability, and currents. We discuss the implications in section 4 and conclude in section 5.

2. Data and Methods

2.1. N-ICE2015 Expedition

Between January and June 2015, during the N-ICE2015 expedition the R.V. Lance completed four drifts in the Arctic Ocean north of Svalbard, moored each time to a different sea ice floe (Figure 2 and Table 1). On each floe, hereinafter referred to as Floes 1–4, an ice camp was set up and oceanographic data as well as atmospheric, sea ice, snow, and biogeochemical data were collected [Granskog et al., 2016].
The first drift took place in January and February 2015 lasting 38 days, partly in the Nansen Basin, partly at the northern edge of the Yermak Plateau, finishing on the Svalbard continental slope (Table 1). Drift 2 lasted 24 days over the Nansen Basin during February and March 2015. Drift 3, the longest, lasted 49 days from April until June 2015 from the northern slope of the Yermak Plateau, to the southern edge of the Plateau. Finally drift 4 took 16 days and covered a similar track to the last part of drift 3 on the Yermak Plateau. Drift 1 and 2 took place during winter, with drift 1 in full darkness and the first sunrise on 1 March 2015 during drift 2. Drift 3 and 4 took place during spring with the last sunset on 5 April 2015 during drift 3.

2.2. Collected Data

In this study, temperature and salinity profiles from several instruments are used: profiles from a vessel-mounted CTD processed to 1 m vertical average, profiles from Ice-Atmosphere-Arctic Ocean Observing System (IAOOS) profilers processed to 1 m vertical average, and profiles from microstructure profilers processed to 0.2 m vertical average). Also used in this study are dissolved oxygen concentrations from discrete

![Figure 2. Trajectories of the four N-ICE2015 drift floes between 15 January and 22 June 2015 with underlying topographic contours ranging from 100 to 5000 m at 200 m intervals. Presence of Atlantic Water in the water column is indicated and labelled from either the Yermak Branch (yellow drift track), from undetermined origin (magenta drift track), or from the Svalbard Branch (red drift track). The 3000 and 1500 m isobaths represent the limits between the deep Nansen Basin, the slope areas and the shallower Yermak Plateau (thick black lines). Key dates are indicated in red.](image-url)

**Table 1.** N-ICE2015 Expedition Overview and Data Sets Used in This Study, Publicly Available at the Norwegian Polar Data Centre (Dodd et al., 2016; Meyer et al., 2016b, 2016; Provost et al., 2016; Dodd et al., 2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ice Drift</th>
<th>Floe 1</th>
<th>Floe 2</th>
<th>Floe 3</th>
<th>Floe 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>Spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start position</td>
<td>83.2°N 21.6°E</td>
<td>83°N 27.4°E</td>
<td>83.2°N 13.5°E</td>
<td>81.1°N 14.4°E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End position</td>
<td>81.2°N 20.3°E</td>
<td>82.5°N 22.6°E</td>
<td>79.9°N 3.1°E</td>
<td>80.1°N 5.7°E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (days)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ship CTD casts</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of on-ice CTD casts</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of microstructure profiles (sets)</td>
<td>71 (21)</td>
<td>55 (25)</td>
<td>329 (94)</td>
<td>128 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of IAOOS buoy profiles</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel-mounted ADCP</td>
<td>15 Jan to 21 Feb 2015 38 days</td>
<td>24 Feb to 3 Mar 2015 8 days</td>
<td>18 Apr to 5 Jun 2015 49 days</td>
<td>7 Jun to 22 Jun 2015 16 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Ranger ADCP</td>
<td>3 May to 4 Jun 2015 33 days</td>
<td>11 Jun to 19 Jun 2015 9 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
water samples, current velocity profiles from a medium range vessel-mounted ADCP (hourly temporal and 8 m vertical average), and current velocity profiles from a long range ADCP suspended beneath the ice floe (hourly temporal and 8 m vertical average). Each instrument and the associated data set are described in the following sections and summarized in Table 1. The data were analyzed using the Thermodynamic Equation of Seawater 2010 (TEOS-10) and conservative temperature (CT) and Absolute Salinity (SA) is used throughout the text [McDougall et al., 2012].

2.2.1. Ship-Board CTD Data

The ship-board CTD was a Sea-Bird Electronics SBE911 plus with two sets of sensors attached to a multibottle Sea-Bird carousel water sampler holding 11 Niskin bottles of 8 L each. Separate water samples for salinity analyses were drawn from the Niskin bottles immediately after the CTD package was secured in a heated area. These salinity samples were analyzed at sea using a Guildline Portasal salinometer with accuracy ca. ±0.003.

Prior to and after the N-ICE2015 expedition, the CTD sensors were calibrated at Sea-Bird. The temperature sensors drifts were negligible. The conductivity sensors had drifted and conductivity slope corrections were calculated using bottle salinity measurements. The data accuracy for conductivity estimates was ±0.0003 S m⁻¹ and for temperature of ±0.001°C.

A total of 51 ship CTD casts were carried out of which 25 stopped just above the seafloor. The remainder were shallow casts (less than 200 m) to collect biological water samples. The ship CTD sampling was usually weekly, except when interrupted between the 16 February and the 20 March 2015. During this period, sea ice under and by the side of the ship stacked up to 8 m thick, making the CTD hole maintenance impossible.

2.2.2. IAOOS Profilers Data

Two IAOOS buoys (http://iaoos.ipev.fr/index.php?lang=en) [Provost et al., 2015; Koenig et al., 2016] that carried ice-tethered profilers manufactured by NKE (PROVOR SPI) were deployed during Floe 1. The profilers were equipped with a Seabird 41CP CTD and an Aanderaa 4330 optode for dissolved oxygen. The profilers were set to collect two profiles per day down to 500 m depth, and gathered a total of 112 profiles. In addition, during Flocs 1 and 2, tests were carried out involving a profiler on a 800 m long instrumented line in a tent-covered testing-hole. A total of 42 profiles used in this study were obtained with this set up (26 during Flos 1 and 16 during Floe 2). The vertical resolution of the processed CTD data is 1 dbar in the upper 400 dbars, 5 dbars from 400 to 550 dbars and 10 dbars from 550 to 850 dbars. The vertical resolution in dissolved oxygen is 2 dbars over all depths.

The profiler salinity data were calibrated using the ship CTD salinity [Koenig et al., 2016]. Following quality control, all the temperature profiles were retained and 1% of the salinity and dissolved oxygen profiles were removed. Resulting data accuracy was ±0.002°C for temperature, ±0.02 for salinity, and ±3 µmol kg⁻¹ for dissolved oxygen.

2.2.3. Microstructure Profiler Data

A total of 588 microstructure profiles were collected in 173 sets with two loosely tethered free-fall MSS-90 microstructure profilers [Prandke and Stips, 1998] developed by ISW Wassermesstechnik. A set, which corresponds here to consecutively sampled profiles, was usually composed of three profiles during the N-ICE2015 expedition. The profilers had precision conductivity, temperature, and depth sensors as well as turbulence sensors including two airfoil shear probes, a fast response thermistor, and a microconductivity sensor. Here we use the CTD profiles while details of the microstructure data processing and a description of the vertical mixing and turbulence characteristics can be found in Meyer et al. [2017].

The microstructure profilers were deployed through a hole in the sea ice from a heated tent a few hundred meters away from the ship. The profiles (only the downcasts are used) started immediately below the ice and reached on average 150 m during Floe 1 and 300 m during Flos 2, 3, and 4. Data processing followed Fer [2006]. High-resolution profiles, sampled at 1024 Hz, were vertically averaged to 20 cm. The CTD data from the microstructure profilers were compared with the ship CTD data for validation, and salinity drift corrections of 0.021 g kg⁻¹ for one and 0.065 g kg⁻¹ for the second profiler were applied.

2.2.4. Dissolved Oxygen Data (Water Samples)

A total of 175 samples of dissolved oxygen were collected directly from Niskin bottles on either the ship CTD rosette (68 samples) or from bottles on a Hydro-Bios water sampler deployed from the sea ice (107 samples). This small water sampler (SlimLine 6) with integrated CT-set, hereinafter “on-ice CTD,” was
operated from the same tent and hole as the microstructure profiler, several hundred meters away from R.V. Lance. Individual sampling bottles with a nominal capacity of 115 mL were used. The analysis and calculations followed the modified Winkler procedure described in Carpenter [1965]: sulfuric acid had 50% volume concentration and the concentration of thiosulfate stock solution was 0.18 M. Titrations were carried out in two 50 mL aliquots taken from the dissolved oxygen bottles to check the reproducibility of the results. Consecutive titrations led to nonsignificantly different results. A digital Solarus burette from Hirschmann was used. Standards and blank were determined every time measurements were made. Dissolved oxygen concentrations were calculated in mL L\(^{-1}\) and converted to μmol kg\(^{-1}\) using potential temperature and surface pressure [Weiss, 1970].

2.2.5. Current Data: Vessel-Mounted ADCP Data
Current velocity in the upper 150 m was measured by a vessel-mounted broadband 150 kHz acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) (Teledyne RD Instrument (RDI)). Vessel-mounted ADCP data were collected near continuously between 15 January and 22 June 2015, with a gap in data between 3 March and 19 March 2015 (Table 1) due to large ridging events blocking the ADCP transducers with sea ice. Profiles were averaged hourly in 8 m vertical bins with the first bin centered at 23 m.

2.2.6. Current Data: Long Ranger ADCP Data
During part of the N-ICE2015 expedition, time series of velocity were collected using a downward-looking RDI 75 kHz Long Ranger ADCP (Table 1). This ADCP was deployed just under the sea ice suspended with a chain and secured to the ice floe several hundred meters away from the ship. During drift 3, the instrument averaged 40 velocity profiles (pings) at 10 min intervals in 8 m thick cells, with the first cell centered at 21 m, while during drift 4, 55 velocity profiles were averaged at 20 min intervals, with the first cell centered at 19 m. Ensembles with excessive tilt (pitch and roll more than \(±20°\)), and bins with weak average echo intensity (less than 40 counts) or less than 50% good three-beam and four-beam solutions were discarded. Absolute current velocity was obtained by adding the ice velocity as measured by the ship’s Global Positioning System (GPS) to the relative velocity profile. The final vertical range of the Long Ranger ADCP data was 480 m which is typical for Arctic waters where acoustic scatterer concentrations are low.

2.3. Tides
In order to estimate the relative contribution of tides and background flows in the observed velocities, two approaches were used. First, tidal predictions from a model were derived along the drift tracks. Second, we attempted to isolate the tidal signal from the velocity observations. Both estimates are compared and discussed in the section 3.

2.3.1. Tidal Model: AOTIM-5 Tidal Current Predictions
We use the Arctic Ocean Tidal Inverse Model (AOTIM-5) [Padman and Erofeeva, 2004] to estimate the tidal current velocities associated with the four most energetic tidal components (\(M_2\), \(S_2\), \(O_1\), and \(K_1\)) along the N-ICE2015 drift trajectories. The model provides 5 km horizontal grid resolution barotropic tidal velocities based on the Egbert et al. [1994] data assimilation scheme using all available tide gauge data in the Arctic Ocean.

2.3.2. Tidal Current Observations: Complex Demodulation
Our current observations were collected from a drifting platform. The time series are therefore affected by both temporal and spatial variability, and standard tidal harmonic analysis cannot be used. Using complex demodulation, we attempted to isolate the tidal signals in the ADCP current data time series. The algorithm is described in Emery and Thomson [2001, chap. 5, pp. 402–403]. Rotary component amplitude and phase of the diurnal and semidiurnal tides were estimated at, respectively, 24 and 12 h frequencies using 48 h long segments. Tidal estimates are not sensitive to the exact chosen time segment; the latest is determined by a compromise between too little data (short-time segment) and decreasing precision (large time segment). We cannot distinguish between the different constituents in the diurnal band or in the semidiurnal band. Furthermore, the inertial frequency is close to the semidiurnal band at these latitudes and will contaminate the tidal estimates (for the clockwise rotary component).

2.4. Climatologies and Reanalysis Products
2.4.1. Ocean Climatology: MIMOC
The global monthly isopycnal mixed-layer ocean climatology (MIMOC) covers the 0–1950 dbar range with 0.5° × 0.5° spatial resolution, uses objective mapping routines and emphasizes data from the last decade.
For each location of observations along the N-ICE2015 drift tracks, we linearly interpolate MIMOC in space and time to derive the best guess climatological values from MIMOC for comparison with our observations. Thus, each interpolated MIMOC profile is based on the eight MIMOC profiles closest in space and time.

### 2.4.2. Reanalysis Product: ERA-Interim

The ERA-Interim reanalysis data set is a global atmospheric product that is updated in near real time. It is based on the ECMWF integrated Forecast System [Dee et al., 2011]. It uses a fixed version of a numerical weather prediction system to produce reanalysed data. The spatial resolution is approximately 80 km with 60 vertical levels, while the temporal resolution is 6 hourly.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Environmental Conditions

The drifts started inside the pack ice, and distance to open water decreased over time. The maximum distance to open water was at the start of Floe 2 with 474 km, and the minimum occurred at the end of Floe 4 with only 11 km. The overall mean distance to open water was 142 km. Distance to open water was at the start of Floe 2 with 474 km, and the minimum occurred at the end of Floe 4 with 43 km. The drifts started inside the pack ice, and distance to open water decreased over time. The maximum distance to open water was at the start of Floe 2 with 474 km, and the minimum occurred at the end of Floe 4 with 43 km.

**Table 2. N-ICE2015 Expedition Environmental Conditions Presented as Time-Averaged Values Over Each Floe Drift**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Floe 1</th>
<th>Floe 2</th>
<th>Floe 3</th>
<th>Floe 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drift speed (m s⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean distance to open water (km)</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean depth (m)</td>
<td>3485</td>
<td>3990</td>
<td>1482</td>
<td>1176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean absolute current speed below 50 m (m s⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean predicted tidal currents (ACTIM-5) (m s⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed tidal current amplitude (m s⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean mixed-layer depth (m)</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean mixed-layer temperature (°C)</td>
<td>-1.84</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>-1.81</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean mixed-layer salinity (g kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>34.43</td>
<td>34.50</td>
<td>34.34</td>
<td>33.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean wind speed (m s⁻¹)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean air temperature (°C)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of storms</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Air temperature, wind speed, and storms definitions come from Cohen et al. [2017]; definition of distance to open water in Itkin et al. [2017].

**Figure 3a** shows that the N-ICE2015 winter atmospheric conditions were cold compared to recent years, with mean January and February air temperatures −19°C, −9°C, −14°C, and −10°C for, respectively, 2015, 2014, 2013, and 2012 in the Floes 1 and 2 region (Figure 3b). The ERA-Interim reanalysis data [Dee et al., 2011] show that the N-ICE2015 winter atmospheric conditions were cold compared to recent years, with mean January and February air temperatures −19°C, −9°C, −14°C, and −10°C for, respectively, 2015, 2014, 2013, and 2012 in the Floes 1 and 2 region (Figure 3b). The last particularly cold winter in the area was winter 2011 with mean January and February air temperature of −22°C. The 2015 cold winter conditions in the N-ICE2015 area led to large sea ice concentrations in the region as seen in ERA-Interim data (Figure 3a). The ERA-Interim data give a detailed comparison of the N-ICE2015 atmospheric conditions with the 2015 ERA-Interim data.

**3.2. Hydrography and Water Masses**

#### 3.2.1. Hydrographic Overview

For large parts of the drifts, the hydrography showed classic Arctic Ocean properties, with a cold, relatively fresh, and deep mixed layer in winter between 50 and 100 m thick (Figures 4a and 4b). Warmer and more salty AW was found between 200 and 800 m depth. At the end of Floes 1, 3, and 4, warmer AW was found concuring with shallower...
topography (Figure 4f). Hydrographic conditions changed significantly after 25 May 2015, when water observed between 100 and 500 m depth was warmer and saltier, while the mixed layer became thinner, fresher, and warmer (Figures 4a and 4b).

3.2.2. Water Masses

During the drifts, six different water masses were identified using Rudels et al. [2000] classification (Figure 5). The water mass analysis was based on data from the ship CTD, the microstructure profilers and the IAOOS buoys profilers (Table 1).

At the surface, we found a layer of Polar Surface Water (PSW, $\sigma_0 < 27.70$ and $\theta < 0^\circ$C) throughout the N-ICE2015 expedition, on average 93 m thick in winter and 78 m thick in spring (Figures 5 and 6). Its temperature was near freezing in winter (mean $-1.76^\circ$C) and higher in the spring (mean $-1.62^\circ$C).

Patches of warm Polar Surface Water (PSWw, $\sigma_0 < 27.70$ and $\theta < 0^\circ$C), a signature of ice melt water, were observed in the upper 50 m during spring on the Yermak Plateau, at the end of Flos 3 and 4 (Figure 6). PSWw average temperature was 0.98$^\circ$C and its maximum measured temperature was 4.38$^\circ$C.

Atlantic Water (AW, $27.70 < \sigma_0 < 27.97$ and $\theta > 2^\circ$C) was observed both on the continental slope of Svalbard (Svalbard Branch) and on the Yermak Plateau (Figure 2). On the Yermak Plateau, AW was found between 100 and 500 m depth (Figure 6). AW mean temperature was 2.7$^\circ$C and mean salinity 35.15 g kg$^{-1}$, with a maximum temperature of 4.4$^\circ$C. AW observed on or at the edge of the Yermak Plateau was defined as either of Yermak Branch origin, or undetermined branch (Figure 6). This classification is discussed in details in section 4.3. Dissolved oxygen concentrations in AW are typically the lowest observed during the expedition with values between 280 and 300 mol kg$^{-1}$ (Figure 5b). The exception is relatively high values of dissolved oxygen (>320 mol kg$^{-1}$) found in the undetermined branch of AW in the southeast region of the Yermak Plateau (Figures 5b and 2, magenta drift track).

Modified Atlantic Water (MAW, $27.70 < \sigma_0 < 27.97$ and $\theta > 2^\circ$C) is the result of AW cooling and mixing with polar waters as it circulates through the Arctic (Figure 5a). MAW was found from approximately 100 m depth to 500 m unless AW was present (Figures 5 and 6).

Intermediate Water (IW, $27.97 < \sigma_0 < 30.444$ and $\theta < 0^\circ$C) and Nordic Deep Water (NDW, $\sigma_0.5 > 30.444$) were found from 900 m and below in all ship CTD casts apart from over shallower parts of the Yermak Plateau (Figure 6).

3.3. Mixed-Layer Characteristics

The microstructure profiler data, averaged in 1 m bins, was used to derive the mixed-layer depth (Figures 7a, 4a, and 4b). In winter, the mixed-layer depth was defined as the depth in each profile where the potential density first exceeded the density at 20 m depth by 0.01 kg/m$^3$. In spring, we found the depth in each profile where the potential density first exceeded the surface (second good data point usually at 2 m depth) density by 0.003 kg/m$^3$. The lower density criteria used for spring was used to avoid overestimating the mixed-layer depth since the density gradient at the base of the mixed layer was smaller in spring than in winter. Overall, the mixed-layer depth estimates are not sensitive to the choice of density criteria, which are adjusted for the spatial and temporal coverage of data. Criteria definitions are typical for the Arctic region [Peralta-Ferriz and Woodgate, 2015].
Figure 4. Vertical distribution of (a) conservative temperature and mixed-layer depth (thick white line), (b) Absolute Salinity and mixed-layer depth (thin black line), (c) dissolved oxygen, (d) zonal (U) and (e) meridional (V) component of the absolute current velocity, and (f) seafloor depth along the N-ICE2015 drifts trajectories. In (a) and (b), data above 300 m is from microstructure profilers and data below is from ship CTD, apart from Floe 1 where upper 200 m are microstructure profilers and below 200 m is IAOOS profilers. In (c), data is from IAOOS profilers for Floe 1 and from either ship CTD (profiles deeper 1000 m), or from the on-ice CTD (profiles shallower than 1000 m) for Floe 2, 3, and 4. In (d) and (e), data above 130 m is from the vessel-mounted ADCP while data below 130 m is from the Long-Ranger ADCP. White isolines correspond to selected potential density contours: 27.6, 27.8, and 27.9 kg m$^{-3}$. Vertical dashed lines separate drifts.
The mean conservative temperature and Absolute Salinity within the mixed layer were derived and the local freezing temperature was calculated at 2 m depth. A measure of the vertical temperature gradient at the base of the mixed layer was derived applying a linear least square fit of conservative temperature values in the 5 m section directly below the base of the mixed layer (Figure 7b) \[ \text{Toole et al., 2010} \]. We also defined the departure from freezing temperature \( \delta T \) as the difference between the mean temperature in the mixed layer and the local freezing temperature \( \delta T = T - T_f(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P}) \) (Figure 7c).

The mean mixed-layer depth for the expedition was 44 m with values ranging from 1 to 100 m (Table 2 and Figure 7a). The deepest mixed layers were observed in March and the shallowest in June. In winter, the mixed layer was close to freezing with \( \delta T = 0.03^\circ \text{C} \). During Floe 3, \( \delta T \) doubled to 0.06\(^\circ\)C and it reached very large values in June with a mean of 0.47\(^\circ\)C during Floe 4. The temperature gradient at the base of the mixed layer was occasionally negative in the late spring (end of Floes 3 and 4) as a result of strong vertical interleaving in the upper 80 m of the water column.

A dramatic change was seen in mixed-layer characteristics after 25 May, while the camp was drifting over the Yermak Plateau. Prior to the 25 May, the mixed layer was deep (average of 64 m) and close to the freezing point. After the 25 May, a different mixed layer was encountered. It was very shallow (average of 6 m)
and had temperatures significantly above freezing. A remnant winter mixed layer was still present below the newly formed mixed layer for some time. The vertical temperature gradient at the base of the mixed layer also showed a shift on the 25 May with a mean value prior to this date of 0.25°C·m⁻¹ that dropped to 0.01°C·m⁻¹ afterward. Large basal sea ice melt events took place after 25 May driven by large ocean heat flux from Atlantic Water [Peterson et al., 2017; Meyer et al., 2017], leading to a freshening of the upper surface waters, an increase in buoyancy, and was likely responsible for the new shallow mixed layer.

Figure 6. Vertical distribution of water masses along the N-ICE2015 drift trajectory. Water-masses are labeled by color: Atlantic Water (AW), Modified Atlantic Water (MAW), Polar Surface Water (PSW), Warm Polar Surface Water (PSWw), Intermediate Water (IW), and Nordic Deep Water (NDW) following Rudels et al.’s [2000] definitions. Patches of Atlantic Water are indicated coming from either the Svalbard Branch (SB), the Yermak Branch (YB), or undetermined (UB). The vertical scale is zoomed in the upper 300 m. The date of 25 May 2015 is indicated by a thick vertical black and white dashed line. Overlying the water masses color scale is a contour of the mixed-layer depth (white line). The corresponding depth (m) of the seafloor along the N-ICE2015 drift trajectory shows topographic features (black).

Figure 7. Time evolution during the N-ICE2015 expedition of (a) the mixed-layer depth, (b) the vertical conservative temperature gradient in the 5 m below the mixed-layer base, and (c) the mean mixed-layer temperature departure from freezing point ($\delta T = T - T_f(S,p)$) before 25 May 2015 (blue) and after 25 May 2015 (brown), from individual microstructure profiles.
3.4. Seasonal and Regional Variability

3.4.1. In the Observations

Winter drifts (Floes 1 and 2) were in waters on average deeper than 3000 m, with a deeper mixed layer and cooler waters throughout the water column (Table 2). Winter waters were depleted in dissolved oxygen compared to concentrations in the spring (Figure 4c).

The spring drifts (Floes 3 and 4) were in shallower waters, with a shallower mixed layer, warmer waters and higher air temperatures (Table 2). Dissolved oxygen profiles in the spring showed enhanced values in the upper 100–400 m (Figure 4c).

We further split the spring data in two periods: early spring (until 25 May 2015) and late spring (after 26 May 2015) based on changes observed in temperature and salinity at that time (Figure 6, thick black and white dashed line). The winter and early spring mean vertical profiles of temperature, salinity, and density were near identical (Figures 8a–8c). The mean winter dissolved oxygen profile showed a strong gradient from surface values of 340 to 290 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) at 200 m depth and below (Figure 8d). The late spring profiles, however, were very different from the winter profiles with warmer waters from surface down to 500 m, much fresher and lighter waters in the upper 50 m and saltier waters between 50 and 600 m depth. This corresponded to the presence of AW at depth. We do not have sufficient data on dissolved oxygen during spring to discuss changes during that period.

During the expedition, two areas were sampled twice: The northern tip of the Yermak Plateau was first sampled during Floe 1 (31 January to 3 February) and then revisited about three months later during Floe 3 (20–22 April). The south-west edge of the Plateau was sampled at the end of Floe 3 (28 May to 4 June) and again 17 days later at the end of Floe 4 (13–20 June) (Figure 2). We compared temperature and salinity data for both regions over time and found that changes from winter to spring on the northern tip of the Plateau were very small (Figure 8i, green and yellow colors). Only in the upper 40 m, we observed slightly fresher waters in winter (−0.06 g kg\(^{-1}\)). Changes from late May to mid-June in the southwest part of the Plateau later were also small (Figure 8i, pink and purple colors). In June, AW was warmer and PSW was fresher than in May. This coincided with the June drift being closer to open water than the May drift.

Three bathymetric areas are defined for the N-ICE2015 data set: deep topography, deeper than 3000 m, corresponding to the Nansen Basin, slope area between 3000 m and 1500 m depth, and shallow topography, shallower than 1500 m, which corresponds mainly to the Yermak Plateau and partly to the upper continental slope at the end of Floe 1 (Figure 2). From deep to shallow topography, we saw a transition from PSW and MAW to PSWw and AW: waters became warmer from the surface down to 500 m depth, fresher and lighter at the surface, and saltier at depth (Figures 8e–8g). There was a strong deficit in dissolved oxygen concentrations in the upper layer (0–100 m) of the shallow profiles with a maximum difference in surface values of 310 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) compared to 340 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) in deeper profiles (Figure 8h).

Dissolved oxygen values were lower in winter and in shallow waters. Low-dissolved oxygen values in winter under the sea ice are expected since such regions have been isolated from the atmosphere for several months and minimal primary production takes place in that period. In shallow waters, low surface levels of dissolved oxygen could be due to significant remineralization over the continental shelf and exchanges between the mixed layer and AW due to enhanced mixing on the slope. Higher upper ocean dissolved oxygen values observed in the spring are likely the result of oxygen exchanges with the atmosphere through leads in the sea ice (ventilation) and biological production of oxygen in the water (photosynthetic activity) due to increased under ice light levels [Timmermans et al., 2010]. Such photosynthetic activity was observed with an under-ice phytoplankton bloom taking place in May and June 2015 during the expedition [Assmy et al., 2017].

3.4.2. Comparison With Ocean Climatology

The observations and derived MIMOC interpolated profiles of climatology (section 2.4.1) are binned in three time periods: winter for data between January and March; early spring for data between April and May and late spring for data in June. The number of profiles the climatology is based on in January is small (less than ten) for the N-ICE2015 January region (Figure 9a). This number increases to approximately 40 profiles in March, and to over 70 profiles in May (Figures 9b and 9c).

The key feature we found comparing the N-ICE2015 observations with the MIMOC ocean climatology was that the upper Atlantic Water layer is warmer, more saline and shallower in the new observations compared to the climatology, throughout the N-ICE2015 expedition (Figures 9d–9i). The signal of higher
Figure 8. Mean vertical profiles of (a and e) conservative temperature, (b and f) Absolute Salinity, (c and g) potential density, and (d and h) dissolved oxygen. In Figures 8a–8d, data are averaged by seasons where winter is from 15 January to 19 March (red line), early spring is from 18 April to 25 May (pink line) and late spring is from 26 May to 22 June (yellow line). In Figure 8e–8h, data are averaged by location where deep is deeper than 3000 m (dark blue line), slope is between 3000 m (cyan line) and 1500 m depth and shallow is shallower than 1500 m (green line). (i) Conservative temperature versus Absolute Salinity from ship CTD data and from microstructure profiler data. Colors indicate the dates at which data were sampled: Dark green and yellow points are from the northern tip of the Yermak Plateau in January and April respectively; pink and purple points are from the south-west edge of the Plateau in May and June respectively. Black dashed lines show various values of the atmospheric cooling ($Q_a$) to ice melt ($Q_i$) ratio. In Figures 8a–8c, 8e, 8f, and 8g, data above 300 m is from microstructure profilers while data below 300 m is from the ship CTD. In Figures 8d and 8h, data are from the IAOOS profilers (Floe 1 only).
temperature and salinity is seen between 100 and 220 m depth in the Nansen Basin and on the northern section of the Yermak Plateau, while it reaches as deep as 500 m depth on the southern section of the Yermak Plateau.

Another clear difference is the higher salinity of surface waters in winter and early spring (Figures 9e and 9g, upper 40 m). Such high salinities could be due to stronger local sea ice formation during winter 2015 than in previous years, leading to brine release in the upper water column. In the late spring, the warm shallow AW led to sea ice melt [Meyer et al., 2017] and a pronounced drop in subsurface salinities in the observations. Here the climatology does not capture this surface melt water signal and observed salinity in the upper 30 m is much lower than in the climatology.

### 3.4.3. Cold Halocline and Sea Ice

The upper mixed layer in the observations is more saline than the climatology, a sign of more sea ice formation in the region, consistent with measurements of divergent sea ice motion during winter storms of the N-ICE2015 expedition [Itkin et al., 2017]. Indeed, large sea ice divergence events suggest that leads opened in the ice. This would have led to high sea ice growth rates under the anomalous low atmospheric temperatures. The deep mixed layer observed could be the result of deep convection following strong freezing and resulting brine release.

The shape of temperature versus salinity profiles is associated with surface heat loss due to atmospheric cooling and to ice melting [Boyd and D’Asaro, 1994; Cokelet et al., 2008]. The temperature and salinity slopes of constant atmospheric cooling ($Q_a$) to ice melt ($Q_i$) were derived using

![Figure 9](image-url)
\[ T = T_0 + 79.2 \left( 1 + \frac{Q_{aw}}{Q_i} \right) \ln \left( \frac{S - S_i}{S_0 - S_i} \right), \]  

(1)

where \( T_0 = 4.5^\circ C \) and \( S_0 = 35.25 \) g kg\(^{-1}\) represent the virtual AW maximum, and \( S_i = 5 \) is an assumed sea ice salinity [Cokelet et al., 2008]. These slopes are appropriate for surface waters where atmospheric cooling and sea ice melt take place. The \( Q_{aw}/Q_i = 0 \) slope theoretically corresponds to no atmospheric sensible heat transfer and large sea ice melt (Figure 8i). The N-ICE2015 late spring temperature and salinity data had \( Q_{aw}/Q_i \) ratios as low as 1 (Figure 8i), consistent with both ice melting and heat loss to the atmosphere taking place. In winter and early spring however, the \( Q_{aw}/Q_i \) ratio was approximately 6, indicative of Polar Surface Water formation with very little ice melt taking place.

### 3.5. Surface Currents and Drift

Drift speed of the ice camps throughout the expedition averaged 0.17 m s\(^{-1}\) with peaks above 0.50 m s\(^{-1}\) (Figure 10a). The overall drift direction was south-west towards Fram Strait. The sea ice drift is determined by a combination of wind forcing, sea ice stresses and ocean forcing (tides and currents). During the N-ICE2015 expedition, the wind component was largest when the ice camps were closest to the ice edge (Floes 3 and 4) [Peterson et al., 2017]. When drifting deeper inside the pack ice (Floes 1 and 2), internal sea ice stress and oceanic forcing dominated.

Stronger mean ocean currents were generally recorded at the end of each drift when the ice camp approached the sea ice edge, shallower bathymetry, and the AW inflow. Figure 10 shows this increase in current speed when the distance to open water decreases, and when AW is present at depth (Figure 10c).

Most of the observed peaks in drift speed (Figure 10a) were clearly associated with the passage of atmospheric low pressure events, recorded as storms [Cohen et al., 2017]. The storms also appear to have influenced the observed mean absolute current speeds in the upper 23–55 m (Figure 10b). When drift speed exceeded 0.4 m s\(^{-1}\), mean current speed in the upper 55 m rose from an average 0.06–0.12 m s\(^{-1}\). Large upper ocean mean current speeds nearly always matched storm events, apart from a period after 17 June at the end of the N-ICE2015 expedition. After that date, large drifting speeds were associated with large upper ocean current speeds but with no corresponding storm. That period corresponded to the largest predicted and observed tidal signal suggesting that the large current and drift speeds were tide driven.

### 3.6. Deeper Circulation

Observed absolute mean current speeds below 50 m depth varied from a minimum of 0.02 m s\(^{-1}\) with direction rotating with tides in the Nansen Basin during Floe 2, to quite high values above 0.20 m s\(^{-1}\) flowing north-east on the Svalbard continental shelf during Floe 1. In the south-western part of the Yermak Plateau current speed was moderate with westwards and north-west direction; 0.11 m s\(^{-1}\) during Floe 3 and 0.17 m s\(^{-1}\) during Floe 4 (Figures 4d and 4e). Data from the two ADCPs showed barotropic flow with little vertical variability in the velocity profiles (Figures 4d and 4e). Some exceptions were observed following atmospheric storm events and on the Svalbard continental slope (not shown).

Overall, the ocean flow below 50 m depth was westwards and north-west in the south-west area of the Yermak Plateau close to the slope. At the northern tip of the Yermak Plateau, the mean current curled around the tip of the plateau (Figure 11d). Along the eastern slope of the Plateau, the consistent weak south, south-west current had a mean speed of 0.06 m s\(^{-1}\). Finally, a strong north-east flowing current signal was observed on the upper Svalbard continental slope (Figures 11a–11c). With corresponding AW characteristics (Figure 11b), this strong current was the Svalbard Branch of the AW inflow with a narrow core found below 50 m depth and reaching at least down to 200 m depth (Figure 11b). This core had an average current speed of 0.25 m s\(^{-1}\) located above the 600 and 900 m isobaths.

### 3.7. Tides and Oscillations

Tidal current predictions were estimated along the four drifts using the AOTIM-5 model (Figures 12a and 12b, red curves). Current signals at tidal frequencies (24 and 12 h) were estimated using the vessel-mounted ADCP data (Figures 12a and 12b, blue curves). Tidal signals were weak in the Nansen Basin with both observed and predicted average current values of 0.02 m s\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). Tides on the Yermak Plateau and on its slopes were relatively strong and dominated the current signal (Figure 11a, red areas), with observed current signals at tidal frequencies reaching 0.42 m s\(^{-1}\).
The phase of the predicted tides matched observed signals well, and the amplitudes of observed and predicted tidal signal were comparable during Floe 2, for the end of Floe 3 and for Floe 4. The amplitude of the observed signals was however larger than predicted tides during Floe 1 and during the first part of Floe 3 (Table 2). The difference might be due to predicted tidal signal being underestimated by the AOTIM-5 model. For example, its bathymetry may be inaccurate as it does not incorporate recently collected data and its resolution is coarse (5 km). This particularly affects tidal estimates near slopes and in coastal regions.

4. Discussion

The new observations from the N-ICE2015 expedition have long lasting potential to improve our understanding of the processes governing the Arctic Ocean during winter. N-ICE2015 was able to collect more than 250 winter CTD casts from ship CTD, microstructure profiles, and buoys, in a region with extremely sparse winter data coverage (Figure 9a). N-ICE2015 creates an unprecedented basis for studies on Arctic ocean winter processes and thus is crucial to reduce bias for modelling future Arctic conditions. This region is in a transition period, with basin wide sea ice thickness reduced from 3.60 to 1.25 m between 1975 and 2012 [Lindsay and Schweiger, 2015]. The general trend of thinning sea ice in the Fram Strait area is similar to that in the wider Arctic Ocean [Renner et al., 2014], and given that sea ice in the Yermak Plateau area generally drifts from the interior basin towards Fram Strait, a similar trend is also expected there. However, larger variability is observed north of Svalbard [Renner et al., 2013], likely associated with a larger fraction of first-year ice in this region.
Our observations are consistent with the general description of dominating processes in the area. Nowadays, the region north of Svalbard likely receives a thinner sea ice cover by predominantly wind driven sea ice transport [Hansen et al., 2013; Renner et al., 2013, 2014; Lindsay and Schweiger, 2015]. Snow-free and thinner sea ice would allow for further growth in particular during cold winters, despite the relatively large ocean heat flux in the region [Peterson et al., 2016, 2017; Meyer et al., 2017]. Snow cover was however thick during N-ICE2015 [Merkouriadi et al., 2017; Rösel et al., 2016] insulating the ice from the atmosphere and

4.1. Upper Layer Characteristics and Formation of the Cold Haloine

Our observations are consistent with the general description of dominating processes in the area. Nowadays, the region north of Svalbard likely receives a thinner sea ice cover by predominantly wind driven sea ice transport [Hansen et al., 2013; Renner et al., 2013, 2014; Lindsay and Schweiger, 2015]. Snow-free and thinner sea ice would allow for further growth in particular during cold winters, despite the relatively large ocean heat flux in the region [Peterson et al., 2016, 2017; Meyer et al., 2017]. Snow cover was however thick during N-ICE2015 [Merkouriadi et al., 2017; Rösel et al., 2016] insulating the ice from the atmosphere and
preventing sea ice growth (A. Rösel, personal communication, September 2016). It is instead in the many observed leads that strong thin ice growth was observed. A negative feedback loop might then come into effect where sea ice growth drives vertical convection, bringing up more of the Atlantic Water heat to the sea ice, preventing its growth [Ivanov et al., 2016].

4.2. Atlantic Water Characteristics and Circulation

On the western side of the Yermak Plateau, AW observed from 30 m depth with 2.8°C mean temperature is identified as part of the Yermak Branch of inflowing AW (Figure 2, yellow drift track). At the northern end of the Yermak Plateau, currents were consistently observed curling around the tip of the Plateau, when the ice camps drifted across both in winter and in spring (Figure 11d). Concurrently, AW was observed from 130 m depth, with 2.1°C mean temperature above the 1500 m isobaths (Figure 2), similar characteristics to those observed by Rudels et al. [2005]. We identify this section as the Yermak Branch retroflecting around the northern tip of Yermak Plateau. Further downstream, along the eastern side of the Yermak Plateau, AW was observed again at, respectively, 128 and 230 m depth, with mean temperatures of 2.3 and 2.0°C over the 1900 and 1600 m isobaths (Figure 2). With absolute current speeds that were consistently south along that section (Figure 11a), we identify this section as the Yermak Branch that has cooled down and eroded after circulating around the Yermak Plateau. This is similar to previous findings in the area [Rudels et al., 2000; Marnela et al., 2013]. What happens to the Yermak Branch south of 81.8° latitude on the eastern side of the Plateau is unclear. AW is observed again on the Plateau and on its eastern slope but this AW is much shallower (120 and 30 m depth), much warmer (2.8 and 3°C) and over shallower topography (900 and 1200 m isobaths) (Figure 2, magenta drift track). Current speeds in the area are low and tidal dominated (Figure 11a). This AW, referred to as the undetermined branch (Figure 6), could be coming from the Yermak Branch through the Yermak Plateau Pass taking a short-cut across the Plateau [Gascard et al., 1995]. Alternatively, this AW could have “leaked” from the Svalbard Branch, with eddies [Våge et al., 2016; Koenig et al., 2017] and slowly accumulated in that area.

The Svalbard branch of inflowing AW was clearly observed in the ocean current observations between the 600 and 1000 m isobaths at 81.5°N (Figures 11b and 2, red track). The observed distribution and T-S characteristics of AW over this part of the continental slope was very similar to that found by Cokelet et al. [2008]. They calculated geostrophic currents in the AW core of order 5 cm$^{-1}$, significantly lower than the 25 cm$^{-1}$ measured during N-ICE2015. Apart from differences between geostrophic estimates and direct measurement, this difference could be due to short-term variability as well as seasonality of the inflow; based on mooring records, Randelhoff et al. [2015] show that the Svalbard Branch is stronger in winter (N-ICE2015 observations) and spring than in summer and autumn [Cokelet et al., 2008, observations]. Similar seasonal variability of the Svalbard Branch is presented in Koenig et al. [2017].

Even though AW has been shown to have a hydrographic seasonal cycle [Schauer et al., 2002; Ivanov et al., 2009], this data set does not allow for such analysis. The changes in temperature and salinity observed during the N-ICE2015 expedition seem to be dominated by the presence of AW on the slopes and over the
Yermak Plateau. Changes from winter to spring near the northern part of the Plateau were near to none and restricted to the upper 40 m, while changes on the south-west edge of the Plateau seemed driven by the location of AW and distance to the ice edge (Figure 8i).

Overall, AW was observed close to the surface under the sea ice when within 100 km from open ocean. This is consistent with the idea that warm AW in this area defines the ice boundary by providing heat to and therefore melting the sea ice from below [Untersteiner, 1988]. A comparison with climatology data shows a warmer, shallower, and more saline AW during N-ICE2015. This could point either to a higher than usual inflow of AW in early 2015, or to a continuation of the signal of the previously reported warming trend of AW inflow in the Svalbard region [Grøtfjeld et al., 1998; Schauer et al., 2004; Ivanov et al., 2009; Polyakov et al., 2012; Beszczynska-Möller et al., 2012].

5. Conclusions

The N-ICE2015 expedition data set spanning January to June 2015 provides an updated picture of the hydrography and circulation in the Arctic Ocean north of Svalbard. In addition, these rare winter data are valuable to the community with the potential to reduce bias when modelling the new Arctic. The new observations show a surprisingly deep mixed layer for the first five months of the N-ICE2015 expedition likely due to high sea ice growth rates in numerous leads, a characteristic of the area [Willmes and Heinemann, 2016]. Because few earlier observations are available, it is not possible to conclude whether this is unusual and a result from the “new Arctic” with a thinner sea ice cover, or if this has been the typical state for this region in past decades. Late spring conditions, closer to the ice edge are dominated by a strong pycnocline and shallow mixed layer, the result of large sea ice melt events.

We find that the Atlantic Water inflow north of Svalbard was warmer, more saline and shallower in 2015 than in available climatology data. The inflow is steered by topography, partly flowing along the Svalbard coast (Svalbard Branch), and partly flowing around the Yermak Plateau (Yermak Branch), shown for the first time to retroreflect around its northern tip. The Atlantic Water present on the Yermak Plateau is associated with a shallow mixed layer and low sea ice concentrations. In the deep basin, Atlantic Water is found further down in the water column.

In the late spring, it is likely that the combination of strong tides, warm Atlantic Water and a shallow mixed layer during the N-ICE2015 expedition led to local enhanced heat fluxes from the ocean to the sea ice with significant implications for the sea ice energy budget.
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