Age offsets among different biogenic and lithogenic components of sediment cores revealed by numerical modeling
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For modeled sediment cores of the open ocean, a method for predicting simultaneously the ages of four different solid sediment compounds with respect to their depositional year onto the sediment surface is presented. The simulation of time-dependent age distribution in the sediment mixed layer and the eventually accumulating sediment is a prerequisite of a proper data assimilation of marine sediment core data into predictive climate models. Through such a data assimilation, marine paleoclimate data could then be efficiently used in order to optimally determine adjustable model parameters. The age simulation is based on a passive tracer transport method taking into account varying vertical advection rates within the sediment top layers, chemical pore water reactions, and bioturbation. It turns out that different weight fractions of the modeled sediment have different ages in one horizontal geometric depth-in-core level depending on the particle rain onto the sediment and the activity of the material within the sediment pore waters. For simultaneous consideration of paleoclimatic tracers associated within one and the same weight fraction, e.g., for calcium carbonate, tracers such as foraminiferal δ13C, and calcium carbonate weight percentages, this may not be critical. However, for simultaneous consideration of calcium carbonate and opal weight percentages, the age difference in the observed weight fractions may have to be corrected. The age offset between CaCO3 and opal depends critically on the sediment accumulation rate. Low-accumulation sites are more strongly affected than high-accumulation sites.
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1. Introduction

[2] A most accurate projection of future climate changes for given emission rates of greenhouse gases is a key challenge for the present scientific climate and Earth system modeling community. Though not all processes (these include also feedback processes) which will be of primary importance in a future climate have suitable paleoanalogues [Crowley, 1990], a systematic calibration of prognostic climate models through the observed paleorecord is intriguing. If we could seamlessly simulate past climates, the present climate, and the future evolution with one calibrated model system, the respective future scenarios would be more credible than scenarios provided by model systems which have not shown their ability to reproduce past climatic changes properly.

[3] In particular, it is important to calibrate the models’ sensitivities with respect to changes in external forcing and internal perturbations. With sensitivities we mean here the first and second derivatives of key state variables of the climate system, such as surface air and water temperatures, carbon fluxes between the different Earth system reservoirs, wind velocities and directions etc. Through the systematic data assimilation of the paleoclimatic record from marine sediment core data, but also from ice core data and terrestrial paleoclimatic data, the sensitivity behavior of Earth system models could potentially be significantly improved by appropriate correction of model parameters governing the process representations. At least, we can aim at ultimately incorporating all the knowledge of past climates into prognostic Earth system modeling frameworks.

[4] Though a number of paleoclimatic research projects were carried out under the motivation of understanding the past as a necessary prerequisite for correctly predicting the future, attempts of direct data assimilation of paleoclimatic data into models, have been limited [LeGrand and Wunsch, 1995; Winguth et al., 1999; Grieger and Niebler, 2003]. This limitation is due to several difficulties, such as the extreme logistical and computational resources for a time-dependent assimilation with coupled general circulation models or constructing respective adjoint models, and the lack of fast but detailed enough Earth system models to allow a quantitatively correct regional climate simulation while retaining global coverage. The combination of new generations of supercomputers and the experience from coarse resolution fast global 3-D Earth system models [e.g., Mikolajewicz et al., 2007] bring the direct paleoclimatic data assimilation closer to the realm of concrete
feasibility. In order to directly assimilate the marine paleo-climatic record, the method of synthetic sediment cores on a global basis was developed [Heinze, 2001]. This method enables to “drill” into modeled marine sediment strata and “recover” artificial sediment cores, which can then be compared with data from the real world. With the term data assimilation we focus in our context here on the optimal estimation of adjustable model parameters, such as biogeochemical rate constants or even the atmospheric forcing fields, and not the interpolation of the sediment core record as such.

A difficulty in the practical use of the method was on how to associate modeled ages of sediment compounds within the simulated sediment mixed layer (bioturbated zone) and at the transfer from the model sediment mixed layer to the solidified sediment with the real ages of the measured sediment compositions [see Heinze, 2001]. If one, e.g., would relate the age of accumulating material (i.e., of material leaving the sediment mixed layer and arriving in the “quasi-unreactive” solid material further downcore) in the model with the actual date (time step) of the respective model run, then one would assume a quasi-instantaneous transfer of material from the sediment water interface to the interface between sediment mixed layer and the “solidified/unreactive sediment column.” In reality, however, this transfer can take up to several thousand years depending on the rain rate of particles from the water column on to the sediment surface and the subsequent pore water reactions as well as transport processes within the top sediment (Figure 1). The accumulating sediment weight fractions, therefore, would be too young and could not be properly related with the actual processes going on in the model ocean atmosphere system. Moreover, particles from different “vintage years” would be mixed through bioturbation so that the finally accumulating sediment material would only have an approximate vintage year averaged over many years. For model studies involving single reactive species, such a more realistic down core age tracer transport

![Figure 1. Schematic of the various ocean compartments of importance for building up the paleosediment record. In order to date correctly the sediment compounds in the solidified sediment below the sediment mixed layer, one needs to hand down the correct vintage year of the respective particles which enter the top sediment from the water column. Please see the increasing time indicator vertically increasing from top to bottom on the left side of the diagram.](image-url)
has been implemented in biogeochemical ocean components of coarse resolution Earth system models (for CaCO₃ [Ridgwell, 2007a] and for opal [Ridgwell, 2007b]).

6 Also in general, the age structure of the sediment record is of key importance for a correct interpretation of the marine sediment core record with respect to past climatic and environmental change. Recently, a number of experimental studies suggested considerable age offsets between different sediment weight fractions at identical geometric downcore depth [Ingalls et al., 2004; Mollenhauer et al., 2007]. Therefore, it is intriguing to understand the reason for such offsets in order to synchronize all measured variables from sediment core analysis correctly.

7 We try here to provide a method for handling and determining the age of modeled sediment consisting of four different weight fractions (CaCO₃, opal, organic carbon, and clay), so that the difficulties for associating a certain sediment compound in the model with a specific real year of past Earth’s history can be overcome. We will first describe briefly the global sediment model as a component for an Earth system modeling framework and afterward present the age modeling through a passive tracer simulation technique. In the second part we will show some model results for a steady state perpetual preindustrial run. In the third part, sensitivity experiments will be carried out to show the role of certain model parameters for the age distribution in the bioturbated sediment zone.

2. Model Description and Method

8 We employ here the “HAMOCC” global biogeochemical ocean model [Maier-Reimer, 1993; Maier-Reimer et al., 2005]. In order to keep the computational effort for equilibrating the sediment coverage in practical limits, we use the model in its annually averaged version “HAMOCC2s” [Heinze et al., 1999, 2003]. The respective velocity and thermohaline fields are read from an input data set which represents the preindustrial circulation. These fields are the annually averaged result from forcing the large-scale geostrophic dynamical ocean general circulation model with climatological atmospheric data (details are given by Winguth et al. [1999] for their “interglacial first guess” circulation). The effect of deep convective mixing at high latitudes is accounted for also in the annually averaged velocity field, which is used for transporting the dissolved tracer substances within the model water column. The horizontal resolution is 3.5° × 3.5°. The water column is structured into 11 layers (centered at 25, 75, 150, 250, 450, 700, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, and 5000 m). The bioturbated top sediment zone is divided into 10 layers which are separated by interfaces at 0, 0.3, 0.6, 1.1, 1.6, 2.1, 3.1, 4.1, 5.1, 7.55, and 10 cm “downcore.” We thus make the simplifying assumption that no pore water reactions take place below 10 cm depth in the sediment [see, e.g., Smith and Rabouille, 2002; Bouillon, 1997]. The biogeochemical model includes the processes air-sea gas exchange, biogenic particle export production out of the ocean surface layer, particle flux through the water column and particle degradation by dissolution as well as remineralization, transport of dissolved substances with the ocean currents, deposition of particulate constituents on the ocean floor, pore water chemistry and diffusion, advection of solid sediment weight fractions, bioturbation, and sediment accumulation (export out of the sediment mixed layer).

9 The model as used in the present application predicts the following tracer concentrations in the atmosphere, the ocean water column, the sediment pore waters and the solid sediment: atmosphere, 12CO₂ (carbon dioxide), δ¹³CO₂, and O₂; water column, DIC (dissolved inorganic carbon), POC (particulate organic carbon), DOC (dissolved organic carbon), CaCO₃ (calcium carbonate or particulate inorganic carbon) of ¹³C and ¹³C, dissolved oxygen O₂, dissolved PO₄ as biolimiting nutrient, silicic acid Si(OH)₄ and opal (biogenic particulate silica BSi); sediment pore waters, the same dissolved substances as in the water column; and solid sediment, clay, CaCO₃, opal, and organic carbon. For inorganic carbon chemistry, the reaction constants for the dissociation of carbonic and boric acid according to Mehrbach et al. [1973], the solubility product for CaCO₃ after Ingle [1975], and the pressure dependencies of Edmond and Gieskes [1970] were applied. In the advection scheme and for the other chemical reactions, DIC and TAlk were used as “master tracers” form which derived quantities such as the CO₂⁻ concentration and the pH value where computed through a Newton-Raphson algorithm whenever needed. The ocean surface and water column processes and the pore water chemistry are represented through parameterizations as described in previous applications of the model [Heinze et al., 1999, 2003, 2006]. The process parameterizations are described below in more detail. Numerical values for tunable model parameters are summarized in Table 1.

2.1. Air-Sea Gas Exchange

10 Between the two reservoirs atmosphere and ocean surface layer CO₂ and oxygen can be exchanged. For CO₂ the following formulation is used:

\[
F_{\text{CO}_2} = k_{\text{CO}_2} \cdot (p\text{CO}_2,\text{air} - p\text{CO}_2,\text{water})
\]  

where \(F_{\text{CO}_2}\) is the carbon dioxide flux across air/sea interface and \(k_{\text{CO}_2}\) is the specific gas exchange rate. The \(\text{CO}_2\) partial pressure is calculated from the free carbon dioxide concentration in seawater following Henry’s law by use of the solubility \(\alpha\) [Weiss, 1974]:

\[
p\text{CO}_2,\text{water} = \alpha \cdot [\text{CO}_2]
\]  

For oxygen, the gas exchange between ocean and atmosphere is modeled by the following expression:

\[
F_{\text{O}_2} = k_{\text{O}_2} \cdot (C_{\text{O}_2,\text{equilibrium}} - C_{\text{O}_2,\text{actual}})
\]

\[
C_{\text{O}_2,\text{equilibrium}} = f(T,S) \cdot C_{\text{O}_2,\text{atmosphere}(t)}
\]  

where \(F_{\text{O}_2}\) is the net gas flux between sea surface and atmosphere, \(k_{\text{O}_2}\) is the mean gas transfer velocity, \(C_{\text{O}_2,\text{equilibrium}}\) and \(C_{\text{O}_2,\text{actual}}\) are the oceanic oxygen concentrations for solubility equilibrium with the atmosphere and the actual observed (or modeled) value,
corresponding numerical scheme then is

\[
C = k_{CO_2} \frac{F}{\Delta z},
\]

where \(C\) is the gas concentration in mol cm\(^{-3}\), \(F\) the flux in mol cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) of gas into (out of) a control volume of 1 cm length, 1 cm width and thickness \(\Delta z\) in cm. The corresponding numerical scheme then is

\[
\Delta C_{\text{new}} = C_{\text{equilibrium}} + (C_{\text{old}} - C_{\text{equilibrium}}) \cdot e^{(-k_{CO_2}/\Delta z) \cdot \Delta t},
\]

where \(\Delta t\) is the time step (here 1 year) and \(\Delta z\) is the thickness of the layer affected by gas exchange. \(\Delta z\) was set to 50 m in cases of hydrostatic stability and to the maximum depth of the convective layer if convective adjustment was applied at the respective grid point. The model atmosphere is represented through a 1 layer box over each grid point. After each time step, zonal averages are computed and meridional gas transport is simulated through meridional diffusion.

### 2.2. Biogenic Particle Export Production and Particle Flux (Including Particle Degradation and Deposition to Sediment)

[11] In the annually averaged model only export production of biogenic particles is modeled. Particle production takes place in the model surface layer representing the euphotic zone. Phosphate serves as biolimiting nutrient. POC (particulate organic carbon) and opal export productions are simulated on the basis of Michaelis Menten kinetics for nutrient uptake [e.g., Parsons and Takahashi, 1973]:

\[
P_{\text{POC}} = \frac{V_{\text{POC}} \cdot \left[PO_4^{3-}\right]^2 \cdot \text{Red}(C:P)}{K_s^{\text{POC}} + \left[PO_4^{3-}\right]^2}
\]

where \(P_{\text{POC}}\) and \(P_{\text{opal}}\) are the POC and opal export production rates (mol l\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)), \(\text{Red}(C:P)\) is the Redfield ratio C:P, \(V_{\text{POC}}\) and \(V_{\text{opal}}\) are the maximum uptake rate of phosphate and silicic acid from the water column (yr\(^{-1}\)), and \(K_s^{\text{POC}}\) as well as \(K_s^{\text{opal}}\) are the respective half saturation constants. The parameters \(V_{\text{POC}}\max\), \(V_{\text{opal}}\max\), \(K_s^{\text{POC}}\), and \(K_s^{\text{opal}}\) are scaled with sea surface temperature as described by Heinze et al. [2003].

[12] The export production of CaCO\(_3\) is coupled to the local production ratio \(P_{\text{opal}}/P_{\text{POC}}\). It starts to increase gradually (parameter \(R\) see below) if \(P_{\text{opal}}/P_{\text{POC}}\) sinks below a threshold value \(S_{\text{opal}}\), i.e., when not enough silicic acid is available in the ocean surface layer to fuel full diatom growth:

\[
P_{\text{CaCO}_3} = P_{\text{POC}} \cdot R \cdot \left(1 - \frac{P_{\text{opal}}}{P_{\text{POC}}} \right),
\]

for \(P_{\text{opal}}/P_{\text{POC}} < S_{\text{opal}}\);

\[
P_{\text{CaCO}_3} = 0,
\]

for \(P_{\text{opal}}/P_{\text{POC}} \geq S_{\text{opal}}\)

\[
C(D) = C_{\text{equilibrium}} + (C_{\text{old}} - C_{\text{equilibrium}}) \cdot e^{(-k_{CO_2}/\Delta z) \cdot \Delta t},
\]

Table 1. Model Parameter Values as Set for the Control or Standard Run

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tunable Model Parameter</th>
<th>Parameter Symbol</th>
<th>Control Run Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific gas exchange rate for CO(_2)</td>
<td>(k_{CO_2})</td>
<td>0.0704 (mol m(^{-2}) a(^{-1}) ppm(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(O_2) gas transfer velocity</td>
<td>(k_{O_2})</td>
<td>250 m yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold value (S_{\text{opal}}) of particle export production ratio for the onset of CaCO(_3) production (see equation (7))</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum possible production rain ratio (see equation (8))</td>
<td>(C(CaCO_3)/C(POC))</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global weathering input of Si</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.5 \times 10^{12} mol Si yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global weathering input of CaCO(_3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15 \times 10^{12} mol C yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global weathering input of POC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 \times 10^{12} mol C yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si(OH)(_4) saturation concentration</td>
<td>(C_{\text{sat}})</td>
<td>800 (\mu)mol yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradation rate of CaCO(_3) in water column</td>
<td>(r_{CaCO_3})</td>
<td>310.3 yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum value for CaCO(_3) undersaturation in water column</td>
<td>(C_{\text{equilibrium}})</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradation rate of opal in water column</td>
<td>(r_{\text{opal}})</td>
<td>23 (\nu) yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradation rate of POC water column</td>
<td>(r_{\text{POC}})</td>
<td>70 (\nu) yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinking velocity of particulate matter in water column</td>
<td>(w_M)</td>
<td>120 cm d(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolution rate constant for CaCO(_3) sediment</td>
<td>(r_s)</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolution rate constant for opal sediment</td>
<td>(r_s)</td>
<td>13 (\nu) yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolution rate constant for organic carbon sediment</td>
<td>(r_s)</td>
<td>70 (\nu) yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradation rate constant for dissolved organic carbon in the water column</td>
<td>(D_{\text{dl}})</td>
<td>8 \times 10^{-6} cm(^2) s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion constant for pore waters</td>
<td>(D_b)</td>
<td>40 cm(^2) 1000 yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient for explicit bioturbation</td>
<td>(S_{\text{opal}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where $R$ is the maximum possible rain ratio $C(\text{CaCO}_3)$; $C(\text{POC})$ and $S_{\text{opal}}$ is the threshold value of $P_{\text{opal}} / P_{\text{POC}}$ for gradual onset of $\text{CaCO}_3$ production.

[12] Particle fluxes are simulated through balance equations for sinking particulate matter $M_{\text{settle}}$, where $M_{\text{settle}}$ stands for one of the different particle species $\text{POC}_{\text{settle}}$, $\text{CaCO}_3_{\text{settle}}$, $\text{opal}_{\text{settle}}$, and $\text{clay}_{\text{settle}}$. The generic balance equation for $M_{\text{settle}}$ is

$$\frac{d M_{\text{settle}}}{dt} = \text{gain} - \text{loss}$$

$$\frac{d M_{\text{settle}}}{dt} = P_M - \frac{w_M}{\Delta z_0} \cdot M_{\text{settle}} - r_M \cdot M_{\text{settle}}$$

(for surface layer)

$$\frac{d M_{\text{settle}}}{dt} = \frac{w_M}{\Delta z} \cdot \frac{\partial M_{\text{settle}}}{\partial z} - r_M \cdot M_{\text{settle}}$$

(for other layers)

where $w_M$ is the particle settling velocity ($\text{m yr}^{-1}$), $r_M$ is the reaction rate constant ($\text{yr}^{-1}$) for degradation of particulate matter, and $P_M$ is the export production rate in the uppermost layer, where again $M$ can stand for one of the particle species $\text{POC}$, $\text{CaCO}_3$, opal, and clay. $P_{\text{opal}}$ is the dust input from the atmosphere which is prescribed here as the interglacial or modern dust deposition field from Mahowald et al. [1999]. Clay material is assumed to be chemically inert in this study. $\Delta z_0$ is the thickness of the euphotic zone (m). This system of equations (9) is readily solvable with an implicit numerical scheme. For the parameterization of $\text{CaCO}_3$ dissolution in the water column we use a saturation-dependent redissolution rate constant:

$$r_{\text{CaCO}_3} = k_{\text{CaCO}_3} \cdot (1 - \Omega)$$

where $k_{\text{CaCO}_3}$ is a fixed standard rate constant, and $(1 - \Omega) = ([\text{CO}_3^2]_{\text{sat}}- [\text{CO}_3^2]) / [\text{CO}_3^2]_{\text{sat}}$ is the degree of undersaturation. We apply a formal minimum value of 0.062 for the undersaturation thus allowing for some $\text{CaCO}_3$ dissolution in oversaturated waters. The amount of particles raining out of the lowest wet layer in the water column provide the source term $Q$ for particles added to the top sediment layer (see below). The reaction losses in (9) for settling particulate material are balanced by appropriate source terms for dissolved species within the water column (i.e., for $T_{\text{alk}}$, DIC, phosphate, oxygen, and silicic acid).

### 2.3. Sediment Pore Water Chemistry and Diffusion

[14] The sediment processes are simulated with the method as described by Heinze et al. [2003], closely following conceptually Archer et al. [1993], however, with more efficient numerics following Maier-Reimer et al. [2005]. A slight modification was added in case of chemical erosion of sediment (see further below). For the simulated sediment, we assume a prescribed, fixed (in time) porosity profile [after Ullmann and Aller, 1982]. The sediment model is based on the equilibrium [see Archer et al., 1993]:

$$\text{sediment accumulation} = \text{deposition} - \text{redissolution}$$

The basic equations for a solid sediment component expressed in moles per unit volume of sediment $S_*$ and the related concentration of the corresponding dissolved substance $C$ within the pore water are

$$\frac{dS_*}{dt} = D_B \frac{\partial^2 S_*}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( w \cdot S_* \right) - G$$

(11)

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( D_w \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \right) + G$$

(12)

where $D_B$ is the diffusion coefficient for bioturbation, $w$ the vertical advection velocity of solid compound, and $G$ the reaction rate (with $S_*$, $C$, and $G$ reported here in relation to full sediment volume of a given sediment layer for sake of simplicity; within the model, the differential volumes for dissolved and solid substances according to the porosity profile are taken into account, of course). Equations (11) and (12) are solved numerically using operator splitting, i.e., pore water reactions plus pore water diffusion, bioturbation, and sediment advection plus accumulation are carried out subsequently in different steps. Chemical pore water reactions and pore water diffusion are carried out simultaneously in an implicit numerical algorithm enabling time efficient integration of the model. The prognostic equations for solid sediment concentrations $S_*$ (organic carbon, $\text{CaCO}_3$, opal, and clay) and for dissolved components $C$ (T$_{\text{alk}}$, DIC, phosphate, oxygen, and silicic acid) are coupled with each other by the reaction rates. Rather than computing directly the diffusion of the dissolved substance, we here determine the diffusive transport of the respective deviations from saturation simultaneously with the reduction of this deviation due to chemical pore water reactions:

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( D_w \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} \right) - G$$

(13)

where $U$ is the deviation of the saturation concentration $C_{\text{sat}}$ from the actual concentration $C$ (mol $\text{l}^{-1}$), $G$ is the reaction rate (sink for $U$ from dissolution of solid material) (mol $\text{l}^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$), and $D_w$ is the diffusion coefficient for pore water transport. As the reaction rate is proportional to the degree of undersaturation this formulation facilitates use of an implicit numerical scheme regardless of the size of the time step and reaction kinetics without the risk of achieving negative tracer concentrations. The pore water diffusion coefficient $D_w$ is set to $8 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ for all pore water tracers which is in the range of the values given for individual tracer species by Li and Gregory [1974]. The change of a solid sediment component due to pore water reactions and particle deposition corresponding to equation (13) is
(bioturbation is carried out in a separate step and is hence not stated in the following formula)

$$\frac{dS^*}{dt} = -G + Q$$  (14)

where $S^*$ is the solid sediment component expressed in the same units as $U$ (mol l$^{-1}$), $G$ is the reaction rate (sink due to dissolution) (mol l$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$), and $Q$ is the gain from particle rain (mol l$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$) (the latter is active only for the uppermost sediment layer). The amount of matter subject to dissolution $G$ per unit time depends on parameter $r_c^*$, the deviation from saturation concentration $U$, and the amount of solid material available $S^*$:

$$G = r_c^* \cdot U \cdot S_0$$  (15)

where for $r_c^*$ we have

$$r_c^* = \frac{r_c}{C_{sat}}$$  (16)

with $r_c$ the reaction rate constant (yr$^{-1}$) and $C_{sat}$ the saturation concentration in solution (mol l$^{-1}$). For opal dissolution a solubility $C_{sat}$ of 800 μmol is used [cf. Dixit et al., 2001]. The “saturation concentration” for organic carbon is given by the availability of oxygen (we neglect here anaerobic respiration and associated effects of denitrification and sulfate reduction). For CaCO$_3$, the saturation concentration of carbonate is computed from the in situ solubility product after Ingle [1975] with pressure correction after Edmond and Gieskes [1970] (see equation (10)). The coupled equations (13) and (14) are discretized and solved numerically. The pore water diffusion is not carried out as a boundary condition problem. The uppermost “pore water box” was represented by the respective lowermost wet grid box in the water column directly overlying the top sediment layer, so that free water column and sediment pore waters can directly communicate with each other and full mass conservation is achieved.

2.4. Bioturbation

[15] Bioturbation is parameterized through vertical Fickian “diffusion” of solid material as in the work by Heinze et al. [1999, 2003], where the solid material in neighboring layers is slowly mixed in proportion to the prevailing weight fractions in these layers so that within the bioturbated zone, mass conservation is guaranteed:

$$\frac{dS^*}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( D_B \frac{\partial S^*}{\partial z} \right)$$  (17)

with $D_B$ being the bioturbation coefficient. Bioturbation is carried out after the vertical advection step described further below. We apply here no parameterization of nonlocal mixing [e.g., Boudreau and Imboden, 1987] as reliable statistics on pelagic sediments for this process are not available. Grain size-dependent bioturbation can cause phase shifts and relative signal attenuation between different size fractions included in sediment records [e.g., Bard, 2001]. The associated effect is most important when abrupt events are mapped onto the sedimentary record. We do not include grain size-dependent particle fluxes or grain size-dependent bioturbation here, as this would require a complex simulation of marine particle coagulation and disaggregation which is beyond the scope of this paper. Also in cases of oxygen deficiency, we do not stop bioturbation in this model. We address the effect of a change in bioturbation on the sediment ages in a sensitivity experiment further below.

2.5. Advection of Solid Sediment Weight Fractions and Sediment Accumulation

[16] The vertical advection of sediment is simulated on the concept of volume conservation for each discrete sediment layer in the bioturbated zone. During simulation, the combined effects of deposition of clay, CaCO$_3$, opal and POC onto the sediment surface, and dissolution of the solid sediment constituents (CaCO$_3$, opal and POC only) may create either an excess or deficiency of solid volume with respect to the prescribed porosity profile. The model accounts for this in the following way: If, for the entire bioturbated sediment zone, the particle rain exceeds redissolution (through pore water reactions and diffusive of pore water transport back into the bottom water column overlying the sediment), this results in a solid fraction volume (i.e., volume of clay, CaCO$_3$, opal and POC) that exceeds the volume prescribed by the porosity profile. In this case the layer’s solid constituents will be shifted to the layer below, each in proportion to the excess of volume occupied. This process is repeated successively; the last bioturbated layer’s excess volume is finally buried in the consolidated layer. In cases where dissolution of sediment exceeds particle rain from above, sediment starts to erode, creating a deficiency of solid volume with respect to the prescribed porosity profile. After every time step, the gaps in each sediment layer are analyzed, and biogenic material is allowed to travel upward through the sediment mixed layer. In contrast to Maier-Reimer et al. [2005], the algorithm for upward advection used in this study starts at the topmost layer within the sediment bioturbated zone, and successively fills the gaps in solid material volume (according to the prescribed porosity profile) from the next lower layer. Thus only material from the next following lower layer is allowed to be transported upward; by doing so, we decrease the artificial upward diffusion of solid constituents that occurs if the algorithm would start from the lowermost reactive layer in the bioturbated sediment. Should the last (deepest) layer within the bioturbated zone still show gaps in its solid material volume, inert (clay) material is eroded from below the sediment mixed layer. The sediment advection for different cases of (1) burial greater than rain minus redissolution (accumulation of sediment) and (2) burial less than rain minus erosion of sediment is illustrated in Figure 2. The vertical velocity $w$ of sediment advection (see equation (11)) is thus a prognostic variable dependent on the sediment deposition
from the water column and the pore water chemical reactions.

2.6. Transport of Age Information and Passive Tracers in the Sediment

[17] The age of each sedimentary weight fraction is simulated directly following the sediment accumulation, pore water chemistry, and bioturbation schemes. The particulate material settling onto the top sediment from the water column is associated with the actual model year (calendar year corresponding to the time step, vintage year). This age tracer is then transported with the respective weight fraction as a passive tracer and follows all quantitative modifications of the solid material. For each three-dimensional solid sedimentary material species, thus a three-dimensional age tracer array is introduced. Ultimately, the model is initialized with clay only sediment of age 0. Throughout the integration of the model, it builds up its dedicated biogenic sediment and clay sediment according to dust deposition. In parallel the age structure of each solid weight fraction is established. In order to ensure, that the model is in quasi-equilibrium, we integrated it for 200,000 years.

[18] The ocean model considers only open ocean domains. The annually averaged particle fluxes cannot lead to varved sediments with a seasonal resolution as they occur in restricted areas of the world ocean in reality (such as high-productivity upwelling regimes). Also we use a relative simple representation of the organic carbon cycle and neither consider anoxic process nor the marine nitrogen cycle (including nitrification and denitrification). These extensions, however, can be added to the modeling approach without any complication if needed and if the computational resources are increased. Further, we do not consider here sediment focusing, horizontal sediment transport, and physical mixing of solid sediment compounds or pore waters induced by turbulent motion in the water column.

3. Results for Steady State

[19] The model was integrated over 200,000 years forward in time restarting from clay-only sediment in the bioturbated zone. The initial age of solid material in the top sediment was set to 0 in all ten layers within the bioturbated zone. The resulting solid sediment fractions (Figure 3) as averaged over the top 10 cm of the sediment show about the same results as in earlier studies [Heinze et al., 1999, 2003] with smaller differences due to the revised sediment accumulation scheme, the revised CaCO$_3$ water column dissolution method, and the use of the dust deposition field from Mahowald et al. [1999] for the clay flux through the water column. The CaCO$_3$ sediment distribution follows the depth level of the simulated CaCO$_3$ lysocline which is mainly determined through by the
CO$_3$$^{2-}$ concentration, the latter being rendered quite satisfactorily by the model (Figure 4). The opal sediment shows maxima in upwelling areas such as the equatorial Pacific Ocean and the Southern Ocean. The organic carbon sediment distribution shows only minor weight fraction contributions in open ocean waters and higher concentrations mainly in shallow sea areas. The clay sediment finally reflects the dust field (with a possibly overestimated

**Figure 3.** Modeled sediment weight percentages as averaged over the entire bioturbated zone: (a) CaCO$_3$, (b) opal (biogenic silica, with respect to calcite free basis), (c) organic carbon, and (d) clay.

**Figure 4.** Meridional carbonate ion cross sections (μmol kg$^{-1}$) for the model standard run ((left) Atlantic and (right) Pacific). The thick red line shows the depth level of the critical carbonate ion concentration [Broecker and Takahashi, 1978] indicating the lysocline depth level.
Figure 5. Simulated weight fraction ages in the bioturbated zone where wt % > 0.25% ((left) 0.15 cm and (right) 8.775 cm depth): (a and b) CaCO₃, (c and d) opal (on calcite free basis), (e and f) organic carbon, and (g and h) clay (note different color codes for different weight fractions).
Saharan dust fan in the Atlantic Ocean) and the near absence of biogenic material in areas of the northern Pacific Ocean sediment cover. The sediment distributions compare to first order well with data compilations based on observations for CaCO₃ [Archer, 1996], opal [Leinen et al., 1986], and organic carbon [Jahnke, 1996]. The overestimation of organic sediments in the Gulf of Panama can be attributed by the lack of inorganic pore water chemistry in the present model configuration and the too high export productivity in the area due to nutrient trapping [Najjar et al., 1992; Aumont et al., 1999]. Generally, in this coarse resolution study, gradients in water column as well as in sedimentary tracer distributions are somewhat weaker than in reality due to the smoothed topography.

The simulated ages (time interval from deposition) of the sediment weight fractions show two major features (Figures 5a–5h): (1) each weight fraction shows remarkable horizontal and vertical differences in sediment ages and (2) the ages of different weight fractions at the same location and depth downcore do generally not agree.

These issues can be explained by different biogenic particle production rates, export rates, dissolution and degradation processes in both water column and sediment, as well as gradients in the atmospheric dust deposition. Areas with high accumulation rates in the sediment in general show younger sediment ages than areas with only minor accumulation rates. As the clay sediment is treated here as inert and is only used for “dilution of biogenic sediment” it almost reveals no vertical age structure. It is mainly “old” sediment except for regions with very high dust deposition. CaCO₃ shows a more pronounced vertical aging gradient, especially in regions with high saturation and accumulation in the North Atlantic. Organic carbon and opal show relatively strong vertical gradients which are coupled to the reaction rates. The few uppermost centimeters in the bioturbated zone are areas of intense chemical redissolution and degradation processes in areas where the vertical pore water profiles also show strong gradients and have not yet reached asymptotic equilibrium values.

The differences in the age of the various weight fraction species at one location and depth level can be quite significant as is shown in Figure 6 for the age difference between CaCO₃ and opal at the lowermost depth level within the model’s bioturbated zone (just over the boundary to the nonreactive accumulated “solidified” sediment following further down). The differences can amount to up to several thousands of years. This fact can have considerable consequences for the dating of marine sediment core data, as it is usual practice to associate one geometric depth level in the sediment cores with one unique age for all weight fractions (see also section 5). Potentially, the “true” age of the opal fraction, however, can deviate considerably from the age that has been, e.g., inferred from radiocarbon dating of the calcareous fraction. In order to identify the major reason for the age offset between CaCO₃ and opal, we analyzed the relation between the local total mass accumulation rates and the age offset. Already comparing the age offset (Figure 6) with a map of the total mass accumulation rates (Figure 7) indicates, that the offset is small in high accumulation rates and large in areas of low accumulation.

This is corroborated by the quasi-exponential increase in CaCO₃-opal age offsets when this offset is plotted directly against the accumulation rates (Figure 8). On the average, a larger proportion of the opal deposited to the sediment...
surface is later on redissolved through pore water interaction within the bioturbated zone as compared to CaCO₃ (see also the global bulk numbers on deposition, redissolution, and accumulation in Table 2). The lower the accumulation rates (and deposition rates) are, the more efficient the quicker redissolution of opal as compared to CaCO₃ is, thus increasing the age offset.

[23] The vertical age structure for the standard run is synthesized in Figure 9, where it can be deduced on how often a certain age occurs for each weight fraction species and each layer in the model’s bioturbated zone. Figure 9 shows the “age spectrum” with depth, where the sharpest definition of the ageing within the bioturbated zone results for the highly reactive organic material and opal, while the less reactive CaCO₃ (CaCO₃ dissolution would mainly occur where the pH within the pore waters is lowered by organic matter remineralization) and inert clay show a broader age spectrum. This more smoothed distribution is a consequence of the differential rain rates and the different accumulation rates, while the reaction rates of the material play a minor (CaCO₃) or no (clay) role.

4. Results of Sensitivity Experiments

[24] We carried out a series of sensitivity experiments in order to learn more about the reasons for the age offsets. All

![Figure 7. Mass accumulation rates given in terms of the sediment column thickness accumulating per 100,000 years for the control run.](image)

![Figure 8. CaCO₃-opal age offset versus sediment accumulation rate.](image)

**Table 2.** Global Gross Values as Resulting for the Model Control Run and the Run With Second-Order Dissolution Kinetics for CaCO₃

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Value</th>
<th>Control Run Value</th>
<th>Second-Order Kinetics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric pCO₂ (μatm)</td>
<td>279.1</td>
<td>279.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POC export production (GtC yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>8.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POC deposition to sediment (GtC yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POC accumulation in sediment (GtC yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO₃ export production (GtC yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO₃ deposition (GtC yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO₃ accumulation (GtC yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opal export production (tmoL Si yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opal deposition (tmoL Si yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opal accumulation (tmoL Si yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Each run is after 200,000 years of integration.*
10d. The rate constant for dissolution of opal within the bioturbated zone, we first reduced the rate constant by 50% and increased it by 50%. For each experiment, the model was slightly adjusted to the rain ratio parameter for the CaCO3 export production rates from the ocean surface. We replaced the first-order dissolution scheme by a second-order scheme. While first-order dissolution kinetics have been proposed by Hales and Emerson [1997], a more recent study suggests a high-order scheme with second-order kinetics being about in the middle of the possible range [Gehlen et al., 2005]. In order to achieve the same atmospheric CO2 concentration as in the control run, we had to slightly adjust the rain ratio parameter for the CaCO3 export and the carbonate throughput rate (see Table 2). The switch of dissolution kinetics has only a minor impact on the age distribution for CaCO3 sediment, leading to slightly younger sediment ages and a compaction of the age spectrum (less “very old” CaCO3 weight fractions) (Figure 11).

4.3. Time-Dependent Experiment on Signal Rendering

[29] Finally, we carry out a time-dependent experiment in order to test what implications the blurring of the age
information within the bioturbated sediment zone has on reproducing climatic signals. It is beyond the scope of this paper to provide a realistic reconstruction of real time-dependent climatic change in the ocean. We rather pursue a simple and “drastic” experiment, that should reveal some of the implications of the CaCO$_3$-opal age offset for the interpretation of sediment core data and their combination with models. In our experiment, we restart from the control run state at year 200,000 and continue the integration for further 50,000 years. During years 20,000–30,000 we halved the velocity components of the three-dimensional flow field and also halved the convective adjustment mixing. This somewhat artificial experiment leads to a clear signal in biogenic surface production rates and a respective clear signal in the sediment. The 20,000 years with normal flow field conditions before and after the slowing down serve as buffers to watch the respective behavior of the sediment accumulating. During the slowing down of the ocean circulation all globally averaged biological production rates as well as the atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration where reduced as expected for a more efficient biological pump under a more sluggish oceanic flow field. We pick three different sites for analyzing the age offset and the way the “climatic” perturbation signal is transferred to the sediment record: (1) the eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean (1.25$^\circ$S, 91.25$^\circ$W), (2) the midlatitudes of the Indian Ocean (46.25$^\circ$S, 93.75$^\circ$E), and (3) the western North Atlantic (36.25$^\circ$S, 68.75$^\circ$W). The first region shows fairly high sediment accumulation rates, the two other sites are marked by relative slow sediment accumulation. While in the low-accumulation sites the biogenic export production for CaCO$_3$ and opal both decrease during the slow down of the circulation, the CaCO$_3$ export increases for the equatorial Pacific due to our parameterization of the CaCO$_3$ export production (onset after depletion of silicic acid) (see fourth plots from left in Figures 12a–12c). At the three sites, sediment cores were recovered from the model data set accumulating under the bioturbated zone over the 50,000 years of integration. The age offset between CaCO$_3$ and opal is close to zero for the higher-accumulation upwelling region and increases drastically for the Indian and Atlantic low-accumulation examples, where the CaCO$_3$ signal leads the opal signal by about 5000 years. In general, the surface signal is smeared out in the sediment cores and leads to an early bias which increases with sediment age. This means, that due to bioturbation, sedimented material is always

Figure 10. Time intervals from deposition for the four weight fractions as in Figure 6, but for different sensitivity experiments, where the following changes have been made with respect to the standard run: (a) no bioturbation (only numerical diffusion and accumulation), (b) bioturbation 100 times faster, (c) redissolution rate constant for opal in the sediment reduced by 50%, and (d) redissolution rate constant for opal in the sediment increased by 50%.
that the CaCO₃-opal age offset seems to be ameliorated or left in Figures 12a–12c). The analysis, however, indicates that horizontally steep gradients of these age differences can occur, though we do not find younger CaCO₃ than opal ages worse, as the opal age bias would be increased to the CaCO₃ bias (compare the second and third plots from left in Figures 12a–12c). The analysis, however, indicates that the CaCO₃-opal age offset seems to be ameliorated or even unproblematic in high-accumulation regions where the differential reactivities of different weight fractions are overridden by a quick enough sediment deposition and accumulation rate (i.e., the bioturbated zone is so quickly “flushed,” that differences in the reaction rates do not matter so much for the age distribution). In low-accumulation regions, especially those with dominating CaCO₃ fraction and only small amounts of opal, the age differences between both fractions can be misleading.

5. Discussion

[30] Differential ages of different sediment weight fractions obviously can result due to the rain rates of the various materials to the ocean floor, the reactivity of the material, the dissolution kinetics, and the bioturbation strength. Critical to age offsets between different weight fractions is the mass accumulation rate: The smaller the accumulation mass is, the more efficient are differences in redissolution rates among different weight fractions in order to increase the age offset. There is less evidence from observations around, which can be used for comparison. The reason for this is the difficulty to directly determine the age of opaline or clay sediment. Recent studies [e.g., Ingalls et al., 2004; Hatté et al., 2008], however, may open up new possibilities to determine the age of diatomaceous sediments through ¹⁴C dating of proteins which are enclosed by the diatom shell material. As both, CaCO₃ and organic carbon include all three carbon isotopes(¹²C, ¹³C, and ¹⁴C) as part of the weight fraction the situation is here somewhat better. From Δ¹⁴C measurements of calcareous shell material and the organic carbon weight fraction observed in sediment cores, approximate ages of these materials can be directly inferred even from very small samples [Pearson et al., 1998].

[31] Differential ages of sedimentary material have been reported and attributed to resuspension of fine grained material [Haidar et al., 2000] and lateral transport [Mollenhauer et al., 2007] of resuspended organic material. In our modeling study, neither differential particle size fractions, nor sedimentary transport including resuspension are considered. The study of Mollenhauer et al. [2007] reports in most cases younger conventional radiocarbon ages for the organic material than for the calcareous fraction in sediment samples from the Benguela upwelling system. These samples from the upper centimeters of the sediment cores compare favorably with the general trends found here (Figures 6 and 9), both for the order of magnitude of the organic carbon age and the CO₃ age, as well as their age difference (with the organic carbon ages being younger than the calcareous material ages in most cases). This indicates, that also weight fraction specific differences in pore water reactions as well as differential rain rates can cause differential weight fraction ages in the sediment. Ingalls et al. [2004] report the possibility of differential ages of diatomaceous and foraminiferal material for Southern Ocean sediments in both directions (either positive or negative differences). This behavior is in general agreement with our findings here, that considerable age differences can occur for CaCO₃ and opal sediment at the time of sediment accumulation (at the lower boundary of the bioturbated zone). Figure 6 shows similar orders of magnitude for these differences as reported by Ingalls et al. [2004] and indicates that horizontally steep gradients of these age differences can occur, though we do not find younger CaCO₃ than opal phases, which can be due to specific locally important processes such as resuspension and bottom water flows not included in our model. Therefore, our model study clearly confirms their statement: “Therefore, care should be used when interpreting diatom-based paleoproxies in sediment cores that have chronologies based on foraminif-
Figure 12

a) equatorial Pacific

b) Indian Ocean, southern mid latitudes

c) western North Atlantic
eral ages, as the two mineral phases may not sediment on the same timescale” [Ingalls et al., 2004, p. 101].

[32] Ultimately, it would be a necessary prerequisite for systematic calibration of Earth system models through the sedimentary paleoclimatic record to correctly simulate the ages of the different sedimentary tracer species as well as determine the correct ages of different weight fractions in sediment samples from real cores. The lack of a suitable age modeling component was listed in the work by Heinze [2001] as a gap to be closed before simulated sediment cores can be used on a global basis for data assimilation of the marine paleoclimatic record into climate models. The study here approached a closing of this gap through testing a corresponding methodology. In advanced data assimilation procedures such as the adjoint method, [e.g., Errico, 1997; Giering and Kaminski, 1998], where one tries to systematically minimize the difference between modeled values and corresponding observations, one can even vary corrections to the original choice of reaction rate constants, bioturbation coefficients, and other governing parameters so that a part of the still existing uncertainties about the age and early diagenesis modeling can be determined trough the data assimilation procedure itself. When these governing parameters are corrected, one should apply this correction everywhere or as part of a process based functional relationship (but not arbitrarily vary the corrections from location to location).

6. Summary and Conclusions

[33] We have here for the first time analyzed the vertical age structure for four different weight fractions of newly formed open ocean sediment simultaneously with a global biogeochemical ocean general circulation model. It turns out that at a given geometric depth level within the bioturbated top sediment zone the ages of different weight fractions generally do not coincide. This confirms the respectively available evidence from real world marine sediment cores. Governing parameters for the distributions of solid sediment compounds and the pore water reactions can change the age distribution of sediment weight fractions downcore. The critical age offset between CaCO₃ and opal increases with sinking sediment accumulation rates. This fact may ameliorate the age offset problem for analysis of high-accumulation sediment cores. The methodology of age transport with the various sediment weight fractions can be exploited also as a passive tracer transport algorithm to transport paleoclimatic tracers downcore which are associated with different materials such as δ¹³C of organic material, δ¹⁸O of planktonic and benthic foraminifera, as well as radionuclides such as $^{231}$Pa and $^{230}$Th. Forthcoming attempts to carry out a systematic data assimilation of marine sediment core data into climate models in order to optimally determine their adjustable parameters require solid observational databases. For the measuring paleoclimatic research community, the establishment of such databases which must include the correct synchronization of different sedimentary weight fractions and tracers provides a challenge.
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