Behaviour of chromium isotopes in the eastern sub-tropical Atlantic Oxygen Minimum Zone
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Abstract

Constraints on the variability of chromium (Cr) isotopic compositions in the modern ocean are required to validate the use of Cr isotopic signatures in ancient authigenic marine sediments for reconstructing past levels of atmospheric and ocean oxygenation. This study presents dissolved Cr concentrations (Cr T, where Cr T = Cr(VI) + Cr(III)) and Cr isotope data (δ53Cr) for shelf, slope and open ocean waters within the oxygen minimum zone (OMZ) of the eastern sub-tropical Atlantic Ocean. Although dissolved oxygen concentrations were as low as 44–90 μmol kg⁻¹ in the core of the OMZ, there was no evidence for removal of Cr(VI). Nonetheless, there was significant variability in seawater δ53Cr, with values ranging from 1.08 to 1.72‰.

Shelf Cr T concentrations were slightly lower (2.21 ± 0.07 nmol kg⁻¹) than in open ocean waters at the same water depth (between 0 and 160 m, 2.48 ± 0.07 nmol kg⁻¹). The shelf waters also had higher δ53Cr values (1.41 ± 0.14‰ compared to 1.18 ± 0.05‰ for open ocean waters shallower than 160 m). This is consistent with partial reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III), with subsequent removal of isotopically light Cr(III) onto biogenic particles. We also provide evidence for input of relatively isotopically heavy Cr from sediments on the shelf. Intermediate and deep water masses (AAIW and NADW) show a rather limited range of δ53Cr values (1.19 ± 0.09‰) and inputs of Cr from remineralisation of organic material or re-oxidation of Cr(III) appear to be minimal. Authigenic marine precipitates deposited in deep water in the open ocean therefore have the potential to faithfully record seawater δ53Cr, whereas archives of seawater δ53Cr derived from shelf sediments must be interpreted with caution.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Analyses of the chromium (Cr) isotopic composition of authigenic marine sediments are being used to reconstruct the Cr isotopic composition of ancient seawater and, in turn, to provide constraints on the evolution of atmospheric oxygen and the redox history of the oceans (Crowe et al., 2013; Frei et al., 2009; Planavsky et al., 2014). This is because Cr has two stable valence states at Earth surface conditions, Cr(III) and Cr(VI), and mass dependent fractionation of the four stable Cr isotopes (50Cr, 52Cr, 53Cr and 54Cr) occurs during oxidation and reduction reactions (Ellis et al., 2002; Schauble et al., 2004; Zink et al., 2010; Dissing et al., 2011; Kitchen

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: h.j.goring-harford@soton.ac.uk (H.J. Goring-Harford).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.03.004
0016-7037/© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.
This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
et al., 2012). Moreover, these valence states have different solubilities; Cr(VI) is relatively soluble whereas Cr(III) is not. Chromium isotope ratios are expressed in delta notation relative to the international reference material NBS979 as follows (Eq. (1)):

$$\delta^{53}\text{Cr} \,(%) = \frac{\left[^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr}\right]_{\text{sample}}}{\left[^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr}\right]_{\text{NBS979}}} - 1 \times 1000$$ (1)

Application of the Cr isotope proxy requires a detailed process-based understanding of the behaviour of Cr and its isotopes in the modern ocean.

### 1.1. Distribution of Cr in seawater

Chromium (Cr) is a trace element in seawater and, although it has a relatively long residence time of ~9500 years (Reinhard et al., 2013), its concentration is variable and usually within the range 0.9–6.5 nM (Campbell and Yeats, 1981; Cranston, 1983; Jeandel and Minster, 1984; Achterberg and Berg, 1997; Sirinawin et al., 2000; Connelly et al., 2006; Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015). In oxygenated seawater, Cr is present primarily as Cr(VI) in the chromate oxyanion, CrO$_4^{2-}$ and, to a lesser extent as Cr(III) in aqua-hydroxyl or hydroxyl ions (Elderfield, 1970). Chromium changes valence state from Cr(VI) to Cr(III) under mildly reducing conditions and, while Cr(VI) is not significantly particle reactive and is only weakly held on most mineral surfaces (Zachara et al., 1988; Richard and Bourg, 1991).

During periods of high biological productivity, Cr(III) can constitute up to ~50% of the dissolved Cr inventory in the upper water column (Achterberg and Berg, 1997; Connelly et al., 2006). Chromium is not thought to be a nutrient for marine bacteria and phytoplankton, but inadvertent biological uptake is well documented (Wang and Dei, 2001; Sikora et al., 2008; Li et al., 2009; Busu et al., 2014) and may be responsible for reduction of Cr(VI) in surface waters. High concentrations of Fe(II) and organic material in surface waters can also facilitate reduction of Cr(VI) (Døssing et al., 2011; Kitchen et al., 2012). Organic molecules can reduce Cr(VI) in their own right or support reduction by other mechanisms (Jamieson-Hanes et al., 2012; Kitchen et al., 2012), and they can also act to solubilise Cr(III) (Kaczynski and Kieber, 1994).

Reduction of Cr(VI) may also occur in those parts of the ocean that have relatively low concentrations of dissolved oxygen. Low-oxygen waters (<5 μmol kg$^{-1}$ of dissolved O$_2$) from within the ‘oxygen minimum zone’ (OMZ) in the tropical East Pacific were found to be depleted in Cr(VI) relative to adjacent oxygenated waters, and concentrations of dissolved Cr(III) and particulate Cr were highest at the top of the OMZ (Murray et al., 1983; Rue et al., 1997). In the Saanich Inlet, an intermittently anoxic fjord, Cr(III) concentrations were close to zero in the upper oxygenated waters whereas Cr(III) constituted >70% of total dissolved Cr in deep anoxic waters (Emerson et al., 1979).

Reduction of Cr(VI) in surface waters and uptake of Cr(III) on particles means that total dissolved Cr concentrations (Cr$_T$, where Cr$_T$ = Cr(VI) + Cr(III)) in the surface ocean are typically slightly lower than they are at depth (Campbell and Yeats, 1981; Cranston, 1983; Dauby et al., 1994; Achterberg and Berg, 1997; Connelly et al., 2006). However, in some parts of the ocean, concentrations of Cr$_T$ in surface waters may be relatively high due to atmospheric inputs (Achterberg and Berg, 1997), and inputs of Cr from marine sediments and hydrothermal vents can result in relatively high concentrations close to the seabed at some locations (up to 20 nmol kg$^{-1}$; Jeandel and Minster, 1984; Sander and Koschinsky, 2000). If there are no external inputs of Cr and rates of biological activity are low, then Cr$_T$ concentrations may simply reflect those of newly formed water masses that are subducted into the interior ocean (Sirinawin et al., 2000), or mixing between different water masses (Scheiderich et al., 2015).

### 1.2. Cr isotope composition of seawater

To date there are only a handful of studies of the isotopic composition of Cr$_T$ in seawater, and $\delta^{53}$Cr values reported for seawater range between 0.13 and 1.55‰ (Bonnand et al., 2013; Pauklat et al., 2015; Pereira et al., 2015; Scheiderich et al., 2015; Economou-Eliopoulos et al., 2016; Holmden et al., 2016; Pauklat et al., 2016). Most of these seawater samples have $\delta^{53}$Cr values that are higher than those for crustal rocks (~0.12 ± 0.10‰; Schoenberg et al., 2008). As rivers are the main source of Cr to the oceans (90–98%; Chester and Murphy, 1990; Bonnand et al., 2013; McClain and Maher, 2016), this suggests either that (i) isotopically heavy Cr is preferentially released during weathering processes, (ii) the weathering signal is modified during transport to the oceans (e.g. during estuarine mixing), or (iii) Cr isotopes are fractionated by Cr cycling within the oceans.

There is a growing body of evidence that indicates that oxidative weathering preferentially retains light Cr isotopes in the solid phase (Frei et al., 2009; Crowe et al., 2013; Frei and Polat, 2013; Berger and Frei, 2014; Frei et al., 2014; Planavsky et al., 2014; D’Arcy et al., 2016). Water samples from fully oxic groundwater recharge regions are reported to have $\delta^{53}$Cr values of 1.2–2.3‰ (Izbicki et al., 2008), and most river waters that drain into the sea that have been analysed to date are enriched in heavy Cr isotopes ($\delta^{53}$Cr = 0.43 ± 0.38‰ 1SD, n = 46; D’Arcy et al., 2016, Frei et al., 2014, Pauklat et al., 2015, Wu et al., 2017) relative to crustal rocks. On the other hand, paleosols weathered under oxic conditions (Crowe et al., 2013; Frei and Polat, 2013) and modern soils are enriched in light Cr isotopes (modern soil $\delta^{53}$Cr = –0.21 ± 0.11‰ 1SD, n = 74; Frei et al., 2014; D’Arcy et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017). The exact mechanisms that lead to fractionation of Cr isotopes during oxidation reactions are, however, unclear, and laboratory experiments indicate that under some circumstances, oxidation of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) could alternatively lead to retention of heavy Cr isotopes in the residual solid phase (Bain and Bullen, 2005; Zink et al., 2010; Joshi et al., 2011).
The isotopic signal of Cr derived from weathering processes is potentially modified during river transport and/or estuarine mixing. Both Cr(III) and Cr(VI) species have been observed in the dissolved phase in rivers (Cранстон and Murray, 1980; Dolamore-Frank, 1984; Kieber and Helz, 1992; Abu-Saba and Flegal, 1995; Gardner and Ravenscroft, 1996; Abu-Saba and Flegal, 1997; Saputro et al., 2014; McClain and Maher, 2016) and there is some evidence for reduction of Cr(VI) in rivers and estuaries in the presence of reductants including Fe(II) and organic molecules (Cранстон and Murray, 1980; Dolamore-Frank, 1984; Kieber and Helz, 1992; Saputro et al., 2014; D’Arcy et al., 2016). Theoretical studies and laboratory experiments have shown that reduction of Cr(VI) fractionates Cr isotopes, with enrichment of light Cr isotopes (by as much as $-10\%$ relative to the initial Cr(VI) solution) in the Cr (III) that forms (Schauble et al., 2004; Zink et al., 2010; Dissing et al., 2011; Kitchen et al., 2012). Reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) during mixing between freshwater and seawater was invoked to explain enrichment of heavy Cr isotopes in Southampton Water compared to Atlantic seawater (Bonnand et al., 2013), and reduction by organic molecules was proposed to cause the same effect in the Glenariff River (D’Arcy et al., 2016). As there are in total only 7 published measurements of $\delta^{53}$Cr in estuarine waters to date (Frei et al., 2014; Paulukat et al., 2015; D’Arcy et al., 2016), and corresponding salinity measurements have not been reported, the behaviour of Cr isotopes during estuarine mixing is, as yet, unknown.

Assessment of the effects of internal cycling of Cr within the oceans on the distribution of Cr isotopes is hampered by the overall lack of $\delta^{53}$Cr data for seawater and especially the absence of full depth profiles for most parts of the ocean. Five seawater samples collected between 30 and 2290 m depth from a station in the oligotrophic Argentine Basin in the South Atlantic had $\delta^{53}$Cr values of between 0.412 and 0.664$\%$ (Bonnand et al., 2013). The $\delta^{53}$Cr value of the sample from 30 m depth was lower (0.412$\%$) than the $\delta^{53}$Cr values for the rest of the profile (0.491–0.664$\%$) but there was little difference in the Cr concentrations of surface and deep waters (Bonnand et al., 2013). A better resolved vertical profile has been obtained by combining data from three stations (total of 15 samples) in the open Beaufort Sea together with 4 samples collected from the shelf (Scheiderich et al., 2015). Samples from the surface mixed layer (SML) that had relatively low salinity (25.3–29.9) had the lowest Cr concentrations (1.2–1.5 nmol kg$^{-1}$) and relatively high $\delta^{53}$Cr values (1.47–1.53$\%$). Seawater samples recovered from below the SML exhibited a negative correlation between lnCr$T$ and $\delta^{53}$Cr. This was suggested to indicate that the Cr isotopic composition of seawater is principally controlled by reduction of Cr(VI) (and subsequent removal of Cr(III)) in surface waters and OMZs (with $\Delta$ln[Cr(III)/Cr(VI)] = $-0.80 \pm 0.03\%$, where $\Delta$ln[Cr(III)/Cr(VI)] = $\delta^{53}$Cr[Cr(III)] – $\delta^{53}$Cr(Cr(VI)), and input of Cr at depth due to re-oxidation of Cr(III) from sinking particles or seafloor sediments (Scheiderich et al., 2015).

To better assess the controls on the Cr isotopic composition of seawater, we collected vertical profiles of seawater from the continental shelf, slope and open ocean in the eastern equatorial Atlantic Ocean. All of the stations intersect low oxygen (dysoxic – see Table 1 for definition) waters of the eastern Atlantic OMZ. We show that Cr$T$ is unlikely to be reduced under dysoxic conditions, but that Cr$T$ and $\delta^{53}$Cr are affected by biological processes and inputs from sediments on the shelf whereas deep waters are relatively unaffected by internal Cr cycling. We also discuss the implications of our results for the interpretation of Cr isotopic values in marine sedimentary archives.

## 2. STUDY AREA

Water samples were collected from the eastern Atlantic OMZ at six stations during RRS Discovery cruise D361 (GEOTRACES transect GA06) between 7 February and 19 March 2011, as part of the GEOTRACES project. Four stations (stations 2–5) were located above the Senegalese shelf and a fifth (station 18) in the North Atlantic Ocean approximately halfway between the Senegalese coast and the mid-Atlantic ridge, all at a latitude of $\sim$12°N. A sixth station (station 11.5) was located in the South Atlantic Ocean at a latitude of 3°S (Fig. 1; Table 2).

Senegalese coastal waters are located in the southern extent of the North West African upwelling system. Upwelling only occurs there in the boreal winter and spring when trade winds are strong, and the vertical extent of upwelling is relatively shallow compared to more northerly regions, with the deepest upwelled waters originating from the top of the thermocline (~100 m; Pelegri and Benazzouz, 2015). Nonetheless, elevated nutrient levels in the upper 100 m of the water column allow enhanced biological activity on the shelf and slope. In addition to coastal upwelling, the Guinea Dome upwelling system is also located in the study area.

Below the coastal upwelling system, South Atlantic Central Water (SACW) is found at depths of ~150 to 500 m. This water mass is transferred to the South Atlantic Ocean from the Indian Ocean via the Agulhas current, and contains components of both Indian Central Water (ICW) and South Pacific Central Water (SPCW; Tomczak and Godfrey, 2003). It crosses the Atlantic basin via the Benguela current, and travels north with the North Brazilian Undercurrent. Beyond, in the sub-tropical and equatorial regions, the pathways of SACW are highly complex and seasonally variable (Stramma and England, 1999).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxygen concentration (μmol kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Term used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>92–370</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2–92</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0–9.2</td>
<td>Suboxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 (+ H$_2$S)</td>
<td>Anoxic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The SACW meets well-ventilated North Atlantic Central Water (NACW) at the Cape Verde Convergence Zone at approximately 20°N (Fig. 1). The NACW is consequently deflected towards the west forming the southeastern corner of the North Atlantic sub-tropical gyre. This means that NACW inputs at 12°N are negligible and subsequent ventilation of SACW is prevented (Stramma et al., 2005). This contributes to the presence of a poorly ventilated 'shadow zone' between the sub-tropical gyre and equatorial current systems, in which our study area lies (Zenk et al., 1991). Dissolved oxygen concentrations on the shelf and slope are also depleted as large quantities of organic matter sink and decay. The combined effects of poor ventilation and high biological productivity in surface waters cause a dysoxic OMZ (44–90 μmol kg⁻¹ O₂) at 200–800 m depth, though the waters do not become suboxic (as defined in Table 1) as in OMZs in the Indian and Pacific Oceans (Helly and Levin, 2004). Highly oxygenated Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW) bounds the OMZ from below and is identified by a salinity minimum at 600–1000 m, whilst NADW occurs between 1000 m and the seafloor, though the deepest stations may be influenced by Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) (Stramma and England, 1999; Stramma et al., 2005).

At 3°S (station 11.5) the water column structure is similar to that at 12°N, with the exception that Upper Circumpolar Deep Water (UCDW) is found between AAIW and NADW at 1000–1300 m. SACW at this station is fed by both oxygen poor equatorial waters and oxygen rich waters from the south (Stramma and Schott, 1999). This results in higher average O₂ concentrations within the SACW compared to the other stations, though the OMZ is still well defined between 200 and 600 m.

3. METHODS

3.1. Sample collection

A trace metal clean CTD system equipped with modified Ocean Test Equipment Inc. (OTE) bottles was used to collect water samples of ~3 L volume. The OTE bottles were transferred to a class 100 clean container aboard the RRS Discovery and seawater was filtered through pre-rinsed Supor Acropak filters (0.2 μm) or acid cleaned polyethersulfone filters (0.45 μm) into acid washed 1L bottles. Samples were acidified to pH 2 (Romil UpA HCl) and double bagged for storage at room temperature at the National Oceanography Centre, Southampton (Klar et al., 2018). From these samples, aliquots of 1–2 L were taken for Cr isotope analysis and the rest was used for Fe isotope analysis (Klar et al., 2018).

3.2. Analysis of Cr concentration and isotopic values

The total Cr concentration (Cr_T, where Cr_T = Cr(VI) + Cr(III)) and Cr isotopic values of seawater samples were determined by multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (MC-ICP-MS) using a double spike technique based on that described by Bonnand et al. (2013). Processing of the samples was carried out in a class 100 clean laboratory. All acid reagents were sub-boiled while ammonia and H₂O₂ were Romil UpA and Fluka TraceSELECT grades, respectively.

3.2.1. Preliminary determination of Cr_T

In order to optimise the ratio of natural Cr to double spike Cr for isotopic measurements, concentrations of Cr_T were first determined for selected samples using a single
3.2.2. Preparation of seawater samples for Cr isotope analysis

As the Cr content of seawater is relatively low, it was necessary to pre-concentrate the Cr by co-precipitation with Fe using a modified version of the method described in Bonnard et al. (2013). First, 30–70 μg of a 50Cr-54Cr double spike (2.78 ppm) was added to between 1 and 2 L of seawater (~150 to 300 ng of natural Cr). In order to reduce the error magnification term associated with isotopic ratio measurements (Bonnand et al., 2011), optimal target ratios were set for 50Cr/52Cr, 53Cr/52Cr and 54Cr/52Cr (Table 3). The exact amount of double spike added to each sample was calculated based on individual sample weights and concentrations (as estimated by single spike ID; Section 3.2.1). The double spike was dispensed into the sample using a variable volume pipette calibrated with Milli-Q water (n = 5, 2SD = ±0.0001 – 0.0005 g), and the weight of spike added to each sample was calculated from the mass dispensed multiplied by the density of the double spike (1.0980 g mL⁻¹). The sample-spike mixtures were then left to equilibrate for ~24 h. The Cr in the double spike is present as Cr (III), which is expected to be the principal Cr species in acidified (pH 2) samples (Rai et al., 1989). For this reason equilibration between spike and sample Cr was expected to be rapid.

After ~24 h, samples were adjusted to pH 8–9 to facilitate precipitation of Cr, and a freshly prepared suspended precipitate of Fe(II) hydroxide (made by the addition of ammonia to a fresh ammonium Fe(II) sulphate solution) was added (10 mL L⁻¹ of seawater). Under these conditions, any remaining Cr(VI) in the sample is quantitatively reduced to Cr(III) by Fe(II) (Fendorf and Li, 1996), which is in turn oxidised to Fe(III), forming an Fe(III)-Cr(III) precipitate. Chromium(III) is quantitatively adsorbed onto the Fe(III)-Cr(III) precipitate (Crawford et al., 1993), ensuring that all of the Cr in the sample is recovered.

The precipitate was separated from the solution via vacuum filtration through Millipore Omnipore filters (1 μm), which were pre-cleaned in 6 M sub-boiled HCl at 95 °C followed by Milli-Q water at 95 °C (Scheiderich et al., 2015). The precipitate was then leached from the filters using 6 M HCl before being dried down and taken up in 6 mL of 7 M HCl. The Cr was separated from the Fe via anion exchange chromatography (Biorad AG1-X8, 200–400 mesh size; Bonnard et al., 2013), and the eluant was then dried and re-dissolved in 6 mL of 0.5 M HCl. Remaining cations were removed by cation exchange chromatography (Biorad AG50-X12, 200–400 mesh size; Bonnard et al., 2011). The eluant was then dried down and 30 μL H2O2 was added before the solution was dried down once again in order to oxidise any remaining organic material. This step was found to prevent a loss of ion beam intensity during analysis, which is thought to be caused by interaction between residual organic material and the sampling probe and/or the Aridus 2 nebuliser system (see below).

### 3.2.3. MC-ICP-MS analysis

Cr isotopic values and concentrations were measured at the University of Southampton using a method similar to that described in Bonnard et al. (2011). Samples were introduced to a Thermo Fisher Neptune MC-ICP-MS using an Aridus 2 desolvating nebuliser system with argon (Ar) as the carrier gas (operational settings can be found in Table 4). Nitrogen was omitted to reduce the size of ArN⁺ polyatomic interferences. The intensities of the four naturally occurring stable isotopes of Cr (50Cr, 52Cr, 53Cr, 54Cr) were measured in addition to 50Ti, 51V and 54Fe in order to correct for isobaric interferences from 50Ti, 51V and 54Fe. Medium resolution settings and 1012 Ω resistors were used and a gain calibration was also performed before each analytical session. A baseline correction was carried out at the beginning of each measurement. Polyatomic interferences such as 40Ar¹⁴N⁺ and 40Ar¹⁸O⁺ were avoided by making measurements on the peak shoulders.

The signal intensity of 52Cr for a double spiked 50 ppb Cr solution with these settings was ~6 to 7 V. The signal intensity of the samples was always within ~10% of this solution. Each analytical session began with analysis of at least three spiked NBS979 standards to verify instrument performance, followed by analysis of one spiked NBS979 standard after every three samples. The blank solution (3% HNO₃) was measured before and after each sample or standard, and the signal intensities were subtracted from the sample/standard data. A Newton-Raphson deconvolution calculation was performed to extract the δ⁵³Cr value of the sample (Albarède and Beard, 2004). Whilst the double

### Table 3

Isotopic ratios for the NBS979 standard, the 50Cr-54Cr double spike, and mixed solutions of the sample and spike. The four stable Cr isotopes are 50Cr (~4.3%), 52Cr (~83.8%), 53Cr (~9.5%) and 54Cr (~2.4%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>50Cr/52Cr</th>
<th>53Cr/52Cr</th>
<th>54Cr/52Cr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NBS979</td>
<td>0.05186</td>
<td>0.11346</td>
<td>0.02821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50Cr-54Cr double spike</td>
<td>19.94119</td>
<td>0.13753</td>
<td>8.72229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target sample spike mixture</td>
<td>0.30740</td>
<td>0.11418</td>
<td>0.25618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual sample-spike mixture range (all analyses)</td>
<td>0.46525–0.57263</td>
<td>0.11409–0.11423</td>
<td>0.20908–0.25601</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
spike corrects for instrumental mass bias effects, instrumental drift that arises due to variable collection efficiency of the Faraday cups over multiple analytical sessions must still be accounted for. This was done by normalising δ\(^{53}\)Cr values to the daily average δ\(^{53}\)Cr value for the NBS979 standard reference material.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Method validation

The Cr blank for the Fe(II) co-precipitation method was 18 ± 0.9 ng, the majority of which (~93%) was contributed by the ammonium Fe(II) sulphate salt (Sigma–Aldrich) used to generate the Fe(II) hydroxide precipitate. The isotopic composition of the trace amount of Cr in this salt varied slightly between batches, possibly due to an uneven distribution of isotopes within the crystals. For this reason, the Cr\(_T\) and δ\(^{53}\)Cr of the ammonium Fe(II) sulphate solution was regularly determined (respectively, 16.68 ± 0.55 nmol kg\(^{-1}\), −0.34 ± 0.32‰ 2SD, n = 6) and corrections to the final data were applied to account for its contribution to Cr\(_T\) and δ\(^{53}\)Cr of the samples. Uncertainty in the Cr concentration of the Fe(II) solution (together with the minor uncertainty of the volume of Fe(II) solution added to the sample) contributed an uncertainty of ±0.005 nmol kg\(^{-1}\) in corrected sample Cr\(_T\) values, yielding an external reproducibility of 0.03 nmol kg\(^{-1}\) (2SD). The effect of the blank on the uncertainty of δ\(^{53}\)Cr was ±0.02‰ (2SD), but it is higher (+0.04‰) for samples with heavier δ\(^{53}\)Cr values (~1.6‰). The uncertainty of the seawater processing method based on 6 analyses of the OSIL salinity standard using the same Fe(II) solution was ±0.10‰ (Table 5). Propagation of these uncertainties yields an external reproducibility value of better than ±0.11‰ (2SD) for our seawater samples, which is slightly higher than the reproducibility of our normalised NBS979 standards (±0.04‰, n = 214; Fig. 2).

Despite the large quantities of Fe added to the samples during co-precipitation, efficient removal of Fe by anion exchange chromatography ensured that the 56Fe/54Cr of the samples was always <0.50 and usually around 0.03. Several Fe doped NBS979 standards were tested to confirm that accurate δ\(^{53}\)Cr values could be retrieved by the double spike procedure for 56Fe/54Cr ratios of between 0.02 and 0.50. These solutions produced an average δ\(^{53}\)Cr value of −0.01 ± 0.04‰ (2SD, n = 35), within error of NBS979 standards containing no additional Fe (0.00 ± 0.04‰, n = 214) indicating that 56Fe/54Cr ratios of up to 0.5 could be successfully tolerated.

In the absence of a seawater Cr isotope standard, several tests were carried out to evaluate the accuracy of the method. First, a standard addition experiment was performed using a seawater sample from Southampton Water mixed with the NBS979 Cr standard. Southampton Water is ideal for this purpose as it has a relatively heavy δ\(^{53}\)Cr value (Bonnand et al., 2013) compared to NBS979, allowing a wide range of standard-seawater δ\(^{53}\)Cr values to be tested. The relationship between measured δ\(^{53}\)Cr and the proportion of seawater present was linear, with a gradient (0.014) similar to that expected from mixing calculations (0.015; Fig. 3). Furthermore a negligible offset from 0‰ for NBS979 is predicted by the y-intercept of the graph (0.02 ± 0.03‰). This indicates that accurate δ\(^{53}\)Cr measurements are achievable for the entire range of seawater values (0.13–1.72‰; Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015; Paulukat et al., 2016; this study).

In order to confirm that the δ\(^{53}\)Cr composition of the NBS979 standard could be replicated for a seawater matrix, as predicted by the standard addition experiment, 500 mL of OSIL seawater was doped with 10 µg of NBS979 such that the contribution of seawater Cr to the OSIL-NBS979 mixture was negligible. The solution was split into 5 aliquots and these were processed separately. The average δ\(^{53}\)Cr value was 0.03 ± 0.02‰ (2SD), within error of the value predicted by the y-intercept of the standard addition.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collector configuration</th>
<th>L3</th>
<th>L2</th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H1</th>
<th>H2</th>
<th>H3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass resolution</td>
<td>5800–6500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration time</td>
<td>4.194 s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of blocks</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of scans per block</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5

Comparison of seawater δ\(^{53}\)Cr and Cr\(_T\) measurements from this study and others.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Cr(_T) (nmol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>2SD</th>
<th>δ(^{53})Cr (‰)</th>
<th>2SD</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSIL Atlantic Salinity Standard</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scheiderich et al. (2015)</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southampton Water</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bonnand et al. (2013)</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
experiment and very close to the true $\delta^{53}$Cr value of NBS979 (0‰). Given that the external reproducibility of our seawater analyses is ±0.11‰ for the seawater method, this indicates that the NBS979 standard behaves in the same way as seawater during processing. The concentration of this solution was determined to be 360.4 ± 0.1 nmol kg$^{-1}$ (2SD), which was within 0.4% of the expected value (359.1 nmol kg$^{-1}$).

Finally, the $Cr_T$ and $\delta^{53}$Cr values that we measured for Southampton Water and the OSIL Atlantic Salinity Standard seawater (Table 5) were within error of previously published values with the exception of the $Cr_T$ of Southampton Water. The Southampton Water sample used in this study was different from that used in a previous study (Bonnand et al., 2013) and had a lower salinity (30 versus 34), so additional river water input with low $Cr_T$ could account for the lower $Cr_T$ in the sample (though the $Cr_T$ values of the contributing rivers are unknown). Overall, these validation experiments show that the Fe(II) co-precipitation method produces accurate results for seawater samples, with comparable precision to previously published seawater values.

4.2. $Cr_T$ and $\delta^{53}$Cr in the eastern tropical Atlantic Ocean

The distribution of salinity along a section at 12°N that encompasses all of the shelf and slope stations sampled on cruise D361 (stations 2–5) is shown in Fig. 4A. The Guinea Dome can be identified by the doming of isohalines in the surface waters around 22°W and the mixing of shelf and open ocean waters can be seen between 0 and 300 m, 18 and 20°W. Profiles of salinity (Fig. 4B) for stations 18 and 11.5 show sub-surface salinity maxima that originate from Tropical Surface Water and South Atlantic waters, respectively. These salinity maxima are overlain by low-salinity equatorial sourced waters which have experienced high levels of precipitation (Stramma et al., 2005). The SACW has variable salinity whereas the salinity of AAIW and NADW is consistent across all stations except for 11.5, where the AAIW salinity minimum is more prominent because the water mass has travelled less far from its source and has experienced less mixing (Fig. 4B+C).

Concentrations of $O_2$ within the OMZ were higher than those typically measured within the eastern tropical Pacific (~15 µmol kg$^{-1}$) and northern Indian Ocean (~13 to 16 µmol kg$^{-1}$) OMZs (Paulmier and Ruiz-Pino, 2009). The
core of the OMZ was at ~450 m for the slope stations 2 and 3, and for open ocean station 18 (Fig. 5). The lowest OMZ O2 concentrations (44–51 μmol kg−1) were found at stations 2, 3 and 18. At shelf stations 4 and 5 the lowest O2 concentrations (66–93 μmol kg−1) were found closest to the sea bed, as upwelling supplied waters that were already somewhat oxygen depleted and there was no ventilation from deeper water masses. At Station 11.5 the OMZ had a higher O2 concentration (90–100 μmol kg−1) and there was a secondary, smaller O2 minimum at 1000–1300 m due to the presence of UCDW. Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) concentrations of up to 2.1 mg m−3 were recorded on the slope where upwelling actually occurs, indicating high phytoplankton biomass levels. Concentrations of up to 1.5 mg m−3 were also observed on the shelf itself. Away from the upwelling area Chl-a concentrations were much lower (≤0.5 mg m−3; Fig. 5).

Profiles of CrT and δ53Cr are presented in Figs. 6 and 7 (see Table 6 for all data). Seawater δ53Cr values ranged from 1.08 to 1.72‰, similar to or slightly higher than previously published values (0.13–1.55‰; Bonnand et al., 2013; Paulukat et al., 2015; Pereira et al., 2015; Scheiderich et al., 2015; Economou-Eliopoulos et al., 2016; Holmden et al., 2016; Paulukat et al., 2016). The CrT showed only limited variation, ranging from 2.1 to 2.9 nmol kg−1, which is within the range previously measured for seawater (0.9–6.5 nmol kg−1; Campbell and Yeats, 1981; Cranston, 1983; Jeandel and Minster, 1984; Achterberg and Berg, 1997; Sirinawin et al., 2000; Connelly et al., 2006; Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015). The concentrations of CrT at the open ocean stations (stations 11.5 and 18) occupied the higher end of the range (2.5–2.9 nmol kg−1).

Relatively heavy δ53Cr values were present at all depths at shelf stations 4 and 5 (1.21–1.62‰), and on average CrT was 15% lower at these two stations compared to slope and open ocean stations (stations 2, 3, 11.5 and 18). At the slope stations 2 and 3, intermediate δ53Cr and CrT values were
found in the top 300 m compared to shelf and open ocean stations. This represents mixing of open ocean and shelf Cr as high salinity shelf waters mixed with deeper, lower salinity waters at 18–20°C (Figs. 4 and 8A). Within the OMZ at 400–500 m water depth, station 2 had a distinct δ⁵³Cr maximum (1.71‰), while there was little change in δ⁵³Cr at station 3, despite similar O₂ concentrations at both stations. At open ocean stations 11.5 and 18, the δ⁵³Cr range was quite narrow throughout the water column (1.08–1.26‰) with the exception of one sample from within UCDW at station 11.5 which had notably higher δ⁵³Cr (1.72‰).

5. DISCUSSION

Our Crₜ and δ⁵³Cr data are compared with all other available data for seawater in Fig. 9. Most of our data cluster close to the ‘global correlation’ line defined for seawater.
samples that have been interpreted to reflect reduction of Cr (VI) in surface waters and OMZs, coupled with re-oxidation of Cr(III) in deep waters (Scheiderich et al., 2015). Considered by themselves, however, there is no correlation ($R^2 = 0.12$) between lnCr$_T$ and $\delta^{53}$Cr for seawater samples from the eastern tropical Atlantic Ocean; the range of $\delta^{53}$Cr is relatively large and the range of Cr$_T$ is relatively low compared to seawater samples collected from other parts of the ocean.

5.1. Cr$_T$ and $\delta^{53}$Cr in the OMZ at the slope and open ocean stations

There was no correlation between concentrations of Cr$_T$ or $\delta^{53}$Cr values and dissolved oxygen for seawater from the slope (stations 2 and 3) and open ocean (stations 11.5 and 18) (Fig. 8B and 8D). This suggests either that reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) is insignificant in the offshore part of the eastern Atlantic OMZ, or that reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) occurs but, because particle concentrations (measured by light transmissometry) at these stations are low (Fig. 8C), any Cr(III) that forms is not adsorbed on particles and subsequently removed from the Cr$_T$ pool. Concentrations of nitrite were negligible within the OMZ (<0.01 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$; data including analytical methodologies available on request from the British Oceanographic Data Centre, www.bodc.ac.uk), indicating that nitrate reduction did not occur. As nitrate and Cr(VI) (in the form of chromate, CrO$_4^{2-}$) have similar standard electrode potentials (CrO$_4^{2-}$/Cr(III) = $-0.12$ V, NO$_3^-$/NO$_2^-$ = $0.01$ V; Bratsch, 1989, Fanning, 2000), we suggest that it is most likely that

Fig. 6. (A) Profiles of $\delta^{53}$Cr and Cr$_T$ for all stations, shown in order of increasing depth. (B) $\delta^{53}$Cr and Cr$_T$ in the top 1000 m water depth.
reduction of Cr(VI) only occurs in waters with much lower levels of dissolved oxygen.

The amount of remineralised Cr_T in the water column between 100 m and 1000 m depth can be estimated from the apparent oxygen utilisation (AOU), assuming an AOU/C ratio of 1.6 (Martin et al., 1987) and a Cr/C ratio of 10 μmol Cr/mol C, which is at the lower end of reported Cr/C ratios for marine particles with a high biogenic component (10–300 μmol Cr/mol C; Dauby et al., 1994) but higher than cellular Cr/C ratios for marine phytoplankton.

Fig. 7. Individual δ^{53}Cr and Cr_T profiles for all stations, shown in order of increasing depth.
Whatever value of Cr/C is used, the contribution of remineralised Cr to the total Cr concentration is similar for all stations and there is no relationship between the proportion of remineralised Cr and Cr T or $\delta^{53}$Cr; changes in the proportion of remineralised Cr are principally driven by AOU. By contrast, the contribution of remineralised Fe to the total dFe pool increases from the shelf (stations 4 and 5) to the slope (stations 2 and 3), and there is a strong correlation between the proportion of remineralised Fe and $\delta^{56}$Fe that indicates that remineralised Fe has a distinct iron isotopic signature (Klar et al., 2018). Remineralisation of organic material within the OMZ therefore does not appear to be a significant source of Cr T.

The deep (>1000 m) water masses sampled from slope station 2 and open ocean stations 11.5 and 18 have a similar range of $\delta^{53}$Cr values (1.27 ± 0.21‰; 1SD) to surface and sub-surface waters (1.27 ± 0.16‰), thus there is no evidence for input of Cr from re-oxidation of Cr(III) in the deep waters. The AAIW has slightly higher Cr T compared to NADW, with Cr T values ranging from 2.48 to 2.71 nmol kg$^{-1}$ and $\delta^{53}$Cr = 1.16 ± 0.06‰ (1SD, n = 8) at Stations 2, 3 and 18 (all at ~12°N). Cr T and $\delta^{53}$Cr values for AAIW are higher at station 11.5 (at 3°S; 2.90 nmol kg$^{-1}$, Table 6 Chromium data for all samples measured in this study. 2SD for $\delta^{53}$Cr is calculated from two MC-ICP-MS measurements and reflects the analytical uncertainty (the total external reproducibility is ±0.11‰ for all samples).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>$O_2$ (µmol kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$O_2$ classification</th>
<th>Cr T (nmol kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\delta^{53}$Cr (%)</th>
<th>2SD</th>
<th>Water mass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D361 St. 2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>SACW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>SACW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>SACW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>SACW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>AAIW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>AAIW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>AAIW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>NADW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2625</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>NADW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D361 St. 3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>SACW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>SACW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>SACW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>SACW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>AAIW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>AAIW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>AAIW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D361 St. 4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D361 St. 5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>107</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D361 St. 18</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>225</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>SACW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>SACW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Dysoxic</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>AAIW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>960</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>AAIW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>NADW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3550</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>NADW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5740</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>NADW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D361 St. 11.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>SML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>SACW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>SACW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>855</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>AAIW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>UCDW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1515</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>NADW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>Oxic</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>NADW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.21‰ respectively). This probably reflects a ‘purer’ AAIW signal as the water mass is less attenuated at this site. Average Cr\(T\) and \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) values for the NADW (sampled at stations 2, 18 and 11.5, \(n = 7\)) are also similar (2.61 ± 0.13 nmol kg\(^{-1}\), 1.21 ± 0.12‰, 1SD). The deepest samples taken from stations 2 and 18 have slightly higher Cr\(T\) than the other NADW samples that may reflect mixing with AABW (Station 18) and/or input of benthic sedimentary Cr (both stations). Our results are comparable with those for other intermediate and deep Atlantic water masses (1.15‰ for Atlantic Water and 1.06‰ for Canada Basin Deep Water; Scheiderich et al., 2015), but water samples recovered from the Argentine Basin have lower \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) values (0.41–0.66‰; Bonnard et al., 2013). The Argentine Basin samples were not filtered as concentrations of suspended particulate material were thought to be low; if Cr was leached from particulate material then this would likely reduce the \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) value of the dissolved fraction (e.g. crustal rocks have \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr} = -0.12 ± 0.10‰;\) Schoenberg et al., 2008).

Two of the seawater samples from the slope and open ocean stations have relatively high \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) values (~1.7‰) that deviate from the ‘global correlation’ line shown in Fig. 9. One of these was collected from ~400 m water depth within the OMZ at station 2, while the other was collected...
from within UCDW at \(1100\) m water depth at station 11.5. The sample from station 2 had unusually low \(dFe\) compared to other samples from within the OMZ (Fig. 10; Klar et al., 2018). Low \(dFe\) and high \(d53Cr\) would be consistent with reduction of Cr(VI) in the presence of Fe(II), followed by the removal of the resulting Fe(III)-Cr(III) precipitate. However, there is no evidence for removal of Cr(III) as CrT is slightly enhanced at this depth. One other potential explanation is the injection of higher salinity waters (that originate from the surface and thus have low \(dFe\) and high \(d53Cr\)) at this depth (Fig. 4). Sub-surface currents at this location are complex due to upwelling at the shelf break region and in the Guinea Dome region, and due to interactions with the equatorial current system (Stramma et al., 2005), such that upper SACW has variable salinity.

The sample from \(\sim1100\) m at station 11.5 was the only sample taken from within UCDW. Its relatively heavy \(\delta^{53}Cr\) value may simply represent the pre-formed signature of this water mass. The \(\delta^{53}Cr\) values of ICW and SPCW source waters that form UCDW have not yet been measured, but these water masses both experience oxygen depletion prior to their incorporation into UCDW (Gordon et al., 1992; Karstensen and Tomczak, 1997; Tomczak and Godfrey, 2003; Kawabe and Fujio, 2010). It is possible that this has led to partial reduction of Cr(VI), enriching residual Cr in heavy Cr isotopes. The only other reported \(\delta^{53}Cr\) value for UCDW was from the Argentine Basin, and is substantially lower (0.52‰; Bonnand et al., 2013). This may, however, be due to differences in sample processing procedures (see above).

### 5.2. Benthic supply of Cr on the Senegalese shelf

On the shelf (stations 4 and 5), CrT concentrations and \(\delta^{53}Cr\) values were slightly higher in the deeper dysoxic waters than they were in the upper oxic waters and there are significant negative correlations between CrT, \(\delta^{53}Cr\) and dissolved \(O_2\) (respectively, \(p < 0.01\) and \(p < 0.1\); Fig. 8B and 8D). While reduction of Cr(VI) is expected to increase the \(\delta^{53}Cr\) of remaining Cr(VI) (Sikora et al., 2008; Zink et al., 2010; Døssing et al., 2011; Kitchen et al., 2012), this process would also be expected to lower CrT concentrations if the Cr(III) that forms is adsorbed onto particles (Scheiderich et al., 2015) which are abundant on the shelf (Fig. 8C). Moreover, the shelf waters with highest CrT also have relatively high \(\delta^{53}Cr\) (1.4–1.6‰). This is inconsistent with release of Cr(III) from biogenic material during remineralisation of organic matter (Dauby et al., 1994; Connelly et al., 2006; Semeniuk et al., 2016) as Cr(III) is expected to be enriched in light Cr isotopes (Scheiderich et al., 2015; Semeniuk et al., 2016).

Although concentrations of CrT on the shelf are lower than they are on the slope and in the open ocean, increased levels of CrT in the bottom waters are nevertheless consistent with input of Cr from shelf sediments. Concentrations of CrT are positively correlated with concentrations of dissolved iron (dFe) (\(R^2 = 0.88\), \(p < 0.0005\); Fig. 8E). The seawater samples that have high dFe also have relatively low
δ⁶⁸Fe values (down to −0.3‰) that is, in part, due to input of pore waters that are enriched in Fe produced by dissimilatory iron reduction (DIR) within the sediments (Klar et al., 2018). Accordingly, δ⁶⁸Fe is negatively correlated with Cr(T) (R² = 0.52, p < 0.05) and δ⁵³Cr (R² = 0.30, p < 0.15; Fig. 8F). We suggest that if the oxic/suboxic front is located at or very close to the sediment-seawater interface on the shelf, then Cr released from sediments by oxidation at the front may be partly back-reduced within the sediments (for example by organic molecules; Jamieson-Hanes et al., 2012; Kitchen et al., 2012), enriching the residual Cr(VI) in heavy Cr isotopes. Oxidation of upward diffusing Fe(II) at some depth below the front would, by contrast, further enrich residual Fe(II) in light isotopes (Severmann et al., 2006; Homoky et al., 2009). Part of the Fe(II) may escape oxidation as it is stabilised by complexation by dissolved organic material (Klar et al., 2017; Klar et al., 2018). In support of this, Cr release to sediment pore waters and into the overlying water column was observed in association with Fe oxyhydroxide reduction in the Berre Lagoon (France; Rigaud et al., 2013), and analyses of Cr concentrations in pore waters of the California Margin revealed that Cr is mobilised from sediments at the oxic/suboxic front in shelf sediments (Shaw et al., 1990).

5.3. Removal of Cr in shelf waters?

Concentrations of Cr(T) were slightly lower on the shelf (2.21 ± 0.07 nmol kg⁻¹) than in open ocean waters at the same water depth (between 0 and 160 m, 2.48 ± 0.07 nmol kg⁻¹). The shelf waters also had higher δ⁵³Cr values (1.41 ± 0.14‰ compared to 1.18 ± 0.05‰ for open ocean waters shallower than 160 m). Arctic shelf waters and coastal water from Southampton Water (UK) also have relatively high δ⁵³Cr and low Cr(T) values (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015) and are thought to be affected by local inputs of river water and, in the case of the Arctic, melting sea ice.

Stations 4 and 5 are located >80 km from the coast and are not affected by local fresh water inputs. However, concentrations of Chl-a in shelf waters are high, and light transmittance is low, indicating that, respectively, levels of primary productivity and particle concentrations are high (Figs. 5 and 8C). Laboratory experiments have shown that a variety of marine phytoplankton and algae (Li et al., 2009) as well as microbes (Sikora et al., 2008), can reduce Cr(VI). This raises the possibility that the low Cr(T) and high δ⁵³Cr values on the Senegalese shelf reflect partial reduction of Cr(VI), with subsequent removal of the relatively isotopically light Cr(III) that forms onto particle surfaces (Scheiderich et al., 2015). Reduction of Cr(VI) in the surface ocean may also be promoted by photochemical reactions that produce Cr reductants such as H₂O₂ and Fe(II) (Pettine and Millero, 1990; Li et al., 2009).

No water samples were collected from within the sub-surface Chl-a maxima at the slope stations (2 and 3), but samples from within the Chl-a maxima at the open ocean stations (11.5 and 18) do not have relatively low Cr(T) and high δ⁵³Cr values as found on the shelf. This does not necessarily imply that reduction of Cr(VI) does not occur in surface waters (via biological or photochemical processes); rather, the absence of significant concentrations of particles that is implied by high light transmittance readings (Fig. 8C) may mean that any Cr(III) that is produced is simply not removed from the Cr(T) pool. To assess this, analyses of Cr(III) and Cr(VI) concentrations, and analyses of the Cr isotopic compositions of the individual Cr(III) and Cr(VI) pools, are required.

5.4. Input of Cr from atmospheric sources

Atmospheric dust has previously been shown to affect Cr concentrations in surface waters of the Mediterranean Sea (Achterberg and Berg, 1997). The δ⁵³Cr value of this potential Cr source is unknown but, assuming that Cr isotopes are not fractionated during dissolution, it is likely to be similar to the δ⁵³Cr value of crustal rocks (−0.12 ± 0.10‰; Schoenberg et al., 2008). Based on concentrations of dissolved aluminium (dAl) within the surface mixed layer (SML), the annual flux of dust delivered to the shelf (stations 4 and 5) was estimated to be ~0.019 g m⁻², whereas in the open ocean it varied from ~2.8 g m⁻² at station 18 to ~1.2 g m⁻² at station 11.5 (Klar et al., 2018); station 11.5 is shielded from dust input by the inter-tropical convergence zone (Schloesser et al., 2014). We note that there is little difference in Cr(T) in the SML between the two open ocean sites (station 11.5 = 2.41 nmol kg⁻¹ and station 18 = 2.48 nmol kg⁻¹ at 24 and 29 m respectively), even though the dust input to station 11.5 is substantially lower than it is at station 18. This implies that dust inputs are not a significant source of Cr to the (sub)-tropical east Atlantic Ocean.

5.5. Implications for the δ⁵³Cr redox proxy

Several studies have used the δ⁵³Cr composition of authigenic marine sediments to decipher past changes in atmospheric and seawater oxygenation (Frei et al., 2009; Crowe et al., 2013; Planavsky et al., 2014; D’Arcy et al., 2016; Gilleaudeau et al., 2016). For example, δ⁵³Cr values in banded iron formations (BIFs) have been interpreted to preserve the δ⁵³Cr value of overlying seawater assuming that Cr(VI) is quantitatively reduced in the presence of Fe minerals, and the Cr(III) that forms is incorporated into the BIFs (Frei et al., 2009). Thus BIFs that have relatively high δ⁵³Cr have been interpreted to capture relatively high seawater δ⁵³Cr that are characteristic of oxidative weathering, whereas δ⁵³Cr values close to those of crustal rocks (~0.12 ± 0.10‰; Schoenberg et al., 2008) were suggested to indicate the absence of oxidative weathering, and thus low atmospheric O₂ (Frei et al., 2009; Crowe et al., 2013; Frei et al., 2013; Planavsky et al., 2014).

Our new data, together with existing data, indicate that seawater has an average δ⁵³Cr value of 1.16 ± 0.27‰ (1SD, n = 99), though the range of values is larger for surface waters (Fig. 11). The δ⁵³Cr values of authigenic phases within anoxic (euxinic) marine sediments from the Cariaco Basin in the Atlantic Ocean (0.4 ± 0.1‰) have been interpreted to reflect the δ⁵³Cr value of overlying seawater (Reinhard et al., 2014; Gueguen et al., 2016). Although no δ⁵³Cr data are available for seawater in the Cariaco Basin, we suggest...
that this is unlikely given that there is little overlap between seawater and authigenic phase $\delta^{53}$Cr values. Rather, we suggest that Cr is not quantitatively reduced under anoxic conditions, such that the $\delta^{53}$Cr of the Cr(III) incorporated in sediments is lower than the $\delta^{53}$Cr value of seawater; this is supported by a study of the Saanich Inlet that showed that significant concentrations of Cr(III) and Cr(VI) persist in anoxic waters (Emerson et al., 1979).

Our data also indicate that the Cr isotopic composition of seawater may not simply reflect the $\delta^{53}$Cr value of the river input as it can also be affected by the Cr cycling within the ocean, especially in shelf settings. The range in $\delta^{53}$Cr values in the eastern sub-tropical Atlantic ocean ranges from 1.08 to 1.72‰, and variations can principally be attributed to (i) reduction of Cr(VI) and uptake of Cr(III) on particles and (ii) input of benthic sedimentary Cr. Thus relatively subtle changes in $\delta^{53}$Cr of authigenic marine precipitates, of the order of that reported for the authigenic fraction of sediments from the Peru Margin (~0.6 to 0.7‰; Gueguen et al., 2016), may occur independently of changes in seawater oxygenation. On the other hand, our eastern sub-tropical Atlantic seawater data reveal that the $\delta^{53}$Cr values of AAIW and NADW are remarkably consistent (1.19 ± 0.09‰), such that changes in $\delta^{53}$Cr of authigenic phases that form in open ocean settings may reliably record variations in seawater $\delta^{53}$Cr that can be interpreted in terms of changes in Cr inputs to the ocean.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We found that oxygen concentrations as low as 44 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ within the eastern equatorial Atlantic Ocean OMZ had no systematic effect on $\delta^{53}$Cr values or CrT on the Senegalese slope and in the open ocean. Inputs of Cr from remineralisation of organic material, re-oxidation of Cr(III) in deep waters, and atmospheric dust also appeared to be minimal in the slope and open ocean waters. By contrast, waters on the Senegalese shelf had relatively high $\delta^{53}$Cr values and low CrT compared to the slope and open ocean waters, coinciding with high levels of biological productivity and high concentrations of suspended particulate material on the shelf. Our $\delta^{53}$Cr and CrT data are consistent with reduction of Cr(VI) (by either biological or photochemical processes) and uptake of the Cr(III) that forms onto particles. The deeper, dysoxic shelf waters have higher CrT concentrations and relatively heavy $\delta^{53}$Cr values that point to release of Cr from sediments.

Considered together with all other published Cr isotope data for seawater, the average $\delta^{53}$Cr value for seawater is 1.16 ± 0.27‰, and $\delta^{53}$Cr is independent of the concentration of dissolved oxygen, at least for oxic and dysoxic waters. Thus relatively small changes in $\delta^{53}$Cr values of ancient marine authigenic precipitates may not necessarily reflect past changes in levels of dissolved oxygen in seawater, or atmospheric oxygenation.
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