Pockmarks in the Witch Ground Basin, central North Sea

Christoph Böttner¹, Christian Berndt¹, Benedict T. I. Reinardy², Jacob Geersen¹, Jens Karstens¹, Jonathan M. Bull³, Ben J. Callow³, Anna Lichtschlag⁴, Mark Schmidt¹, Judith Elger¹, Bettina Schramm¹, Matthias Haeckel¹

¹GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany
²Stockholm University and Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm, Sweden
³Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, U.K.
⁴National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton Waterfront Campus, Southampton, U.K.

Corresponding author: Christoph Böttner (cboettner@geomar.de)

Key Points


2. Class 1 pockmarks are continuously active and supplied through seismic pipe structures by deeply sourced methane.

3. Class 2 pockmarks form at specific stratigraphic horizons suggesting intermittent venting triggered by pressure and temperature changes.
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Abstract

Marine sediments host large amounts of methane (CH4), which is a potent greenhouse gas. Quantitative estimates for methane release from marine sediments are scarce, and a poorly constrained temporal variability leads to large uncertainties in methane emission scenarios. Here, we use 2D and 3D seismic reflection, multibeam bathymetric, geochemical and sedimentological data to (I) map and describe pockmarks in the Witch Ground Basin (central North Sea), (II) characterize associated sedimentological and fluid migration structures, and (III) analyze the related methane release. More than 1500 pockmarks of two distinct morphological classes spread over an area of 225 km². The two classes form independently from another and are corresponding to at least two different sources of fluids. Class 1 pockmarks are large in size (> 6 m deep, > 250 m long, and > 75 m wide), show active venting, and are located above vertical fluid conduits that hydraulically connect the seafloor with deep methane sources. Class 2 pockmarks, which comprise 99.5 % of all pockmarks, are smaller (0.9-3.1 m deep, 26-140 m long, and 14-57 m wide) and are limited to the soft, fine-grained sediments of the Witch Ground Formation and possibly sourced by compaction-related dewatering. Buried pockmarks within the Witch Ground Formation document distinct phases of pockmark formation, likely triggered by external forces related to environmental changes after deglaciation. Thus, greenhouse gas emissions from pockmark fields cannot be based on pockmark numbers and present-day fluxes but require an analysis of the pockmark forming processes through geological time.

Plain language summary

Marine sediments host large amounts of methane (CH4), which is a potent greenhouse gas. The amount of methane released into the atmosphere is, however, largely unknown making it difficult to implement this methane source in climate models. Here we use geophysical, geochemical and sedimentological data to map the distribution of fluid escape structures in the central North Sea. More than 1500 pockmarks, which are circular to semi-circular depressions of the seafloor, indicate fluid flow from the subsurface. There are two distinct morphological classes of pockmarks corresponding to at least two different fluid sources. Class 1 pockmarks are large, show active venting, and are located above vertical fluid conduits in the subsurface, which feed fluids from deeper strata. Class 2 pockmarks, which comprise 99.5 % of all pockmarks, are smaller and limited to the soft sediments directly below the seafloor. Older pockmarks in the subsurface document distinct phases of pockmark
formation, likely triggered by external forces after the retreat of ice in the North Sea. The amount of methane released from natural geological sources based on pockmark numbers may be wrong as these do not take into account the origin and composition of released fluids.

1. Introduction

Earth’s climate is highly sensitive to the release of potent greenhouse gases such as methane (CH$_4$) into the atmosphere. Methane has been released during climatic changes including the steepest known natural temperature increase on Earth at the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum around 55.5 Ma ago (Svensen et al., 2004; Dickens, 2011) but also during and after the Younger Dryas-Preboreal abrupt warming event at the beginning of the Holocene (about 11600 cal. years BP; Petrenko et al., 2017). However, current and future methane emissions remain poorly constrained and bottom up as well as top down approaches for the quantification of methane emissions have large uncertainties (Petrenko et al., 2017; Dean et al., 2018). The global methane emission from natural geological sources shows a wide range in estimates [33-75 Tg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$] (Etiope et al., 2008; Ciais et al., 2013), which highlights the large uncertainties involved in attributing and quantifying methane emissions.

Marine sediments host large amounts of methane in the form of free gas, hydrates or dissolved in porewater. There is evidence for direct contribution of methane from shallow marine sediments to the atmospheric methane budget and hence to climate change (Judd et al., 2002; Etiope et al., 2008). Methane formed in marine sediments may either be biogenic (derived from microbial degradation of organic matter) or thermogenic (generated in deep hydrocarbon reservoirs by thermal cracking of kerogens; Whiticar, 2000). The carbon and hydrogen isotope signatures and the relative proportions of methane and more mature hydrocarbons (e.g. ethane, propane, butane) can help distinguish between the two sources (Witichar, 2000). Methane from both onshore and offshore micro- and macro-seeps contributes to the atmospheric methane budget and can be supplied by geothermal, volcanic or sedimentary sources (Etiope et al., 2008; Saunois et al., 2016; Dean et al., 2018). In the marine environment, methane may accumulate in the subsurface, when gas pressure exceeds the ambient hydrostatic pressure and the methane forms gas bubbles, which may be released by diffusion or episodic ebullition (Boudreau et al., 2005; Maeck et al., 2013; Krämer et al., 2017). However, the global significance of marine methane sources and their impact on the
global methane budget remains poorly constrained (Ciais et al., 2013; Etiophe et al., 2008; Petrenko et al., 2017).

One manifestation of focused fluid migration at the seafloor are circular to semi-circular depressions known as pockmarks, which may form in response to venting of fluids from the seafloor (Judd & Hovland, 2007). Pockmarks may be meters to hundreds of meters in diameter, meters to tens of meters in depth and affect the local environment, morphodynamics, biochemistry and ecology (Dando et al., 1991; Berndt 2005; Niemann et al., 2005; Judd & Hovland, 2007; Wegener et al., 2008). Increasing high-resolution bathymetric data coverage reveals the wide abundance of pockmarks at the seafloor in various structural and geologic settings (e.g. Hovland et al., 2002; Brothers et al., 2012; Gafeira et al., 2018). Understanding the processes that control the formation and activity of pockmarks is crucial to estimate the contribution of methane from natural geological sources to the atmospheric methane budget and its impact on climate change.

Pockmarks often form on top of focused fluid conduits, which manifest in seismic data as seismic chimneys or pipes and are characterized by circular shaped amplitude anomalies with dimmed reflections and bright spots at different depth levels (Cartwright et al., 2007; Løseth et al., 2009; Andresen, 2012; Karstens & Berndt, 2015). The terms seismic chimneys or pipes and pockmarks are attributed to the localized release of overpressure in the subsurface through hydraulic connection of deeper strata with the seafloor (Cole et al., 2000; Hustoft et al., 2009). Pockmarks that formed above pipe and chimney structures are observed globally, for example at the Vestnesa Ridge NW off Svalbard (Hustoft et al., 2009; Plaza-Faverola et al., 2017), in the Nyegga pockmark field on the continental Norwegian margin (Karstens et al., 2018), offshore Nigeria (Løseth et al., 2010), in the Western Nile Deep Sea Fan (Moss et al., 2012) and in the Lower Congo Basin (Gay et al., 2007).

The aim of this study is to analyze pockmark-forming processes in the Witch Ground Basin, central North Sea (Figure 1). We first map the abundant pockmarks and characterize them based on their morphology and subsurface pre-conditions. Secondly, we determine the source of fluids that contribute to pockmark formation in the Witch Ground Basin and investigate the interrelation of fluid flow and depositional processes during their formation. This includes determining if there are different sources of fluids, if they are located at different depths and if they provide different types of fluids. Subsequently we constrain the timing and the recurrence rate of pockmark formation in the Witch Ground Basin.
2. Regional setting

2.1. Pockmarks in the central North Sea

The North Sea is affected by focused flow of hydrocarbons from deep thermogenic sources, strongly mixed with microbially formed shallow methane (Chand et al., 2017; Karstens & Berndt, 2015). Three decades of extensive surveying and seafloor mapping in the Witch Ground Basin has revealed abundant “normal” pockmarks and multiple “unusually large” pockmarks. These pockmarks indicate significant flow of fluids from shallow marine sediments (Hovland & Sommerville, 1985; Judd et al., 1994; Gafeira et al., 2018). The term “normal” pockmark describes pockmarks that are more than 5 m in diameter and found in isolation where free gas pockets in the subsurface degas cyclically (Hovland et al., 2010).

The so-called “unusually large” pockmarks are complexes of pockmarks that are >100 m in diameter and >10 m deep. They are located in UK block 15/25 and include the Scanner, Scotia, Challenger and Alkor pockmark complexes, of which Scanner and Scotia comprise two large adjacent pockmarks (Judd et al., 1994; Gafeira & Long, 2015). Ongoing seepage is interpreted from repeated water column imaging (multiple cruises from 1983-2005; Dando et al., 1991; Judd et al., 1994; Judd & Hovland, 2007; Gafeira & Long, 2015) and visual evidence of emerging bubbles (Remotely operated vehicles, 1985, 2004; submarine Jago, 1990; Gafeira & Long, 2015). Methane derived-authigenic carbonates (MDAC) (Hovland & Irwin, 1989; Dando et al., 1991), bacterial mats (Dando et al., 1991; Pfannkuche, 2005), and seep-associated fauna (Dando et al., 1991; Austen et al., 1993) indicate long-lasting seepage from these unusually large pockmarks.

The “normal” pockmarks formed in the soft, fine-grained sediments of the Witch Ground Formation and have been identified across the Witch Ground Basin (Stoker & Long, 1984; Long, 1992; Judd et al., 1994; Sejrup et al., 1994; Gafeira et al., 2018). Their morphometry deviates strongly from the large pockmarks, as they are mostly less than 3 m deep and 20-40 m wide. The density ranges from less than 5 pockmarks per square kilometer at the outer parts of the Witch Ground Basin, to almost 30 pockmarks per square kilometer at the center, where water depth exceeds 150 m (Gafeira et al., 2018). There is seismic evidence that these normal pockmarks occur in tiers at distinct stratigraphic layers and not only at the surface (Figure 4A; Stoker & Long, 1984). Previous studies indicate that the density of pockmarks per tier increases with decreasing burial depth (Long, 1992). The lack of evidence for seepage
from repeated water column imaging suggests intermittent activity of these normal pockmarks assuming they are formed by seepage (Judd et al., 1994; Gafeira & Long, 2015).

2.2. Stratigraphy of the Witch Ground Basin

The Witch Ground Basin is located above the Witch Ground Graben, which is a major structural feature that developed between Triassic and Early Cretaceous times (Andrews et al., 1990). During the Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous, the basin was a major sediment depo-center (Andrews et al., 1990). Clays with interbeds of sandstone and limestone dominate the Paleogene and Neogene sequences (Figure 2). The Witch Ground Basin was again a deposition center during the Quaternary (~ 600 m of sediment). The shallow sediments and especially the Early Pleistocene sediments of the Aberdeen Ground Formation show evidence for subglacial, glaciomarine and marine conditions (Sejrup et al., 1987; Stoker & Bent, 1987; Buckley, 2012; 2016; Rose et al., 2016; Reinardy et al., 2017; Rea et al., 2018). On seismic reflection sections, the Aberdeen Ground Formation is characterized by laterally continuous, high amplitude reflections (Ottesen et al., 2014). The top of the Aberdeen Ground Formation is defined by a regional glacial unconformity and dissection by a large number of tunnel valleys. The age of this unconformity is poorly constrained but it is thought to correspond to the advance of grounded ice into the North Sea Basin during the Mid Pleistocene Transition (~1.2-0.5 Ma) (further referred to as R4; Reinardy et al., 2017). The tunnel valleys that dissect the unconformity are part of the overlying Ling Bank Formation. This unit comprises a multitude of glacial tunnel valleys with different phases of erosion and deposition with poorly constrained ages. Comparison with tunnel valleys of onshore mainland Europe where the valley infill and associated facies have been dated to the Holsteinian interglacial corresponding to Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 11, gives a minimum age for formation of the stratigraphically lowest set of tunnel valleys in the North Sea during the Elsterian glaciation (MIS 12) (Stewart & Lonergan, 2011). The upper Mid to Late Pleistocene sedimentary succession consists of the Coal Pit, Swatchway and Witch Ground Formations (Figure 2). The Coal Pit Formation comprises glacial till with hard, dark grey to brownish-grey, muddy, pebbly sands or sandy muds deposited between MIS 3-6 (Andrews et al., 1990; Graham et al., 2010; Stoker et al., 2011). The Swatchway Formation comprises silty sandy clays with rare pebbles; possibly proximal glaciomarine sediments deposited during MIS2-3. The uppermost finely laminated, glaciomarine sediments of the Witch Ground Formation were deposited during MIS 1-2 (Stoker et al., 2011). The upper part of the Witch Ground Formation comprises Holocene age sediments, which were reworked during
the past 8 ka, when sedimentation decreased or ceased to virtually no sediment input into the Witch Ground Basin (Erlenkeuser, 1979; Johnson & Elkins, 1979).

3. Methods

3.1. Seismic Reflection data

We used an extensive 3D industry seismic data set (“CNS MegaSurveyPlus” provided by PGS) that covers > 22,000 km² of the central to northern North Sea down to 1.5 s two-way traveltime (TWT, Figure 1). The 3D pre-stack time-migrated seismic amplitude data (full fold stack) extends approximately 200 km from north to south and 140 km from east to west. The vertical resolution is approximately 20 m with an inline and crossline spacing of 12.5 m. Datasets like this have proven to be useful to identify fluid flow systems, including their geometry, permeability barriers and fluid accumulations, as they manifest in seismic data as amplitude anomalies (Karstens & Berndt, 2015).

We have used seismic attributes to enhance seismic interpretation including the Kingdom Suite Symmetry attribute, which is a post-stack, post migration structural feature detection tool (e.g. fracture detection) based on a 3D log-Gabor filter array (Yu et al., 2015). This attribute is highly sensitive to seismic amplitude variations and therefore correlates with curvatures and discontinuities associated with geological structures, e.g. faults, fractures and discontinuous events (Böttner et al., 2018). In addition, we use the root-mean-square (RMS) amplitude calculated over a time window of ± 50 ms around the picked horizon (see horizon in Figure 2b, dashed blue line). RMS amplitude is a post-stack attribute that highlights areas with direct hydrocarbon indicators such as bright spots by calculating the root of squared amplitudes divided by the number of samples per specified time window.

In addition, we acquired high-resolution 2D seismic reflection data during research cruise MSM63 in April/May 2017 onboard RV Maria S. Merian (Figure 1, red/black lines). The aim of the seismic survey was to increase seismic resolution, map the fluid flow systems and to image the presumed subsurface fracture networks. The seismic profiles were acquired with a two-105/105-in²-GI-Gun-array shot at 210 bar every 5 seconds and a 150 m-long streamer with 96 channels and 1.5625 m channel spacing. The resulting shot point distance is approximately 8.75-12.5 m at 3.5-5 kn ship speed. The frequency range of the two-GI-Gun-array is 15-500 Hz. The processing included geometry and delay corrections, static corrections, binning to 1.5625 m and bandpass filtering with corner frequencies of 25, 45, 420, and 500 Hz. Furthermore, a normal-move-out-correction (with a constant velocity of
1488 m/s calculated from CTD measurements) was applied and the data were stacked and then migrated using a 2D Stolt algorithm (1500 m/s constant velocity model). The vertical resolution of the processed data is approximately 6-7 m near the seafloor.

3.2. Seismostratigraphic framework
Fluid flow in this area strongly depends on the local and regional stratigraphy and subsequent sediment properties. We have compiled a stratigraphic framework with seismic reflection data, industry well 15/25b-1A (Figure 2a), British Geological Survey boreholes BH77/2, BH86/26, BH04/01 (Figure 1) and information from literature (Holmes, 1977; Judd et al., 1994; Graham et al., 2010; Sejrup et al., 2014; Reinardy et al., 2017). We are able to tie most seismo-stratigraphic units within our stratigraphic framework to previously published lithostratigraphic units (Figure 2). Formation names and their ages utilize the North Sea Quaternary lithostratigraphic framework (Stoker et al., 2011). The seismostratigraphic unit S1.1 corresponds to the lower Aberdeen Ground Formation (MIS 100-21), S1.2 to the upper Aberdeen Ground Formation (MIS 21-13), S2 inside the tunnel valleys to the Ling Bank Formation (MIS 12-10), which is unconformably overlain by regional glacigenic sediments deposited during Mid Pleistocene (MIS 6; Reinardy et al., 2017), S3 to the Coal Pit Formation (MIS 6-3), S4 to the upper Swatchway or lower Witch Ground Formation (MIS 3-2) and S5 to the Witch Ground Formation (MIS 2-1). However, previous interpretations and stratigraphic units likely include sediments of different provenance due to lower resolution data. Possible reworking and disturbance by fluvial, glacial and marine processes further complicate the interpretation of lithostratigraphic units in this part of the Witch Ground Basin (Sejrup et al., 2014).

3.3. Hydroacoustic data
The shallow seismic stratigraphy was imaged by sub-bottom profiler data acquired during cruise MSM63 (Figure 1, blue/black line) using Parasound P70 with 4 kHz as the secondary low frequency to obtain seismic images of the upper 100 m below the seafloor with very high vertical resolution (< 15 cm). We applied a frequency filter (low cut 2 kHz, high cut 6 kHz, 2 iterations) and calculated the envelope within the seismic interpretation software IHS Kingdom. In addition, further sub-bottom profiler (SBP) data was acquired during cruise JC152 (onboard RV James Cook in August 2017) using a Chirp. The Chirp SBP produces a sweep, which lasts 0.035 s; the normalized zero-phase Klauder wavelet from the autocorrelation of the sweep shows a temporal length of 0.00075 s, allowing further processing. The bandwidth ranges from 2.8-6 kHz, with a central frequency of 4.4 kHz. The
combination of both systems and the subsequent integrated data set enables a detailed analysis of the shallow sedimentary succession up to 50 m below the seafloor. Both systems are further referred to as echosounder.

Bathymetric data were acquired with the EM712 system mounted to the hull of RV Maria S. Merian (Figure 1). The survey was designed to provide high-resolution bathymetry with 5 x 5 m resolution. We processed the data using MB Systems software (Caress & Chayes, 2017) and included statistical evaluation of soundings that increased the signal-to-noise ratio. The sound velocity profile for multibeam processing was measured at the beginning and at the end of the cruise.

3.4. Semi-automated picking of pockmarks

To delineate pockmarks within the MSM63 bathymetric data we used a workflow that combines multiple ArcGIS geoprocessing tools (also compare Gafeira et al., 2012, 2018). In a first step, all depressions shallower than 18 m were filled with the “fill” tool. Subsequently the original grid was subtracted from the filled grid and all areas that have changed vertically by 0.5 m or more were classified. The “raster to polygon” tool was then used to draw polygons around the classified areas. Afterwards, the areas were calculated for all polygons and those comprising < 500 m$^2$ were deleted. This removed a vast quantity of polygons from the outer regions of single swath transects where the noise level within the multibeam data increased. After this step, the polygon dataset was manually inspected and all polygons that did not encircle pockmarks were manually removed. This manual editing step was necessary due to the presence of some large (> 500 m$^2$) noisy regions within the multibeam data. Subsequently all empty areas within individual polygons were removed with the “Eliminate Polygon Part” tool. This removed the bathymetric noise within single pockmarks. The outlines of the polygons were then smoothed with the “smooth polygon” tool using a polynomial approximation with exponential kernel (PEAK) algorithm and a 100 m smoothing tolerance. Finally, the depth, the orientation and length of the longest and shortest axis, the perimeter, and the distance to the closest neighbor pockmarks were calculated for each pockmark defined by a polygon.

3.5. Sediment sampling

Shallow sediment samples were taken at the southwestern edge of the western Scanner pockmark during R/V Poseidon cruise POS518 (Leg2) using a 6 m-long gravity corer (Linke & Haeckel, 2018). At the core location, the shallow sedimentary succession thins out, thus
allowing the sampling of the underlying stratigraphic layers. The cores were split in 1 m segments of archive and working halves.

The working halves were sampled for physical sediment properties, element composition of solid phase, and chemical composition of porewater. Porewater was extracted from sediment in 30 cm intervals using Rhizons (0.2 μm, Rhizosphere Research Products, e.g. Seeberg-Elverfeldt et al., 2005). Sulfate and chloride concentrations of sampled porewater were determined by Ion Chromatography (IC) equipped with a conductivity sensor (Eco IC, Metrohm; Metrosep A Supp5 – 100/4.0). Analytical precision is ~1 % (1σ) measured by repeated analysis of IAPSO (International Association for the Physical Sciences of the Oceans). Total organic carbon content (C_{org}), C/N-ratio, and inorganic carbon content (CaCO₃) were determined by combustion of sediment samples in a EURO Element Analyzer (C/N/S configuration), prior and after removal of inorganic carbon with 1 M HCl. The analytical data is given in % of the total weight of dried sediment with an accuracy of 3 %. Calculated C/N-ratios are given in atom-ratios. Dissolved methane concentrations were determined by headspace sampling according to Sommer et al. (2009). 3 cm³ of sediment are transferred into a 22 ml head space vial and closed with a crimped rubber septum after adding 6 ml of saturated sodium chloride solution. Equilibrated headspace gases were analyzed by injecting 100 μl of headspace gas into a Shimadzu gas chromatograph (GC-2014) equipped with a packed Haysep-Q (80/100, 8ft) column and a flame ionization detector. Analytical data is given with 2 % (1σ) accuracy. Sediment porosity was determined by weight difference due to loss of water from ~5 cm³ wet sediment samples during freeze-drying.

The archive halves were used to measure the relative abundance of the elements Ca, Fe, S, Rb, Zr, and Cl at the British Ocean Sediment Core Research Facility (BOSCORF, Southampton, UK) using the ITRAX core-scanning X-ray fluorescence system (Cox Analytical; Croudace et al., 2006). This was done in 1-mm intervals using a molybdenum X-ray tube at 30 sec measurements time, 30 kV and 40 mA. Element abundances are presented as total counts normalized to counts per second (cps) and a running average of 1 cm was applied to the results. Physical properties (resistivity, magnetic susceptibility and density) of the sediments were measured on the archive halves using the GEOTEK multi-sensor core logger (MSCL) at 1 cm intervals.
4. Results

4.1. Seafloor morphology

High-resolution bathymetric data reveal abundant depressions of the seafloor in various shapes and sizes in the Witch Ground Basin. These abundant depressions occur in water depths between 120-180 m over an area 225 km² and we interpret them as pockmarks (Figure 3). Where pockmarks are absent, the seafloor is characterized by linear and curvilinear depressions, which we interpret as iceberg plough marks (Figure 3a). We identified 1679 individual pockmarks within our bathymetric grid. The derived morphological parameters are summarized in Table 1.

The pockmarks are usually elongated in one direction with a long axis orientation in NNE to SSW direction (Figure 3b). The predominant long axis orientations do not align with the orientation of the sail line (20° offset) and are therefore no acquisition artefacts. Based on their depths, widths and lengths, we separate the pockmarks into two classes: Class 1 pockmarks (n=9) include the Scanner, Scotia, Challenger and Alkor pockmark complexes, which are > 6 m deep, > 75 m wide and > 250 m long (Figure 3a); Class 2 pockmarks represent the vast majority of pockmarks with depths between 0.9-3.1 m, width between 14-57 m and length between 26-140 m (n=1670, Table 1).

4.2. Seismic stratigraphy

A 3D seismic profile shows the major seismostratigraphic units and is centered above the western part of the Scanner Pockmark (Figure 4c). Following the local seismostratigraphic framework, unit S1.1 shows laterally highly coherent and finely laminated seismic reflections, with an upper boundary defined by a distinct unconformity (R4), which is characterized by a zone of chaotic incoherent reflections (Figure 2b, Figure 4c, Figure 5b). Unit S1.2 shows high lateral continuity of seismic reflections cut by numerous glacial tunnel valleys indicating different phases of erosion and deposition. The overlying unit S2 is discordant (erosional surface) and of chaotic to transparent seismic facies (Figure 4b, Figure 5b). S2 varies in thickness between 0.015-0.050 s TWT corresponding to 10-40 m, and shows high amplitude patches (bright spots) with polarity reversals at stratigraphic highs in between adjacent tunnel valleys (Figure 4b, Figure 5b), indicating the presence of free gas in pore space (Løseth et al., 2009). In the 3D seismic data, unit S3 is characterized by a chaotic to transparent seismic facies at the bottom and laterally continuous, low reflective seismic reflections at the top (Figure 4c). Based on the echosounder and 2D seismic data we
Subdivide this unit into two subunits (S3.1 and S3.2, see Figure 4a, b). S3.2 shows a transparent to chaotic seismic facies at the bottom and a transition to laminated seismic reflections at the top (Figure 4a, b, Figure 5). This fine lamination of seismic reflections is also visible below 0.25 s TWT within the echosounder data (Figure 4a).

Figure 5 shows in further detail that unit S4 is discordant to S3.2, and characterized by a transparent to chaotic seismic facies and internal alternating dipping reflections separated by a distinct boundary (Figure 5a). Based on the echosounder data and the smeared boundary with high amplitude reflections (Figure 5a), we separate unit S4 into subunits S4.1 and S4.2. S4.1 varies in thickness (up to 0.033 s TWT corresponding to 25 m at 1500 m/s) and thins out eastward towards the Scanner pockmark (Figure 5a). Unit S5 shows well-stratified and laterally continuous seismic reflections, which overly the corrugated surfaces of S4.1 and S4.2 (Figure 5). Based on the echosounder and 2D seismic data, we subdivide S5 into two subunits (S5.1 and S5.2, Figure 4a, b), which will be described in detail below.

4.3. Shallow sedimentary succession and water column imaging

The high-resolution echosounder data image the very shallow sedimentary succession, including units S4 and S5 (Figure 6a). S4.1 is characterized by a chaotic to transparent facies with a highly corrugated surface and internal reflections that show alternating dipping directions. S4.1 is mostly present below 0.23 s TWT and surficially exposed at the center of the western Scanner pockmark (see also inset Figure 4a). S5.1 lies between 0.212-0.230 s TWT and consists of laterally continuous, very well laminated strata and high amplitude response (corresponding to dark colors in the echosounder profile). S5.1 also holds v-shaped amplitude anomalies at specific stratigraphic horizons terminating at two major stratigraphic boundaries (red boxes I, II in Figure 6a). On top, S5.2 (0.208-0.215 s TWT) shows lower amplitudes, but a well laminated and lateral coherent stratigraphy. This unit reveals as well v-shaped amplitude anomalies marked with red boxes III and IV. The v-shaped amplitude anomalies show very high amplitudes (black arrows in Figure 6a) at their center. They all terminate at the same stratigraphic horizon. Both, S5.1 and S5.2 show local vertical amplitude discontinuities, possibly indicating fractures in the subsurface (Figure 6a). The topmost part of unit S5.2 between the seafloor at 0.205-0.207 s TWT is characterized by a high amplitude chaotic seismic facies. Here, the class 2 pockmarks crop out at the seafloor and the unit comprises another set of v-shaped amplitude anomalies, which coincide with the pockmarks at the seafloor. This indicates that the v-shaped amplitude anomalies in the subsurface most probably correspond to previous phases of pockmark formation (further
referred to as paleo-pockmarks, red boxes I-IV). If single seep sites would have been active over a long time, this activity would lead to stacked pockmarks (Andresen et al., 2011). However, paleo-pockmarks in the subsurface do not coincide with class 2 pockmarks at the seafloor. The echosounder data allows to determine the thickness of the sediment in unit S5.2, in which the vast majority of pockmarks and paleo-pockmarks are located (Figure 6a, Figure 7a, d).

We observed no venting from class 2 pockmarks during MSM63 (April/May 2017). However, our backscatter images show high values for class 2 pockmarks around their center and their rim, of which the latter is most likely related to slope effects (Figure 6d). Active venting was limited to the class 1 pockmarks Scanner, Scotia, Challenger, and Alkor confirmed by water column imaging (Berndt et al., 2017). The western Scanner pockmark showed two adjacent flares (Figure 6b) that emerged from the center of the pockmark and extend ~100 m into the water column (water depth 180 m). Similar flare behavior has been observed at blowout site 22/4b further south, where released methane bubbles emerge in a spiral vortex (Schneider von Deimling et al., 2015). A high backscatter anomaly inside the western Scanner pockmark (Figure 6d) indicates a change in lithology probably related to previously identified authigenic carbonates. In areas where the Witch Ground Formation (S5) is absent and glacial deposits of unit S4.1 are surficial, class 1 pockmarks show a decrease in depth (from > 10 m to 6-10 m), width (> 300 m to ~ 100 m) and length (> 600 m to ~ 300 m) in areas where the Witch Ground Formation is absent (S5).

The pockmark density correlates with the sediment thickness of the uppermost sedimentary succession. Class 2 pockmarks predominantly occur in areas where seismic unit S5.2 is generally 2-8 m thick in the surrounding of the pockmarks, while a few pockmarks occur on gentle slopes where seismic unit S5.2 is between 1-2 m (Figure 7b, d). We calculated the surrounding sediment thickness by adding the maximum pockmark depth derived from bathymetric data to the echosounder horizon thickness converted with 1500 m/s (Figure 7a, blue lines P70/black lines SBP). The density of the pockmarks at the seafloor increases with increasing sediment thickness and water depth (1 pockmark per km² at 140 m to 25 per km² at 155 m water depth, see Figure 7c).

4.4. Sediment sampling

Gravity core POS518/2-GC4 shows three lithological units, which we can seism stratigraphically tie to the transition from S5.2 to S5.1 in our echosounder data (Figure 8):
Unit 1 (3.4-6 m) comprises very well sorted clay to silty clay with wavy laminations of organic rich material (Figure 8). The matrix contains algal remains and shell fragments. The boundary between units 2 and 1 is gradational. Unit 2 (2.9-3.4 m) consists of well-sorted, faintly laminated silty clay with fragments of shale and shells (Figure 8). There is a sharp, possibly erosional boundary between units 3 and 2 that corresponds to an increase in density (> 2 g/cm^3), resistivity (0.6 Ohm-m), and magnetic susceptibility (50 x 10^-5). Here, p-wave velocity slightly decreases with a subtle increase in CH_4 (Figure 8). Furthermore, porewater analyses and ITRAX XRF shows a step increase in SO_4 and solid phase Fe with a step decrease in Cl. The Zr/Rb, Ca/Ti and Ca/Fe ratios indicate shifts in sediment properties or provenance, also show step decreases between unit 2 and 3. Unit 3 (0-2.9 m) is well-sorted clayey silt to very fine sandy silt with wavy laminations or mottling but otherwise massive. The matrix contains algal remains with shell fragments and even whole shells are present. The lithic grains are sub-rounded and a dropstone was observed towards the base of the unit. The organic carbon content (C_{org}) is increases from 0.55 wt.% in unit 1 to about 1.5 wt.% in unit 3 (Figure 8). CaCO_3 shows low values (12 wt.%) in unit 1 with a sharp increase within unit 2 to high values (25 wt.%) in unit 3. SO_4 gradually increases over the whole core length (6 m) from ~10 mmol/l in unit 1 to seawater concentration of ~30 mmol/l in unit 3. CH_4 decreases above 4 m depth from ~10 μmol/l in unit 1 to 0-1 μmol/l in unit 3. Headspace gas analyses of all core segments show that the gas composition is almost purely methane (> 99%).

4.5. Subsurface fluid migration

In the Scanner pockmark area, the seismo-stratigraphic layering is disturbed by zones of dimmed reflections and the presence of bright spots at different depth levels (280 ms, 350 ms, 500 ms, 570 ms TWT, Figure 4c). This indicates the presence of interstitial fluids that cause a complex wave field propagation by significant changes in acoustic impedance (Domenico, 1977). These amplitude anomalies with bright spots and zones of dimmed amplitudes reach to at least the R4 reflector (Figure 4c). Here, we used the seismic symmetry attribute from 3D seismic amplitude data to identify the spatial extent of these amplitude anomalies and the subsurface expression (Figure 9c). The time slice of this attribute at 0.4 s TWT shows circular amplitude anomalies with constant diameters in depths ranging from 200-600 m beneath the unusually large pockmarks Scanner, Scotia, Challenger and Alkor (Figure 9c). Beneath the Scotia and Alkor pockmark complexes, there are two adjacent amplitude anomalies visible with a spacing of 50-100 m (Figure 9c, d, f).
The 3D seismic data show bright spots associated with unit S2 at $\sim$0.3 s TWT in areas, where the tunnel valley erosion formed structural highs (Figure 9a, b). Previous surveys have interpreted these bright spots as free gas bearing layers within the uppermost sedimentary succession that cover the area in between two adjacent tunnel valleys (Judd et al., 1994). The pipe structures link the R4 reflector with the bright spots at the erosional unconformity by zones of dimmed seismic amplitudes. The 2D seismic data reveal that unit S2 is highly fractured where bright spots are visible ($\sim$0.3 s TWT; 5, 6.5, and 8.5 km distance along profile, Figure 4b).

5. Discussion

5.1. Fluid sources for pockmarks in the Witch Ground Basin

The morphometric analysis of the Witch Ground Basin bathymetry revealed 1679 individual pockmarks, which can be divided into class 1 and class 2 pockmarks. Pockmark formation and morphometry is highly dependent on the hosting sediments as well as the flux, flux variation and type of advected fluids (Orsi et al., 1995; Abegg & Anderson, 1996; Boudreau et al., 2001; Boudreau et al., 2005; Andrews et al., 2010; Mogollón et al., 2012). Predominant strike directions (NNE, SSE) derived from elongated elliptical shapes of the pockmarks may be attributed to local bottom currents shaping the seafloor (Gafeira et al., 2012). As the two types of pockmarks occur in the same sediments, the clear morphological separation between class 1 and 2 (see above) suggests that different fluids possibly sourced from different depth form the two types of pockmarks or that escape of the same fluids was controlled by different processes.

5.2. Source depth

The 2D and 3D seismic data show bright spots within S2, which corresponds to glacigenic sediments deposited during Mid Pleistocene (190-130 ka till from MIS 6; Reinardy et al., 2017). This till unit shows lateral changes in thickness and truncation of reflections to unit S3 indicating an erosional surface (Figure 5b). The free gas likely accumulates in the pore space of the glacigenic sediments of S2 rather than in the marine clays of the Aberdeen Ground Formation as previously suggested (Figure 4c, S1.2) (Andrews et al., 1990; Judd et al., 1994).

While the glacial till S2 represents the shallow-most reservoir for the accumulation of free gas within the glacial till, unit 3.2 may act as a seal. S3.2 shows highly continuous reflections corresponding to glaciomarine sediments, which tend to have higher clay contents compared to subglacial sediments and can thus potentially act as a seal.
Horizon slices of RMS amplitudes show patches of bright spots within S2, where stratigraphic highs are present between adjacent tunnel valleys (Figure 4b, c, Figure 9a, b). Previous mapping of these high amplitude patterns (Judd et al., 1994) matches spatially our analysis. The high amplitude patterns are associated with gas in the shallow subsurface. It is likely that the small differences between the two mapping results are due to less complete seismic coverage of previous surveys (Judd et al., 1994) rather than temporal changes in gas distribution.

Below the gas-related bright spots in S2, we interpret the circular-shaped amplitude anomalies with zones of dimmed reflections and bright spots at different depth levels as seismic pipes (Figure 4c, Figure 9c). Seismic pipes are strictly columnar anomalies with stacks of increased or dimmed amplitudes and are the seismic manifestation of vertical fluid conduits (Cartwright et al., 2007; Løseth et al., 2009; Karstens & Berndt, 2015). However, free gas in unit S2 can cause complex propagation of seismic waves and hence induces seismic artifacts, which may be misinterpreted as seismic pipes. While gas accumulations largely follow the morphology of the stratigraphic highs in between the adjacent tunnel valleys, seismic pipes are not related to any obvious subsurface structures or morphologic patterns. In addition, the circular shaped amplitude anomalies occupy only a small fraction of the area where free gas accumulates, indicating that the overlying gas induces only minor seismic artifacts (Figure 4, Figure 9). The interpretation of circular-shaped amplitude anomalies as seismic pipes is also supported by the spatial correlation between seismic pipes in the subsurface and class 1 pockmarks at the seafloor (Figure 3a, Figure 9c-g), which indicates their role as fluid migration pathways. We consider the interpretation of the seismic pipe structures robust down to the R4 reflector (Figure 4c). Below this reflector, the multiple reflection of the shallow gas bright spots within unit S2 increases interpretation ambiguity.

Unit S2 (intermediate reservoir for the fluids from deeper strata) may be hydraulically connected with the class 1 pockmarks either by a complex fracture network (likely below seismic data resolution), or by flow through unconsolidated sediments. Decompaction weakening may lead to fracture closure after gas bubble release, which would explain the weak seismic response of the fluid conduit beneath the Scanner pockmark complex (Figure 4, Figure 5, and Figure 6a; Räss et al., 2018).

Class 2 pockmark are significantly shallower and have shorter long axes than class 1 pockmarks (Figure 3a). Furthermore, our seismic and hydroacoustic data show no evidence
for lateral gas migration from class 1 towards class 2 pockmarks identifying them as secondary fluid flow structures, nor for vertical gas migration from deeper strata (Figure 6, Figure 7). The apparent differences in morphology and the lack of hydraulic connection suggest the presence of at least two independent sources of fluids in the area.

5.3. Class 1 pockmarks - Timing and controls of fluid venting

Class 1 pockmarks show vigorous venting (Figure 6b), indicating vertical fluid migration from deep strata through the pipe structures. Further, high amplitude reflections in echosounder and high backscatter anomalies in multibeam data indicate the precipitation of carbonates at the seafloor (Figure 6a, d). This is consistent with video observations during a previous survey showing MDACs in the central parts of the class 1 pockmarks (Dando et al., 1991; Clayton & Dando, 1996; Gafeira & Long, 2015). Previous geochemical analyses reported carbon isotope ratios ($\delta^{13}C$) of -79‰ in gas bubbles emanating from the western Scanner pockmark (Clayton & Dando, 1996) and -53 to -36‰ for the carbonate cements and the interstitial gas (Hovland & Irwin, 1989; Dando et al., 1991). Both indicate a biogenic gas origin possibly derived from microbial degradation of organic matter (Judd et al., 1994).

Our geochemical results match the biogenic character of the released methane at class 1 pockmarks (Figure 8). Biogenic methane is likely sourced from bright spots at Mid Pleistocene depth (R4) migrating through the pipe (Figure 4c). This horizon is primarily associated with biogenic methane (99.9% methane, $\delta^{13}CH_4$-69‰; Rose et al., 2016). It may act as a trap focusing and mixing migrating microbial methane from the Quaternary succession with thermogenic methane from deeper sources. Thermogenic fluids may migrate through the overburden from deeper layers (Figure 2b), e.g. Kimmeridge clay (Judd et al., 1994), along faults (Chand et al., 2017), pipes or chimneys (Karstens & Berndt, 2015; Räss et al., 2018). This is, however, not corroborated by our geochemical results and the seismic data do not resolve the depth extent of the pipe structures underneath the class 1 pockmarks to the reservoir rocks (e.g. Montrose and Piper sands), but this may be due to imperfect imaging.

We conclude that class 1 pockmarks are primarily sourced by biogenic methane from the upper 375 m of the Quaternary succession (corresponding to 500 ms TWT at constant velocity of 1500 m/s).

The timing of class 1 pockmark formation can be derived from the local stratigraphy. Class 1 pockmarks cut deep into the Witch Ground Formation (S5), truncating the stratigraphic layering of it and expose LGM deposits (S4.1) at their centers (Figure 6a, d). The Witch
Ground Formation consists of two individual well-stratified stratigraphic units (S5.1 and S5.2; Figure 6a). S5.1 was deposited after 26,595 ± 387 cal. years BP when grounded ice retreated from the study area (Sejrup et al., 2014). The age of S5.2 is poorly constrained, but it was deposited during some period after 13,165 ± 55 cal. years BP (Sejrup et al., 2014). Furthermore, we observe a gentle shoaling of the Witch Ground Formation towards the class 1 pockmarks while S4.1 slightly dips down (Figure 6a), which may indicate sediment doming prior to pockmark formation (Barry et al., 2012; Koch et al., 2015). We therefore conclude that class 1 pockmarks formation initiated between 13,165 ± 55 and 26,595 ± 387 cal. years BP.

The formation of the class 1 pockmarks is related to seismic pipe structures in the subsurface. The formation of two very closely spaced pockmarks at the Scanner, Scotia and Alkor pockmark complexes are possibly due to two very closely spaced pipes (“twin pockmarks”, Figure 9c, d, f). Seismic pipes or chimneys (and ultimately pockmarks) form, when (i) pore pressure exceeds the combined least principal stress and tensile strength of the sediment and induces hydrofracturing or, (ii) the pore pressure overcomes the capillary entry pressure and capillary failure occurs (Hubbert & Willis, 1957; Clayton & Hay, 1994). Bright spots at the R4 horizon indicate mobile fluids. We suggest that this R4 horizon, which comprises glacigenic sediments, therefore represents a reservoir. The upper Aberdeen Ground Formation (unit S1.2) with high clay content represents a low permeable seal/cap rock, which inhibits pore pressure release via diffusive flow. However, the buoyancy of the gas column itself may not be sufficient to breach through the overburden (Karstens & Berndt, 2015). To facilitate focused fluid flow through the overlying sediments the system may further need external forcing.

The documented seismic pipes postdate the deposition of the upper Aberdeen Ground Formation (S1.2, MIS 13-21: 474-866 ka). This suggests that they may relate to glacial isostatic and/or glacitectonic mechanisms (e.g. deformation by overriding ice). In glacial environments, overpressure can be generated by rapid sedimentation pulses (Hustoft et al., 2009) or cyclic loading and unloading by ice during the last glacial cycle (Karstens & Berndt, 2015). Gas hydrate dissociation similar to the Troll area further north also represents a possible scenario for gas release (Mazzini et al., 2017). However, the presence of sub-glacial gas hydrates during the LGM in the Witch Ground Basin remains speculative.
Evidence for class 1 pockmark activity is multifold. First, methane seepage is visible in water column images and second, the presence of methane derived authigenic carbonates (MDACs) indicate long-lasting seepage (Figure 6a, d). The gas supply is continuous through the co-located pipes. The pockmark activity and flow rates may have been relatively strong at the start with a gradual reduction due to decreasing overpressure in the subsurface. Similar to other seepage sites, current flow rates may also be dependent on tidal pressure changes (Römer et al., 2016).

Class 1 pockmark morphology is likely dependent on the properties of the host sediment (Boudreau et al., 2001; Boudreau et al., 2005; Andrews et al., 2010; Mogollón et al., 2012). We interpret the change in morphometry as a result of the different properties of the outcropping sediments, i.e. unit S4.1 comprises over-consolidated subglacial till with hard, muddy, pebbly sands or sandy muds instead of soft, fine-grained glaciomarine sediments of the Witch Ground Formation (unit S5; Andrews et al., 1990).

Class 1 pockmarks may easily form within the soft, fine-grained sediments of the Witch Ground Formation within the Witch Ground Basin. Elsewhere in the North Sea (e.g. Viking Graben), similar structural and geological conditions may also induce vertical fluid-flow and methane seepage at the seafloor. However, coarser grained sediments, which are present over large areas of the central North Sea (Graham et al., 2010; Stoker et al., 2011; Sejrup et al., 2014; Reinardy et al., 2017), may hinder the formation of pockmarks. Budget calculations of natural geological sources based on pockmark numbers may therefore systematically underestimate the methane flux.

5.4. Class 2 pockmarks - Timing and controls of fluid venting
The timing of class 2 pockmark formation is constrained by the age of the Witch Ground Formation (S5). The Witch Ground Formation was deposited after 26,595 ± 387 cal. years BP. Initially during a transition from glaciomarine to marine environment, the sedimentation rates were very high, i.e. up to 50-100 cm/1000 years (unit S5, Figure 6a, Figure 8; Erlenkeuser, 1979; Johnson & Elkins, 1979; Sejrup et al., 2014). Exposed iceberg plough marks at the seafloor indicate very limited input of sediment into the Witch Ground Basin later on during the Holocene (Figure 3a). Thus, we can constrain the time of formation of the class 2 pockmarks to between 26,595 ± 387 cal. years BP and the Holocene (8 ka). Class 2 pockmark formation was likely episodic as buried paleo-pockmarks occur at specific stratigraphic horizons within unit S5. If each of these horizons corresponds to their formation
time, there have been at least four different phases of pockmark activity (Figure 6a). The density of pockmarks per tier is decreasing with increasing burial depth (Figure 5a, Figure 6a, Long 1992), which suggests that the late stages of pockmark formation had greater source strength and overpressure than the earlier ones. This is similar to what was proposed for the southern North Sea (Krämer et al., 2017).

Class 2 pockmark formation is likely dependent on the host sediments. They predominantly occur in areas where S5.2 exceeds 2 m thickness and there is a significant correlation of pockmark depth with sediment thickness (Figure 7). Neither the abundant iceberg plough marks nor the underlying tunnel valleys seem to play a role for pockmark distribution (Figure 3, Figure 7). In this context, the distance between neighboring pockmarks (Table 1) and the similar appearance of class 2 pockmarks (Figure 3, Table 1) suggest homogeneous pre-conditions in the subsurface as well as an exclusion zone around each pockmark related to a drainage cell where no other pockmark may form (Maia et al., 2016).

The fluid source of class 2 pockmarks is more difficult to constrain. Similar pockmark occurrences in the North Sea have been associated with methane venting due to post-glacial gas hydrate dissociation (e.g. Troll; Mazzini et al., 2017). However, there are no observations of active gas venting or direct proof of MDACs associating class 2 pockmark formation in the Witch Ground Basin with focused methane release. Furthermore, our results show low methane concentrations, low organic carbon content and porewater sulfate concentrations that decrease gently with depth indicating no upward migration of methane from below (Figure 8). At the same time, there are no direct indications for focused expulsion of porewater or biogenic activity, which may represent alternative formation processes (Judd and Hovland, 2007). Hence, we are not able to determine the fluids involved in the formation of class 2 pockmarks directly and need to constrain them based on the involved formation processes.

Mechanisms of pockmark formation in the Witch Ground Basin include (1) venting of interstitial biogenic or thermogenic gas (Judd & Hovland, 2007; Hovland et al., 2010; Kilian et al., 2017), (2) porewater escape during compaction (Harrington, 1985). Seepage of thermogenic hydrocarbons has often been proposed for the North Sea. However, we do neither see a hydraulic connection towards deeper strata nor any indication for gas venting into the water column or free gas in the shallow sedimentary successions (S5, Figure 6). The low methane concentrations and the downward decreasing sulfate concentrations indicate no upward transport of methane.
Biogenic methane from decomposition of organic material represents another possible source. Microbial methanogenesis may lead to accumulation of free gas due to the soft, cohesive, low permeable sediments of the Witch Ground Basin instead of migrating out of the sediments (Boudreau et al., 2001; Boudreau et al., 2005). Such a scenario has been proposed for organic-rich sediments in the Arkona Basin, Baltic Sea, (Orsi et al., 1995; Abegg & Anderson, 1996; Mogollón et al., 2012) and Belfast Bay, Maine, USA (Brothers et al., 2012). There, pockmark formation is dependent on the sediment thickness, flux of organic matter and sedimentation rates. The accumulation of free gas depends on the rate of degradation of organic matter and sediment properties, primarily permeability, and occurs where the sediment thickness exceeds 3 m (Boudreau et al., 2001; Boudreau et al., 2005; Mogollón et al., 2012). However, echosounder data for the Witch Ground Basin do not reveal any amplitude blanking indicating free gas within S5. Furthermore, the organic carbon content is not particularly high (~1%) and the methane concentrations too low to generate free gas in the subsurface. In combination, our results indicate that in-situ microbial methanogenesis and accumulation of free gas is unlikely the cause for pockmark formation.

Unrecognized sources such as gas hydrates or permafrost may provide other possible sources of fluids that may have formed the class 2 pockmarks. However, the presence of sub-glacial gas hydrates during the LGM remains speculative. The sedimentary succession of the Witch Ground Formation shows high lateral coherence indicating no disturbance due to the dissociation of gas hydrates. Permafrost in the Witch Ground Basin during deposition of the Witch Ground Formation (S5) was not possible as our results show that this unit was deposited in glaciomarine to marine environments (indicated by C/N, Ca/Ti, Zr/Rb, Ca/Fe and Fe/S ratios and dropstones in unit 1, Figure 8).

While pockmarks in the central North Sea are primarily associated with seepage of hydrocarbons, our aforementioned results show no conclusive evidence that class 2 pockmark formation was gas-driven. Therefore, we also consider purely sedimentological mechanisms (Paull et al., 2002). Such a mechanism may be compaction-related dewatering of fast accumulated sediments (disequilibrium compaction, Harrington, 1985). The accumulation rates during the deposition of the Witch Ground Formation are sufficiently high (0.5-1m/ka) to retain fluids at shallow depth (Mann & Mackenzie, 1990). In this case, the porewater would have been trapped within the soft cohesive sediments of the Witch Ground Formation (clays & silt) and pore pressure would have risen over time releasing fluids. Once vertical pathways are established, they laterally drain the surrounding sediments (Harrington, 1985).
This process broadly agrees with our observations. There is no acoustic turbidity within the Witch Ground Formation that would indicate free gas (Figures 4a, 5a, 6a). Instead a transition from glaciomarine to marine sediments is documented by the sediment core (Figure 8). The transition from glaciomarine to marine deposition represents a permeability inversion as less permeable distal marine sediments overlie proximal glaciomarine sediments. Because the glaciomarine sediments will continue to compact and dewater after the marine sediments have been emplaced the expelled porewater cannot escape easily, which causes overpressure and focusing of fluid migration. Fractures in the Witch Ground Formation may be the result of this compaction process (Figure 5a, 6a). Similar compaction-related dewatering and fractures at shallow depth below the seafloor are observed in the Hatton Basin in the northeast Atlantic Ocean (Berndt et al., 2012). The increase in pockmark density per tier with decreasing burial depth indicates an upward increase in source strength (Figure 5a). The random distribution instead of aggradation or stacking of pockmarks through time supports the diffusive source character (Figure 5a). Both observations match the process of compaction-related dewatering. Sediment compaction as genetic origin for the formation of class 2 pockmarks is further supported by a lower chlorinity at depth in the interstitial water (Figure 8). This is indicative for the upward migration of less saline water and would be expected because of the glaciomarine provenance of the lower Witch Ground Formation.

Based on the considerations above we propose that class 2 pockmark formation in the Witch Ground Basin is at least partly related to compaction. However, it seems unlikely that this mechanism was dominating the formation of deep pockmarks (> 0.5 m) in areas where only 2 m of soft cohesive sediments exist unless there was additional forcing. We also note that the sediment properties are not well constrained.

All evoked models rely on external forcing to release the overpressure in the subsurface and cause pockmark formation, because the tiers of pockmarks and paleo-pockmarks in the subsurface indicate the intermittent nature of seepage events in the Witch Ground Basin. These external forces need to be basin-wide and must have induced sufficient overpressure by a change of subsurface temperature or pressure conditions. In the Witch Ground Basin, temperature conditions may have changed due to warm water inflow from the North Atlantic (e.g. Becker et al., 2018). Pressure conditions may have changed due to rapid sedimentation (Hustoft et al., 2009; Reinardy et al. 2017), disequilibrium compaction (Flemings et al., 2008, Talukder, 2012), tidal currents (Chen & Slater, 2016), large storms (Krämer et al., 2017), or
earthquakes (Field & Jennings, 1987; Hasiotis et al., 1996), which may have ultimately led to episodic fluid escape from the shallow marine sediments in the Witch Ground Basin.

6. Conclusions

We document >1500 pockmarks over an area of 225 km$^2$ within the Witch Ground Basin, northern North Sea. Based on their morphologies we distinguish between two classes of pockmarks. Class 1 pockmarks are >6 m deep, >75 m wide and >250 m long. Class 2 pockmarks, which represent 99.5% of the overall dataset, are much smaller and more uniformly distributed within the study area. Their maximum depth ranges between 0.9-3.1 m and their maximum widths and length are 14-57 m and 26-140 m respectively.

From the structural and morphological analyses, we draw the following conclusions:

- There is no evidence of hydraulic connection between class 1 and class 2 pockmarks. Class 2 pockmarks solely occur in the soft, fine-grained sediments of the Witch Ground Formation, while class 1 pockmarks are co-located with deep fluid accumulations and seismic pipe structures in the subsurface. Together with the lack of intermediate sized pockmarks between the two endmember classes (with respect to pockmark sizes), this indicates the presence of two different fluid sources within the sediments.

- Seismic pipe structures under most class 1 pockmarks, which hydraulically connect deeper strata with the surface, represent vertical conduits for the fluids that formed class 1 pockmarks.

- Class 1 pockmarks relate to biogenic methane sourced from Mid Pleistocene strata (upper 500 ms corresponding to 375 m of the sedimentary succession at 1500 m/s), which migrates through vertical fluid conduits. Mixing of biogenic fluids derived at shallow depths with thermogenic-derived fluids from deeper sources is, however, possible and may occur episodically.

- The up-bending of Witch Ground Formation sediments towards the pockmark suggests that class 1 pockmarks formed between 13,165 ± 55 to 26,595 ± 387 cal. years BP and present-day activity suggests they may have been active since their formation. Active seepage imaged during the last three decades in combination with indications for long-lasting seepage from MDAC suggests that Class 1 pockmarks may be continuously active over long times.
• In contrast, class 2 pockmarks may only have been active during certain episodes corresponding to the distinct reflectors at which they cluster. During repeated campaign-based water column imaging over the last three decades no seep activity was documented for the class 2 pockmarks at the surface supporting that this type of pockmark is not continuously active. These pockmarks may be sourced by compaction-related dewatering. As the pockmarks cluster on distinct stratigraphic levels their formation must have been triggered by externally induced pressure and temperature changes affecting the entire Witch Ground Basin.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std.</th>
<th>Percentage in Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area [m$^2$]</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>660991</td>
<td>7039</td>
<td>48998</td>
<td>99% in 503-56038 m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length [m]</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>93% in 26-140 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width [m]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>92% in 14-57 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. depth [m]</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>17.77</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>87% in 0.9-3.1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter [m]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2439</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>94% in 68-324 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighboring distance [m]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>69 % in 42-162 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water depth [m]</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61% in 149-153 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Statistical analyses of geomorphological parameters derived from 1679 individual pockmarks in our survey area. Minimum, Maximum, Mean, standard deviation, and percentage within range of: area, length (a-axis), width (b-axis), maximum depth (from threshold), perimeter, neighboring distance, and water depth at center point of respective pockmark.
Figure 1. Bathymetric grid of the Witch Ground Basin, central North Sea. The shown bathymetry is a compilation of 3D reflection seismic data (converted with 1500 m/s constant velocity, 12.5 x 12.5 m lateral resolution) and EM712 (5 x 5 m lateral resolution). Locations for additional figures are indicated, turquoise line = Figure 2, black line = Figure 4c, red line = Figure 5b, blue line = Figure 6a, white line = Figure 9a-c. (INSET) The inset shows the location of the study area (red box) within the North Sea (EMODnet bathymetric map projected in UTM zone 31, WGS84). The black line outlines the PGS “CNS MegaSurveyPlus”. Colored polygons show Norwegian (red, Norwegian Petroleum Directorate Open Data) and UK (blue, Oil and Gas Authority Open Data) hydrocarbon fields.
Figure 2. Stratigraphic and lithological summary of well 15/25b-1A, located 400 m northwest of the western Scanner pockmark (modified after Judd et al., 1994). (b) 6 km-long representative seismic profile from conventional 3D seismic data from north-northwest to south-southeast. The seismic profile shows major stratigraphic units lower Aberdeen Ground Formation (S1.1), R4 reflector, upper Aberdeen Ground Formation (S1.2), Ling Bank Formation (S2), Coal Pit Formation (S3), last glacial maximum deposits (LGM, S4) and the Witch Ground Formation (S5). The image shows distance along profile on the x-axis and two-way traveltime (TWT) on the y-axis. 1.4 s TWT correspond to a minimum depth of 1050 m (at 1500 m/s seismic velocity). The location of the profile is given in Figure 1.
Figure 3. (a) Detailed bathymetric map with a compilation of high-resolution multibeam bathymetry (5 x 5 m lateral and up to 10 cm vertical resolution) and depth converted 3D seismic seafloor horizon in greyscale slope shader (12.5 x 12.5 lateral and ~20 m vertical resolution, converted with constant seismic velocity 1500 m/s). The semi-automatically picked pockmarks are outlined by black polygons. White arrows highlight class 1 pockmarks outside of the high-resolution bathymetry. (b) Zoom of Scanner, Scotia and Challenger pockmarks and numerous class 2 pockmarks. Semi-automatedly picked pockmarks are outlined by black polygons. (c) Rose-diagram of pockmark orientation (orientation of a-axis).
Figure 4. Combination of (a) echosounder data, (b) 2D seismic reflection data, and (c) 3D seismic reflection data extending from northwest to southeast across the Scanner.
pockmark showing interpreted horizons, interpreted seismic units S1 to S5 and unconformity R4. The dashed box in (c) shows location of (b), dashed box in (b) shows location of (a) and dashed box in (a) shows location of zoomed section in the right corner. Horizons are: red line = R4, dashed blue line = top S1.2, pink line = top S2, dashed slate blue line = top S3.2, bright blue line = top S4.1, blue line = top S5.1, yellow line = seafloor (SF). Vertical red bar in (c) shows the location of industry well 15/25b-1A. The location of the profile is given in Figure 1.
Figure 5. (a) ~20-km-long echosounder profile (perpendicular to the profiles shown in Figure 4) extending from west to east across the Scanner pockmark. Horizons are base S4.1 (dashed slate blue line), top S4.1 (turquoise line), top S4.2 (green line), top S5.1 (blue reflection) and seafloor (yellow line). (INSET) The inset shows a part of the echosounder profile for a detailed image of the lower/upper Witch Ground Formation (S5.1 respectively S5.2; yellow arrow indicates the seafloor, blue arrow top S5.2, and green arrow top 4.2) and to highlight class 2 pockmark formation at distinct stratigraphic horizons (I-IV). (b) Corresponding ~18-km-long 2D reflection seismic profile across the Scanner pockmark. Horizons are showing S1.1, R4 reflector (red line), top S1.2 (dashed blue line), base MIS 6 till/S2 (dashed purple line), top S2 (pink line), top S3.2 (dashed slate blue line), top S4.1 (turquoise line), top S4.2 (green line), and seafloor (yellow line). The location of the profile is given in Figure 1.
Figure 6. (a) ~4 km-long echosounder profile from northwest to southeast showing the shallow sedimentary succession in high-resolution. Horizons include the seafloor (yellow), base S5.2 (blue line), top S4.1 (turquoise line), and base S4.1/top S3.2 (dashed slate blue line). The red boxes highlight specific stratigraphic horizons where pockmarks/paleo-pockmarks occur in at least four phases (I-IV). Black arrows highlight examples of high-amplitude patches (b) 920-m-long EM712 water column imaging range stack perpendicular to (a) across the western part of the Scanner pockmark showing two flares emerging from the center into the water column (high backscatter = red). Location of the profile given in (c). (c) Bathymetric map showing the location of the Scanner and Scotia pockmark complexes (Class 1 pockmarks) and the location of gravity core POS518/2-GC4 (yellow dot) and industry well 15/25b-1A (red dot). (d) Zoom in bathymetric map within indicated extents (red box) showing backscatter derived from EM712 multibeam data. High backscatter is shown in white and low backscatter in black colors.
Figure 7. (a) Bathymetric map of the survey area showing echosounder profile coverage (Parasound = blue lines, SBP chirp = black lines). (b) 3D bar plot showing the density of pockmarks, depth versus sediment thickness around the corresponding pockmarks (S5.2 Isopach). (c) Density and semi-automated picked outlines (black polygons) of surface pockmarks derived from high-resolution bathymetric data. (d) Semi-automated picked outlines of surface pockmarks (black polygons) and sediment thickness of unit S5.2 (S5.2 Isopach) derived from echosounder profiles. For echosounder profile coverage see (a).
Figure 8. Gravity core POS518/2-GC4, subsequent sedimentary units from sedimentological description. The location of the core is given in Figure 6a. The graphs show corresponding data retrieved from multi-sensor core logger data (MSCL, black and red lines), sediment and porewater analyses (PW, golden lines and grey dots), and ITRAX XRF data (bright and dark blue lines). ITRAX XRF data shows abundances of elements averaged over 1 cm normalized in counts per second (cps).

Sedimentological record shows three units. Lithofacies code is given as Sd = Sand with dropstones, Fl = Silts & clays (laminated), Fm = Silts & clays (massive), Fp = Silts & clays (lenses/motling).
Figure 9. 3D seismic horizon top S1.2/bottom S2. The horizon is given in (a) two-way traveltime (TWT), (b) root mean square (RMS) amplitude horizon slice over a time window of ± 50 ms across the unconformity between units S1.2 and S2 to map the fluids and (c) symmetry attribute time slice. The slices show the gas accumulation within S2 across stratigraphic highs in between tunnel valleys in comparison to the previous analyses by Judd et al. (1994) (see map inset in a, b). Below are shown close-ups of the symmetry attribute for the (d) Alkor, (d) Challenger, (f) Scotia, (g) Scanner pockmark complexes (Class 1 pockmarks). White dashed lines outline the pipe structures in the subsurface. The locations of a, b and c are given in Figure 1.