

# JGR Solid Earth

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2022JB026213

### Key Points:

- Thick Tibetan lithosphere defines the longitudinally variable northern extent of the Indian plate
- The “North Tibet Anomaly” with a hot mantle marks the junction of the Indian and Asian slabs
- Tethyan paleo-slabs explain variable lithosphere thickness in eastern Tibet

### Supporting Information:

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

### Correspondence to:

B. Xia and I. M. Artemieva,  
bingxia0127@gmail.com;  
iartemieva@gmail.com

### Citation:

Xia, B., Artemieva, I. M., Thybo, H., & Klemperer, S. L. (2023). Strong variability in the thermal structure of Tibetan lithosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 128, e2022JB026213. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JB026213>

Received 6 DEC 2022

Accepted 24 FEB 2023

### Author Contributions:

**Funding acquisition:** B. Xia, I. M. Artemieva, H. Thybo

**Investigation:** I. M. Artemieva




**Project Administration:** I. M. Artemieva, H. Thybo

**Supervision:** I. M. Artemieva, H. Thybo, S. L. Klemperer

**Writing – original draft:** B. Xia, I. M. Artemieva, H. Thybo

**Writing – review & editing:** B. Xia, I. M. Artemieva, H. Thybo, S. L. Klemperer

## Strong Variability in the Thermal Structure of Tibetan Lithosphere

B. Xia<sup>1</sup> , I. M. Artemieva<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, H. Thybo<sup>4,5,6</sup> , and S. L. Klemperer<sup>2</sup> 


<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Lithospheric Evolution, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Geophysics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA, <sup>3</sup>Section of Marine Dynamics, GEOMAR Helmholtz Center for Ocean Research, Kiel, Germany, <sup>4</sup>SinoProbe Laboratory, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>5</sup>State Key Laboratory of Geological Processes and Mineral Resources, School of Earth Sciences, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China, <sup>6</sup>Eurasia Institute of Earth Sciences, Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

**Abstract** We present a model of thermal lithospheric thickness (the depth where the geotherm reaches a temperature of 1300°C) and surface heat flow in Tibet and adjacent regions based on a new thermal-isostasy method. The method accounts for crustal density heterogeneity, is free from any assumption of a steady-state lithosphere thermal regime, and assumes that deviations from crustal Airy-type isostasy are caused by lithosphere thermal heterogeneity. We observe a highly variable lithospheric thermal structure which we interpret as representing longitudinal variations in the northern extent of the subducting Indian plate, southward subduction of the Asian plate beneath central Tibet, and possible preservation of fragmented Tethyan paleo-slabs. Cratonic-type cold and thick lithosphere (200–240 km) with a predicted surface heat flow of 40–50 mW/m<sup>2</sup> typifies the Tarim Craton, the northwest Yangtze Craton, and most of the Lhasa Block that is likely refrigerated by underthrusting Indian lithosphere. We identify a “North Tibet anomaly” with thin (<80 km) lithosphere and high surface heat flow (>80–100 mW/m<sup>2</sup>). We interpret this anomaly as the result of removal of lithospheric mantle and asthenospheric upwelling at the junction of the Indian and Asian slabs with opposite subduction polarities. Other parts of Tibet typically have intermediate lithosphere thickness of 120–160 km and a surface heat flow of 45–60 mW/m<sup>2</sup>, with patchy anomalies in eastern Tibet. While different uplift mechanisms for Tibet predict different lithospheric thermal regimes, our results in terms of a highly variable thermal structure beneath Tibet suggest that topographic uplift is caused by an interplay of several mechanisms.

**Plain Language Summary** The world’s highest and largest plateau in Tibet has a unique topography with an average elevation of >4 km and is often named “the Roof of the world.” Continental collision between the Indian and Eurasian plates created Tibet and the Himalaya, and the dynamics of plateau growth and related large-scale regional geological processes present a series of intriguing geoscience problems. Several geodynamic models involving modification of the entire lithosphere have been proposed as mechanisms for the Tibetan uplift. Two end-member models involve either a “cold” or a “hot” Tibetan lithosphere. As different uplift mechanisms predict different lithospheric thermal regimes, knowledge of the thermal structure is key to understanding the driving forces of the Tibetan uplift. However, the thermal regime of Tibet is poorly known from surface heat-flow measurements as high-quality observations are almost absent. To bypass the problem of limited geophysical data coverage, we use a new thermal isostasy method to constrain the lithospheric thermal structure of Tibet and adjacent areas. Our results show a strongly variable lithospheric thermal structure beneath Tibet and suggest that topographic uplift is caused by an interplay of several mechanisms.

## 1. Introduction

Continental collision between the Indian and Eurasian Plates created the high Tibet Plateau and the Himalayas (Figure 1a). The dynamics of plateau growth and related large-scale regional geological processes operating during orogenesis present a series of intriguing geoscience problems (England & Houseman, 1988; Hou et al., 2004; Klemperer, 2006; Li and Song., 2018; Tapponnier et al., 2001; Yin & Harrison, 2000). Several geodynamic models involving modification of the entire lithosphere have been proposed as mechanisms for the Tibetan uplift. The end-member models involve either a “cold” or a “hot” Tibetan lithosphere: while plateau uplift caused



**Figure 1.** (a) Topography and simplified tectonics of Tibet and adjacent regions. Geological boundaries after Styron et al. (2010). Purple and yellow lines mark sutures from closure of Paleo-Tethys and Neo-Tethys, respectively; black lines are strike-slip faults (bold if slip >8 mm/year); thin red lines are normal and thrust faults. Abbreviations: AKMS: Anyemaqen suture, ATF: Altyn Tagh fault; BNS: Bangong–Nujiang suture, IYS: Indus–Yarlung suture, JS: Jinsha River suture, KLF: Kunlun fault, LMF: Longmenshan thrust fault, NQF: North Qinling fault. (b) Lithosphere thermal observations from previous studies. Colored circles—high-quality surface heat-flow measurements (Jiang et al., 2019). Red, green, and blue lines—inferred thin, normal and thick lithosphere based on geophysical observations (numbers 1–12, see Table 1 for details and references); red ellipse (number 1)—region with low Pn velocity and inefficient Sn propagation (McNamara et al., 1997; Ni & Barazangi, 1983); stars (numbers 13–16, cf. Table 1)—xenolith-based thermal studies. (c) Simplified free-air gravity anomalies at sea level from EGM-2008 (Pavlis et al., 2012) and major tectonic blocks. Shaded areas with high values of the anomalies are poorly constrained by the present study.

by successive terrane collision/accretion events suggests a rigid and cold lithosphere (Tapponnier et al., 2001), continuous thickening of the lithosphere followed by its partial or complete removal and upwelling of asthenosphere material results in a hot Tibetan lithosphere (England & Houseman, 1988). Recent geological and seismic studies indicate that the subducting Indian plate may be fragmented (Hou et al., 2004; Li and Song., 2018), which forms hot, thin lithosphere around slab windows of asthenosphere upwelling, and maintains cold, thick lithosphere where the subducting slab is intact.


As different uplift mechanisms predict different lithospheric thermal regimes, knowledge of the thermal structure is key to understanding the driving forces of the Tibetan uplift. However, the thermal regime of Tibet is poorly known from surface heat-flow measurements as high-quality (class A and B with depth of measurement >300 m) observations are almost absent and are largely limited to the Eastern Kunlun-Qaidam Block in northern Tibet (Figure 1b and Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1; Jiang et al., 2019). The few existing heat-flow measurements in inner Tibet are along roads that usually follow major faults and, therefore, may be severely affected by groundwater circulation; and further most of the measurements in inner Tibet were made at depths of <10 m and in areas with hot springs (e.g., Francheteau et al., 1984; Supporting Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). Therefore, the heat-flow measurements in Tibet have much larger uncertainties than the typical value of 10%–15% on continents (Jaupart & Mareschal, 2011). The true uncertainty cannot be assessed because of the non-steady state thermal regime affecting borehole measurements, which is often the case in industrial wells, either due to groundwater circulation or to non-equilibrium temperatures due to drilling.

Despite international efforts, the lithospheric thermal structure of Tibet is largely unconstrained in most of the region (Figure 1b) due to extremely complicated logistics for data acquisition, while global thermal models (e.g., Artemieva, 2006; McKenzie & Priestley, 2008) have low resolution and can resolve only major features of the lithospheric thermal structure (e.g., Figure S2 in Supporting Information S1). To bypass the problem of limited geophysical data coverage, we use the new thermal isostasy method (Artemieva, 2019a, 2019b, 2022; Artemieva & Shulgin, 2019) to constrain the lithospheric thermal structure of Tibet and adjacent areas from 74 to 110°E and 26 to 42°N. The method requires knowledge of topography, which is available globally in high resolution, and Moho depth, which we have compiled from published regional seismic studies (see Section 4.3 and Figure 2).

In this study our interpretations of lithospheric thickness always refer to “thermal lithosphere,” that is, the layer characterized by conductive heat transport above the asthenospheric mantle with a designated potential temperature, here 1,300°C (e.g., Artemieva, 2006). We use the terms “thick” and “thin” thermal lithosphere, while recognizing that, by definition, our thick lithosphere is always colder than thin lithosphere at the same depth (Pollack et al., 1993; Rudnick et al., 1998). In contrast, xenolith and seismic-velocity interpretations reported here refer to temperature (or temperature contrasts), and therefore are described in terms of “hot” and “cold” lithosphere.

In the following, we first provide an overview of the geology and tectonics of Tibet, details of previous studies of the thermal lithosphere structure of Tibet, and the geophysical data used in this study. This is followed by a short





**Figure 2.** Crustal-scale seismic studies of Tibet and adjacent regions (See Table 2 for references). (a) Receiver functions (yellow dots) and seismic wide-angle reflection/refraction profiles (blue lines: recorded prior to 2000; green lines: 2000–2010; red lines: after 2010; dark gray: INDEPTH profiles). (b) Moho depth based on receiver function (RF) results. (c) Moho depth based on seismic wide-angle reflection/refraction profiles. (d) Difference in Moho depth between receiver-function studies and wide-angle reflection/refraction studies.

description of the methodology applied and results with sensitivity analysis. Finally, we present a discussion of the resulting heterogeneous thermal lithosphere structure and conclusions.

## 2. Geological and Tectonic Background

The Himalayan-Tibetan Plateau (Figure 1a) of the eastern Tethys tectonic belt includes from south to north the Himalayan orogenic belt, the Lhasa Block, the Qiangtang Block, the Songpan-Ganzi Block, the Eastern Kunlun-Qaidam Block, and the Qilian Block. These tectonic blocks are separated by major faults and sutures that possibly extend to the base of the lithosphere. The Himalayan-Tibetan Plateau region continues westward in the Tethyan Belt and is otherwise bordered by (Figure 1a).

- the Tarim Craton (2.5–0.8 Ga (Zhao et al., 2013) and possibly older (Ge et al., 2018)) in the north at the Altyn-Tagh strike-slip Fault (ATF),
- the North China Craton (3.8–2.5 Ga, Zhao et al., 2013) in the northeast at the North Qinling strike-slip Fault (NQF),
- the Yangtze Craton (3.5–2.5 Ga; Zhao et al., 2013 and references therein) in the east at the Longmenshan strike-slip Fault (LMF),

**Table 1**  
*Previous Studies of Thermal Structure of Tibet*

No. in Figure 1b	Method	References
1	Pn and Sn results	McNamara et al., 1997; Ni & Barazangi, 1983
2	P-wave mantle tomography (INDEPTH III)	Tilmann et al., 2003
3	$\alpha$ - $\beta$ quartz transformation (INDEPTH III)	Mechie et al., 2004
4	Crustal-scale integrated geophysical-petrological modeling (INDEPTH III)	Vozar et al., 2014
5	Earthquake thermometry (INDEPTH III)	Wang, Chen, & Wang, 2013
6	P-wave mantle tomography	Liang et al., 2012
7	Gravity modeling	Jiménez-Munt et al., 2008
8	S-receiver function	Zhao et al., 2011
9	MT	Wei et al., 2001; Unsworth et al., 2004
10	Crustal V <sub>p</sub> , V <sub>s</sub> , and Q	Galvé et al., 2006
11	Upper-mantle earthquakes	Wang & Klemperer, 2021
12	thermal modeling	Craig et al., 2020
13–15	Crustal xenoliths	Hacker et al., 2000; Ding et al., 2007; Chan et al., 2009
16	Mantle xenoliths	Liu et al., 2011

- and the Indian Plate (>1.8 Ga; Chardon et al., 2008) in the south at the Main Boundary Thrust (MBT) at the southern edge of the Himalayas.

The formation of Tibet records the closure of the Tethys oceans since the early Paleozoic and particularly the collision between the Indian and Eurasian Plates since ~55 Ma (Yin & Harrison, 2000). The northern part of Tibet (the Eastern Kunlun-Qaidam and Qilian Blocks) consists of early Paleozoic blocks that record the closure of the Paleo-Tethys Ocean.

The Songpan-Ganzi Block, the Qiangtang Block, and the Lhasa Block were assembled during the closure of the Paleo-Tethys Ocean in Mesozoic time (Roger et al., 2010). The triangular Songpan-Ganzi Block is interpreted as a Middle to Late Triassic (230-200 Ma) basin. The northern Qiangtang Block is characterized by widespread 8–0 Ma volcanism with several occurrences of deep-seated crustal xenoliths (Ding et al., 2007; Hacker et al., 2000).

Detailed geochemical studies of volcanic rocks and granites indicate different tectonic origins for the southern and northern Lhasa Block, with an unusual crustal block in the middle Lhasa terrane (Wang et al., 2021). Neoproterozoic basement has been found in the eastern Lhasa Block (Zhu et al., 2013) but not in the western Lhasa Block, which is characterized by widespread 25-8 Ma volcanism (Guo & Wilson, 2019). In the southern Lhasa Block, high-pressure metamorphic rocks (in eclogite facies) mark the closure of the Neo-Tethys Ocean.

The Himalayan belt formed by collision between the Indian and Eurasian plates at 55-0 Ma. It is composed of thick sediments from the Neo-Tethys Ocean, which are intruded by Cenozoic granites, above the Neoproterozoic basement (Zhu et al., 2013).

### 3. Previous Geophysical and Geochemical Interpretations of the Tibetan Thermal Regime

The thermal structure of Tibetan lithosphere has been analyzed in several geophysical experiments, illustrated in Figure 1b and detailed in Table 1. Seismic studies in north-central Tibet (No. 1 in Figure 1b) show low Pn velocity and a zone with inefficient Sn propagation which suggests a relatively high sub-Moho temperature (McNamara et al., 1997; Ni & Barazangi, 1983). Seismic and MT studies constrain lithospheric temperature along the INDEPTH III profile (Nos. 2–5 in Figure 1b) and along SW-NE trending profiles (Nos. 6–12 in Figure 1b).



Several studies along the INDEPTH III profile indicate that the central Lhasa Block has mostly cold lithosphere, with a sharp transition to a hot lithosphere in the Qiangtang Block. An integrated geophysical-petrological analysis based on electromagnetic and seismic data along the INDEPTH III profile (No. 4 in Figure 1b) suggests that the surface heat flow is up to 80 mW/m<sup>2</sup> in the Qiangtang Block in central Tibet and down to 65 mW/m<sup>2</sup> in the central Lhasa Block (Vozar et al., 2014). Similarly, seismic tomography (profile No. 2 in Figure 1b) shows that the lithosphere is >300 km thick (and therefore cold) in the central Lhasa Block and <100 km (and therefore hot) in the Qiangtang Block (Tilman, 2003) in the same region where crustal xenoliths also indicate high crustal temperatures (Nos. 13–14 in Figure 1b). Earthquake thermometry along the same profile (No. 5 in Figure 1b) indicates a relatively cold lithosphere down to 200 km depth in the Lhasa Block and thin lithosphere (<80 km thick) beneath the Qiangtang Block (Wang Chen, Wang, 2013; Wang, Mooney, Yuan, 2013). In contrast, an estimate based on the  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  quartz transition (No. 3 in Figure 1b) indicates a temperature of 700°C in the upper-middle crust (depth of 20–40 km) of the central Lhasa Block (Mechie et al., 2004) and thus implies a lithosphere thickness of less than 100 km for an equilibrium geotherm.

A number of seismic, MT and gravity studies along a SW–NE trending profile from the Indian plate in the south toward the North China Craton in the north (Nos. 6–9 in Figures 1b and Table 1) provide constraints on the lithospheric thermal structure in several tectonic blocks of central-eastern Tibet. P-wave tomography (No. 6 in Figure 1b) suggests the presence of a cold lithosphere beneath the eastern Lhasa Block, a hot lithosphere below the eastern Qiangtang Block, and an intermediate (“warm”) thermal regime at the NE end of the profile (Liang et al., 2012). Similarly, a gravity study (No. 7 in Figure 1b) indicates a very thick (>300 km) lithosphere in the eastern Lhasa Block, a thin (120 km) and hot lithosphere in the central part of the profile beneath the Qiangtang Block, and an intermediate lithosphere thickness (~160 km) in the north (the Qaidam and Songpan-Ganzi Blocks) (Jiménez-Munt et al., 2008). These results are consistent with an S-receiver function study (No. 8 in Figure 1b), which concludes that the lithosphere is 180–220 km thick in the eastern Lhasa Block, ~100 km thick in the eastern Qiangtang Block, and 120–160 km thick in the central Songpan-Ganzi Block (Zhao et al., 2011). Similarly, a magnetotelluric study (No. 9 in Figure 1b) indicates that the Qiangtang and Songpan-Ganzi Blocks in the central part of the profile have hot lithosphere and that the lithosphere of the eastern Lhasa Block is cold (Unsworth et al., 2004; Wei et al., 2001).

In eastern Tibet, joint interpretation of seismic V<sub>p</sub>, V<sub>s</sub>, and attenuation along a ca. 400 km long profile (No. 10 in Figure 1b) indicates huge temperature variations in the lower crust (at a depth of 40–70 km) from 900°C in the northern Qiangtang Block to 500°C in the Songpan-Ganzi Block (Galvé et al., 2006). In western Tibet (No. 11 in Figure 1b), earthquakes deeper than 50 km, which implies that Indian crust underthrusts northwestern Tibet close to the Tarim Craton (Wang & Klempner, 2021). A similar study in south-central Tibet (No. 12 in Figure 1b) reports contrasting thermal regimes with the transition between a cold subducting Indian lithosphere in the south and a hot thin lithosphere in the central Qiangtang Block located around the Bangong–Nujiang suture (Craig et al., 2020).

Geochemical studies of crustal xenoliths in ~3 Ma volcanics (No. 13 in Figures 1b and Table 1) show that the crust of northern Tibet (the central Qiangtang Block) is very hot with temperatures of up to 800–1100°C at a depth of 30–50 km (Hacker et al., 2000) in an area, where geophysical studies also indicate the presence of hot lithosphere. Similarly, crustal xenoliths from southernmost Qiangtang indicate temperatures of >980–1080°C at depths <50 km at 28 Ma (Ding et al., 2007; No. 14 in Figure 1b), and in the western Lhasa Block (No. 15 in Figures 1b) ~17 Ma mantle xenoliths constrain the middle Miocene temperatures of 1050–1250°C at 50–65 km depth (Liu et al., 2011). These three sites all indicate an unusually hot, moderately thickened crust with temperatures reaching 1,000–1,100°C at the Moho at less than ca. 60 km depth.

In contrast, crustal xenoliths brought to the surface in western Lhasa Block close to the Yarlung Zangbo suture zone at 13 Ma (No. 16 in Figure 1b) indicate a much cooler crust with temperatures of only 750–900°C at 50–60 km depth and <~1,300°C at the ~80 km deep Moho (Chan et al., 2009). These results are in overall agreement with seismic receiver-function results and seismicity along the Yarlung Zangbo suture zone (ca. 29.5°N, 88–92°E; Shi et al., 2020).

Overall, all geophysical and xenolith-based models of the thermal structure of the Tibetan lithosphere are convincingly consistent. They show the presence of a cold and thick lithosphere in the entire Lhasa Block, an intermediate lithosphere thickness and temperature regime in north-eastern Tibet, and the presence of an anomalously hot and thin lithosphere in north-central Tibet (Figure 1b).

## 4. Geophysical Data

### 4.1. Free-Air Gravity Anomalies

For our analysis it is important that the study region is close to isostatic equilibrium. Free-air gravity anomalies (based on the high-resolution EGM2008 model on a  $5' \times 5'$  grid, Pavlis et al., 2012) are within  $\pm 50$  mGal in most of Tibet and the adjacent regions (the west-central Tarim and North China Cratons) (Figure 1c), which suggests general near-isostatic equilibrium in most of the study area. The Yangtze Craton is also close to isostatic equilibrium with free-air gravity anomalies typically around  $-50$  to  $-70$  mGal, except for the narrow belt at the western edge with anomalies of ca.  $-75$  to  $-120$  mGal.

Within the Tibetan Plateau, only the Eastern Kunlun Block is not in isostatic equilibrium with relatively strong negative free-air anomalies of  $-50$  to  $-120$  mGal. Otherwise major deviations from isostasy (possibly caused by lithosphere flexure) occur mostly along its actively deforming margins. A positive free-air gravity anomaly of up to  $+200$  mGal is observed in the Himalaya and southeastern Tibet (south of  $30^\circ\text{N}$  in the Lhasa and Songpan-Ganzi Blocks), with a strong negative free-air gravity anomaly of  $-100$  mGal to  $-200$  mGal further south at the northern margin of Indian Plate.

The Tarim craton is close to isostatic equilibrium in its western-central part ( $+20$  to  $-80$  mGal), and deviates from isostasy in the north-eastern part ( $-75$  to  $-100$  mGal). Besides, the northern boundary of Tibet at the Tarim Craton is also in disequilibrium with a narrow ( $<100$  km wide) anomaly of ca.  $+120$  mGal (locally ca.  $+200$  mGal) on the Tibetan side and  $-120$  to  $-150$  mGal on the Tarim side. This characteristic pattern of positive and negative free-air gravity anomaly belts (cf. Artemieva et al., 2016) indicates the presence of a south-dipping subducting slab below the Altyn Tagh fault, as also inferred from some geochemical (Guo & Wilson, 2019) and geophysical (Zhao et al., 2011) data. A similar pattern is observed at the Himalayan front with its north-dipping subduction.

### 4.2. Surface Heat-Flow Measurements

We summarize here information on conventional borehole heat-flow measurements, which we further use to compare the predicted heat flow with observations. Surface heat-flow measurements are almost absent in Tibet, except in the petroliferous Qaidam sedimentary basin (Figure 1b; Jiang et al., 2019). In the Eastern Kunlun-Qaidam Block, surface heat-flow ranges from  $40$  mW/m<sup>2</sup> to  $70$  mW/m<sup>2</sup> with an average of  $58 \pm 9$  mW/m<sup>2</sup>. Along the INDEPTH II profile, surface heat flow measured close to hot springs (see Figure S1b in Supporting Information S1) shows high values up to  $100$ – $140$  mW/m<sup>2</sup>. However, these shallow measurements are of relatively low quality and are probably significantly affected by groundwater circulation.

In the Tarim Craton, surface heat-flow measurements are concentrated in the north, while most of the central and southern parts have few measurements. The average value of surface heat flow is  $42 \pm 3$  mW/m<sup>2</sup> which corresponds to a typical Precambrian lithosphere (Artemieva, 2006). The adjacent North China and Yangtze Cratons have good coverage by surface heat-flow measurements of variable quality (dots in Figure 1b show only high-quality data, all heat flow measurements are shown in Supporting Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). Compared to typical Archean lithosphere, surface heat flow is relatively high in the western North China Craton (with values of  $64 \pm 10$  mW/m<sup>2</sup> on average), possibly because of its post-Mesozoic reworking (Xia et al., 2020 and references therein). In the north-western Yangtze Craton, surface heat flow ranges from  $40$  mW/m<sup>2</sup> to  $65$  mW/m<sup>2</sup> with an average of  $58 \pm 5$  mW/m<sup>2</sup>, and most measurements are in the western part.

On the whole, reliable heat-flow data are absent for most of Tibet, and the existing high-quality data are within the ranges expected for a thick and cold cratonic lithosphere. Note that no high-quality heat-flow measurements exist at the locations of geophysical profiles (Figure 1b).

### 4.3. Moho Depth

Our calculations require information on the Moho depth, which we review in brief here. The Moho depth in Tibet is constrained by seismic studies (Figure 2a), which include both classic reflection/refraction profiles and receiver function (RF) results (see Table 2 for references). In northeastern Tibet, the Yangtze Craton, and the North China Craton, the Moho depth constrained by RF studies and refraction profiles differs by  $<5$  km (Figure 2d). In these

**Table 2**

*Seismic Studies of the Moho Depth Used in the Study*

Receiver function studies	References
Receiver function Moho	Chen et al. (2015), He et al. (2014), Li et al. (2014), Murodov et al. (2018), Pan and Niu (2011) Tian et al. (2015), Wang et al. (2017), Xu et al. (2018), Yue et al. (2012), Zhang et al. (2014), Zheng et al. (2016)
Reflection/refraction profiles	Reference
INDEPTH_III	Mechie et al. (2012)
INDEPTH_IV	Karplus et al. (2011)
Yadong–Dangxiong	Institute of Geophysics and Academia Sinica (1981), Teng, Sun, et al. (1983)
Peigutso–Pumoyongtso	Teng, Xiong, et al. (1983), Zhang et al. (2002), and Zhang and Klemperer (2010)
Selintso–Yaanduo	Liu et al. (1990) and Zhang et al. (2001)
Cuoqin–Sangehu	Xiong and Liu (1997)
Cuoqin–Zhangmu	Zheng and Yao (2004)
LT–TH	Wang et al. (2021)
Daichaidan–Baicheng	Zhao et al. (2006)
Xiji–Zhongwei	Li et al. (2001)
Lingtai–Amuquhu	Min et al. (1991)
Menyuan–Weinan	Zhang et al. (1985)
Chengxian–Xiji	Li et al. (1991)
Altyn–Altai	Wang et al. (2005), Wang, Chen, and Wang (2013), Wang, Mooney, and Yuan (2013)
Tuotuohe–Golmud	Li et al. (2003) and Lu and Wang (1990)
Golmud–Ejinaqi	Cui et al. (1995)
Maqin–Jingbian	Li et al. (2002) and Liu et al. (2006)
Yushu–Gonghe	Galve et al. (2002) and Jiang et al. (2006)
Markang–Gulang	Zhang et al. (2008)
Moba–guide	Zhang, Deng, et al. (2011) and Zhang, Klemperer, et al. (2011)
Hezuo–Jingtai	Zhang et al. (2013)
Geermu–Huahaizi	Zhao et al. (2013)
Ordos–Liupanshan	Li et al. (2017)
Nangqian–Huashixia	Zhang et al. (2014)
Xigu–Yulin	Wang et al. (2018)
Fuping–Alax	Wang et al. (2018)
Chongqing–Yulin	Teng et al. (2014)
Longnan–Shiyan	Li et al. (2015)
Maduo–Yabulai	Jia et al. (2019)
Zhubalong–Zizhong	Wang et al. (2007)
Tangke–Benzilan	Wang et al., 2007
Aba–Suining	Jia et al. (2014) and Zhang et al. (2017)
Jinchuan–Leshan	Wang, Liu, et al. (2015)
Lijiang–Zhejiang	Xiong et al. (1993)
Lijiang–Qingzhen	Xu et al. (2015)
Zhongdian–Simao	Zhang et al. (2006)
Zhefang–Binchuan	Hu et al. (1986) and Zhang et al. (2005a)
Menglian–Malong	Hu et al. (1986) and Zhang et al. (2005b)
Eryuan–Jiangchuan	Hu et al. (1986) and Zhang et al. (2007)



**Table 2**  
*Continued*

Reflection/refraction profiles	Reference
Changheba–Lazha	Cui et al. (1987)
Lijiang–Xinzhen	Cui et al. (1987)
Xichang–Mouding	Yin and Xiong (1992)
Dima–Lushui	Wang, Wang, et al. (2015)
Yunxian–Ningliang	Chen et al. (2016)
Three_Gorges	Zhang et al. (2009)
MANAS	Makarov et al. (2010)
Quanshuigou–Dushanzi	Li et al. (2001)

provinces, the crust is thick (>50 km in most regions), and therefore, the uncertainty of <5 km is within <10% error and is acceptable for our calculations (see Table 3 for sensitivity analysis).

However, in many parts of Tibet, the difference in the Moho depth between results based on seismic reflection/refraction profiles and receiver function (RF) studies is relatively large (Figure 2d). In southern Tibet and the Tarim Craton, there are local >15 km differences in the Moho depth between the two methods. In the Lhasa and Qiangtang Blocks, the crust is >60 km thick (Figure 2c), and most refraction profiles in this region are ca. 400–500 km long which is too short to properly study the >60 km deep Moho (Figure 2a; Zhang, Deng, et al., 2011; Zhang, Klempere, et al., 2011 and references therein), except for one profile in the Central part of the Lhasa Block (Wang et al., 2021). We, therefore, use only RF results for the Moho depth, also because they have a denser coverage.

The Moho depth is highly variable in Tibet and adjacent regions with values ranging from 36 to 80 km locally (Figure 2b and references in Table 2). The Himalayan belt, which has the highest elevations in the world (on average > 6 km), has a Moho depth of 40–50 km. The Moho is deepest (65 km–80 depth) in the Lhasa Block (e.g., Shi et al., 2020) and >60 km in the Qiangtang Block. The Moho in the Songpan-Ganzi Block is deep (60–70 km depth) in the east and shallower (50–60 km depth) in the west. The Eastern Kunlun-Qaidam Block has the shallowest Moho in Tibet with values of 40–56 km. Beneath the Qilian Block the Moho is 55–60 km deep and regionally reaches 60–65 km depth. The adjacent Precambrian Yangtze, North China and Tarim Cratons have Moho at 35–45 km depth, similar to the Indian Plate.

## 5. Method and Model Parameters

### 5.1. Method Overview

Our calculation of the lithospheric thermal structure is based on the thermal-isostasy method (Artemieva, 2019a, 2019b, 2022), further detailed and tested by Artemieva and Shulgin (2019). It allows for calculation of the thickness of the thermal lithosphere, lithospheric mantle geotherms (not calculated here), and surface heat flow, which can be tested against borehole measurements. In particular, the method was tested for the continental and oceanic lithosphere of the European-North Atlantic region, where the predicted heat flow agrees with high-quality conventional heat-flow measurements (Artemieva, 2019a). The method is fully independent and different in the approach, methodology, assumptions, and implementation from an earlier approach focused on crustal isostasy and crustal geotherms (Hasterok & Chapman, 2007).

Our calculations are constrained by analysis of the relationship between topography and Moho depth, and, therefore, the method is well suited for regions where Moho depth is known from seismic studies but with low density of heat-flow data and/or transient thermal regime, such as most of Tibet (Figure 2). By analyzing Airy-type correlation between topography and Moho depth (Figure 3), we separate crustal and mantle components to topography where the crustal component incorporates in situ crustal density variations associated with variable lithology and temperature.

**Table 3**  
*Sensitivity Analysis of Input Parameters in the Modeling*

Input model parameter for the final results (Figure 5a)				Modeling results averaged for the entire study region	
Reference crustal in situ density, kg/cm <sup>3</sup> ( $\times 10^3$ )	Reference mantle in situ density, kg/cm <sup>3</sup> ( $\times 10^3$ )	Reference Moho depth, km	Reference lithosphere thickness, km	Calculated lithosphere thermal thickness, km	Predicted heat flow, mW/m <sup>2</sup>
2.75 <sup>a</sup>	3.27 <sup>a</sup>	36 <sup>b</sup>	150 <sup>c</sup>	166	50 <sup>d</sup>
Tested reference parameters		Corresponding results (and change (in %) with respect to the final results)		Supporting figures	
2.85	30	173 (+4%)	52 (−4%)	Figure S4 in Supporting Information S1	
2.70	40	152 (−8%)	56 (+11%)	Figure S5 in Supporting Information S1	
2.80	3.20	153 (−7%)	55 (+10%)	Figure S6 in Supporting Information S1	
	38	153 (−7%)	55 (+10%)	Figure S7 in Supporting Information S1	
	100	123 (−26%)	60 (+20%)	Figure S8 in Supporting Information S1	

<sup>a</sup>Determined from the regional best-fit in Figure 3a for Airy-type isostasy, see Section 5.2 for details. <sup>b</sup>Moho depth at zero topography (Figure 3a). <sup>c</sup>Assumed for locations with AT = 0 km in Figure 4, see Section 5.3 for details. <sup>d</sup>Method cannot constrain values >120 mW/m<sup>2</sup> (Artemieva, 2019b, 2022).

The mantle component of the topography (termed here the anomalous topography) is assumed to originate only from lateral thermal variation (compositional heterogeneity plays a minor role, see analysis by Artemieva, 2019a). It constrains the thermal structure of the lithosphere with respect to a location where the anomalous topography is zero and where the lithosphere thermal thickness is known from independent data. The strength of the method is that it is free from an assumption on a steady-state equilibrium geotherm and therefore is well suited to study tectonically active regions (Artemieva, 2022), like Tibet and Himalayas, given that the Moho depth is well constrained. Another advantage of the method is that it constrains the present-day lithosphere thermal structure, in contrast to thermal modeling based on heat flow which reflects a past thermal regime.

In the rest of this section (Subsections 5.2–5.4), we present a step-by-step explanation of the method together with the assumptions, which we discuss and test by the sensitivity analysis (Subsection 5.5). We refer the reader to the original papers for further details of the method, sensitivity analysis, and comparison to actual heat-flow measurements (Artemieva, 2019a, 2019b, 2022; Artemieva & Shulgin, 2019).

## 5.2. Crustal Contribution to Isostasy

The method assumes that (1) topography is maintained by isostatic contributions from the crust and the lithospheric mantle, and that (2) isostatic compensation is achieved at the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (LAB). Therefore, it is important that most of the region be close to isostatic equilibrium (Section 4.1). The results are poorly constrained for regions with strong deviations from isostatic equilibrium (much of Himalaya and the deformed margins of Tibet).

For Airy-type crustal isostasy, the slope of the linear correlation between Moho depth and topography (Figure 3a) constrains the in-situ density contrast across the Moho. Crustal and mantle densities both include compositional and thermal components, and we estimate crustal densities from linear correlation between topography and Moho Depth (Artemieva, 2019a, 2019b, 2022). As some regions in our study area are out of equilibrium (i.e., have very large free-air anomalies, Figures 1c and 3b), we calculate the correlation between Moho depth and topography using only areas with free air gravity anomalies < ±80 mGal (Figure 3c). This choice yields a reference Moho depth of 36 km (Moho depth at zero topography defined by the best-fit line in Figure 3a) and a slope of 5.24 km/km (Figure 3a).

The slope is controlled by the in-situ density contrast between the crust and the mantle, and the two densities cannot be uniquely determined from the slope. For geophysically plausible values, the slope of 5.24 km/km allows average in-situ crustal densities between  $2.70 \times 10^3$  and  $2.80 \times 10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> and average in-situ mantle densities between  $3.22 \times 10^3$  and  $3.37 \times 10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> (Figure 5 in Artemieva & Shulgin, 2019). We adopt a reference crustal in-situ density of  $2.75 \times 10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>, which yields  $2.78 \times 10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> at STP condition (i.e., at standard (room) temperature and pressure,  $T = 20^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $P = 1$  atm). To fit the slope value of 5.24 km/km (Figure 3a), we

use an in-situ reference density of lithospheric mantle of  $3.27 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$ , corresponding to  $3.38 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$  at STP condition (i.e., to reworked cratonic or Phanerozoic fertile mantle; Poudjom Djomani et al., 2001). Our conversion of in-situ to SPT densities assumes a temperature of  $600^\circ\text{C}$  at the Moho and  $1,300^\circ\text{C}$  at the LAB, and a coefficient of thermal expansion of  $3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ K}^{-1}$ . The choice of the reference density values has little effect on the results (Artemieva, 2019a, 2019b), which instead depend on the density contrast across the Moho (the slope of the best-fit line in Airy-type crustal isostasy, Figure 3). We analyze uncertainties arising from the choice of reference crustal and mantle densities by sensitivity analysis in Section 5.5, Table 3, and Supporting Figures S4–S8 in Supporting Information S1.

### 5.3. Lithospheric Mantle Contribution to Isostasy

If isostatic equilibrium were achieved at the Moho, the data in Figure 3 would plot around the best-fit line. Since this is not the case, there should also be a mantle contribution to isostasy, which justifies our assumption that isostasy is achieved at the LAB. To estimate the contribution of the lithospheric mantle to isostasy, we calculate anomalous topography (Figure 4) as the deviation of the actual elevation (ETOPO1, Figure 1a) from the isostatically predicted elevation (the best-fit line between the Moho depth and elevation, Figure 3). Therefore, anomalous topography reflects deviations from crustal isostasy (with the compensation depth at the Moho) and shows the presence of anomalous density masses between the Moho and the LAB (where isostatic equilibrium is achieved). Cold and dense sub-Moho material suppresses the topography, leading to negative anomalous topography values, and low-density (hot) sub-Moho material has the opposite effect.

In most of Tibet, the anomalous topography is weakly negative ( $-0.4 \pm 0.9 \text{ km}$  and around  $-1 \text{ km}$  in the Lhasa Block), suggesting the presence of cold and thick lithosphere. There are also areas with positive anomalous topography, so that the regional average for the entire study region is close to zero ( $-0.1 \pm 1.1 \text{ km}$ ) (Figure 4).

The eastern Songpan-Ganzi Block, most of the Eastern Kunlun-Qaidam Block, the entire Qilian Block, and the adjacent part of the North China Craton have anomalous topography in the range of  $\pm 1 \text{ km}$  and close to zero on average. The Yangtze craton, the entire Lhasa Block, and most of the Qiangtang Block, except for its central part, have negative anomalous topography of  $-1.5$  to  $0 \text{ km}$ ; similar values are typical of the Tarim craton where the Moho depth is known.

One of the strongest positive topography anomalies of  $+2$  to  $+3 \text{ km}$  is observed in western Songpan-Ganzi and some parts of the Qiangtang and Qaidam-Eastern Kunlun Blocks, suggesting the presence of an anomalously buoyant mantle. However, we note that the Eastern Kunlun Block is in disequilibrium (Figure 1c). In the Himalayan belt, which is not in isostatic equilibrium and where the model therefore is poorly constrained, the anomalous elevation is typically  $-2$  to  $+2 \text{ km}$ , but locally reaches  $-4$  to  $+3 \text{ km}$ .

### 5.4. Lithosphere Thermal Structure

We next assume that all regional deviations of topography from crustal isostasy predictions (anomalous topography) are caused by (a) variation in lithospheric mantle thickness and (b) in-situ density anomalies in the lithospheric mantle, and that (c) mantle density anomalies are caused only by thermal expansion due to lithosphere thermal anomalies. Sensitivity analysis (Artemieva, 2019a, 2019b) demonstrates that compositional variation in the lithospheric mantle can be ignored within model uncertainty. While removal of a possible dynamic contribution to topography from the mantle (Melosh & Raefsky, 1980) requires additional assumptions (Artemieva & Shulgin, 2019) and its effect is minor for areas with near-zero free-air anomalies, short wavelength lithosphere flexural deformation (Sandwell & Schubert, 1982) is effectively excluded by using spatial data averaging, which works as a low pass filter of the topography and Moho depth data (Figure S3 in Supporting Information S1). The role of lithosphere deformation (Sandwell & Schubert, 1982) is difficult to quantify, and we expect that it may be important in those parts of the region that deviate from isostatic equilibrium (Figure 1c) and where the results are poorly constrained.

Under these assumptions, anomalous topography can be used to infer lithospheric temperature anomalies (averaged over the entire lithospheric column) relative to locations with zero anomalous topography. Therefore, the calculation procedure requires a priori information on lithosphere thickness in a selected location with zero anomalous topography, which is used as a reference value for the calculations of lithosphere thickness



anomalies for the entire region and for conversion of the LAB depth anomalies to absolute values (Figure 5a). We present results for a reference lithosphere thickness of 150 km (at locations with zero anomalous topography, Figure 4); the choice of other values will systematically shift the results (see Section 5.5 and Table 3 for sensitivity tests).

Thermal-lithosphere thickness is controlled by the lithospheric geotherm, which, in turn, correlates with surface heat flow (Artemieva, 2006; Pollack & Chapman, 1977; Pollack et al., 1993; Rudnick et al., 1998). This long-recognized global correlation allows us to predict heat-flow values, which can be tested against existing heat-flow data, where available. In our calculations of predicted surface heat flow, we adopt geotherms by Pollack et al. (1993) and limit our model to the lithospheric mantle. This way we avoid making any assumptions on the poorly known crustal heat production. For the European continent, the North Atlantic ocean and Greenland the method predicts heat-flow values in tight agreement with borehole measurements (Artemieva, 2019a, 2019b).

### 5.5. Sensitivity Analysis

The major uncertainty in our results originates from the uncertainty of the input parameters, including: (a) Moho depth constrained by receiver function studies; (b) density contrast across the Moho controlled by the slope of the best fit line in Figure 3a; and (c) reference lithosphere thickness in areas with zero anomalous topography (Figure 4), which is assumed to be known from independent data. Here, we analyze the uncertainty of our results by calculating the dependence of the calculated lithosphere thermal thickness and predicted heat flow on the various input parameters. We present results of the sensitivity tests as values averaged for the entire study region shown in Figure 1 (Table 3) and in a series of maps (Figures S4–S8 in Supporting Information S1).

1. We present two tests for the effect of Moho depth: (a) for local and (b) for systematic regional Moho depth uncertainty. (a) For a location with an average regional elevation of  $\sim 2.7$  km, corresponding to an average regional Moho depth of 52 km (Figure 3a), a change in local Moho depth by  $\pm 3$  km will change anomalous topography by ca. 0.3 km. This will result in a change of lithosphere thickness by ca.  $\pm 30$  km (19%) and a change of predicted surface heat flow by  $\pm 8$  mW/m<sup>2</sup> (<16%) (b) If the reference value of the Moho depth (i.e., Moho depth at zero topography), is increased by 2 km (a regional systematic shift in the reference Moho depth, purple line in Figure 3a), the calculated lithosphere thickness decreases by 10–30 km (for the whole study region by 13 km on average) with an average increase in the predicted heat flow by 5 mW/m<sup>2</sup> (Figure S7 in Supporting Information S1 and Table 3).
2. We expect regional variation in the density contrast across the Moho due to variation in the bulk composition of the crust (Wang et al., 2021) and the lithospheric mantle (Xia et al., 2020). The slope of the best-fit line between topography and Moho depth (Figure 3a), which links the average in-situ densities of the crust and the lithospheric mantle, determines the calculated anomalous topography and, thereby, controls the lithosphere thermal model.

We test the case with an increase in reference crustal density (which controls the slope of the best-fit line in Figure 3a) from  $2.75 \times 10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> to  $2.85 \times 10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> (Table 3). Maintaining the best-fit slope of 5.24 km/km (black line in Figure 3a) leads to a change in in-situ mantle density from  $3.27 \times 10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> to an unrealistic in-situ value of  $3.39 \times 10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>. We, therefore, keep the reference mantle density unchanged by changing the slope of the Moho depth-topography relation to 6.79 km/km and adjusting the reference Moho depth to 30 km; the latter choice ensures that the crustal isostasy Moho-topography line still crosses through most of the data points (blue dotted line in Figure 3a, test results in Figure S4 in Supporting Information S1). We also test the effects of reducing the reference crustal density to  $2.70 \times 10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> where we, similarly, adjust the reference Moho depth to 40 km (red dotted line in Figure 3a, test results in Figure S5 in Supporting Information S1). Finally, we test the effect of reducing the in-situ reference mantle density to  $3.20 \times 10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> where we adjust the reference crustal density to  $2.80 \times 10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> in order to maintain a realistic topography-Moho depth relation (turquoise dotted line in Figure 3a, test results in Figure S6 in Supporting Information S1). These tests correspond to laterally variable changes in the in-situ average crustal and/or average mantle densities and we calculate the anomalous topography, LAB depth and heat flow throughout the whole study area for different fixed lines relating Moho depth and topography for the three cases (Figure 3a).

The test for an increase in reference crustal density (Figure S4 in Supporting Information S1) leads to changes in anomalous topography with opposite signs in high- and low-elevation areas, leading to changes in the predicted LAB depth and heat flow with opposite signs throughout the region. Therefore, regionally averaged values of the LAB depth and heat flow remain largely unchanged as compared to our main results (Table 3), while local variations typically are within  $\pm 20$  km for the LAB depth and  $\pm 5$  mW/m<sup>2</sup> for heat flow. In the two other tests (Figures S5 and S6 in Supporting Information S1), changes in anomalous topography have the same sign everywhere with an asymmetric effect in high- and low-elevation areas. Therefore, in both tests, the resultant changes in the LAB depth and the predicted heat flow are also asymmetric in high- and low-elevation areas and within 10% on average, with locally higher and smaller values (Table 3).

3. The calculation procedure requires a priori information on lithosphere thickness at a reference location where the anomalous topography is zero (Figure 4). We adopt a reference lithosphere thickness of 150 km. The choice of a different value will systematically shift the results for lithosphere thermal thickness without changing the general pattern. A change (increase or decrease) of the reference value by 50 km will cause a corresponding change (increase or decrease) of the lithosphere thermal thickness by 20–40 km (on average 26%) and a change of predicted surface heat flow by  $\sim 5$ –10 mW/m<sup>2</sup> (on average 20%) (Figure S8 in Supporting Information S1 and Table 3).

## 6. Major Patterns of Lithosphere Thermal Thickness and Heat Flow Variations

Our results show that Tibet has a strongly heterogeneous lithospheric structure at both long and short wavelengths (Figure 5a). High-quality surface heat-flow measurements are practically absent in Tibet from the Himalayan front to the Kunlun fault (Figure 1 and Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). However, heat flow predicted by our regional thermal model is in good agreement with the surface heat-flow measurements where they exist, as also found in regions with very good dense coverage of high-quality heat-flow measurements (Artemieva, 2019a). The predicted surface heat flow differs from the few available borehole measurements on average by  $5.5$  mW/m<sup>2</sup>  $\pm$   $15.1$  mW/m<sup>2</sup> (Figure 5b), that is within the expected accuracy (uncertainty) of the regional heat flow data (Section 4.2). For example, in the Qaidam Block of NE Tibet, where the thermal-lithosphere thickness varies from 120 to 200 km, our model predicts a heat flow of 40–60 mW/m<sup>2</sup>, so that the difference between the calculated and measured surface heat flow is  $2.2 \pm 19.1$  mW/m<sup>2</sup>.

Based on our lithosphere thermal model (Figure 5), we subdivide the region into several distinct domains (Figure 6).

1. The Lhasa Block has the thickest thermal lithosphere (>200 km thick) and a low surface heat flow of ca. 30–50 mW/m<sup>2</sup> (Figure 5). Such cold and thick lithosphere is typical of Archean cratons (Artemieva, 2006). However, there is only fragmentary evidence for the presence of Precambrian basement in the Lhasa terrane (Guynn et al., 2006) and, alternatively, we interpret that this thick thermal lithosphere is caused by the underthrusting of the Indian plate, which may have placed cratonic lithosphere beneath this part of Tibet and “refrigerated” the lithosphere of the Lhasa Block. Our conclusion is along the lines of an earlier study of post-collisional magmatism in Tibet at 26–10 Ma in the Lhasa Block, which is interpreted to be caused by removal of pre-existing lithosphere at  $\sim 26$  Ma, followed by underthrusting of the Indian lithosphere below southern Tibet (Chung et al., 2005).
2. In central-eastern Tibet south of the Kunlun fault (centered around 97°E/33°N), the thermal lithosphere is very thick (>200 km) with a surface heat flow of <50 mW/m<sup>2</sup>, similar to the Lhasa Block (Figure 5). Since this domain cuts across the Bangong–Nujiang and Jinsha River sutures, this thick lithosphere may be associated with Tethyan paleo-slabs. Alternatively, it may mark a far northern extent of the underthrusting Indian plate, as previously suggested based on high Pn velocity (Liang & Song, 2006).
3. In northern Tibet (the western part of the Qiangtang and Songpan–Ganzi Blocks, in the area centered around 88°E/35°N), the lithosphere is very thin (<80 km) with inferred heat flow larger than 80–100 mW/m<sup>2</sup> (Figure 5). This region roughly corresponds to a zone of low Pn-velocity (Li & Song, 2018; McNamara et al., 1997) and inefficient Sn propagation (Ni & Barazangi, 1983) (Figure 7). The extent of this “North Tibet Anomaly” (Figure 6) cannot be well constrained due to the lack of seismic data on Moho depth (Figure 2a), such that information is available only at the margins of the anomalous area.

**Table 4**

Topography, Depths to the Moho (Figure 2b) and to Thermal LAB (Figure 5a), and Relative Fractional Thickness of the Crust in the Total Lithospheric Column for Different Tectonic Blocks of Tibet (the North Tibet Anomaly Is Excluded)


	Topography (km)		Moho depth (km)		LAB depth <sup>a</sup> (km)		Percent of crust (%)	
	Mean	$\sigma$	Mean	$\sigma$	Mean	$\Sigma$	Mean	$\sigma$
Lhasa Block	4.78	0.64	65	6	189	29	35	5
W-Qiangtang Block	4.83	0.72	59	5	134	28	46	12
E-Qiangtang Block	4.87	0.47	66	3	198	17	34	3
W-Songpan-Ganzi Block	4.34	0.57	58	6	163	39	38	9
E-Songpan-Ganzi Block	2.03	0.61	48	4	163	23	30	6
Qaidam Block	1.22	0.40	43	4	172	33	26	3
W-Eastern Kunlun Block	4.49	0.35	53	3	129	29	43	10
E-Eastern Kunlun Block	3.13	0.44	52	5	162	32	33	6
W-Qinlian Block	3.81	0.48	54	3	154	29	37	8
E-Qinlian Block	2.17	0.40	49	4	172	18	28	3

Note. E, eastern part; W, western part; the western and eastern parts of the Qiangtang and Songpan-Ganzi Blocks are, respectively, west of and east of the North Tibet Anomaly, which is not considered here.

<sup>a</sup>Lithosphere thermal thickness (LAB depth) is defined as the depth where the geotherm reaches a potential temperature of 1,300°C.


- The Eastern Kunlun-Qaidam and Qilian Blocks of north-eastern Tibet, which represent Paleozoic orogenic belts, have a strongly heterogeneous lithosphere thermal structure with a thickness of 100–150 km on average and predicted surface heat flow of 60–80 mW/m<sup>2</sup> (Figure 5). Small-scale anomalies with local thinning of the lithosphere to the Moho and heat flow locally exceeding 100 mW/m<sup>2</sup> are also observed in the eastern Songpan-Ganzi Block and central Himalaya. They are likely to be due to lithospheric deformation and local non-equilibrium conditions in regions where free-air gravity anomalies exceed  $\pm 80$  mGal (Figure 1c). Alternatively, they may be caused by local short-wavelength variations in the density contrast across the Moho, most likely caused by crustal density anomalies, for example, associated with mafic intrusions and underplating.
- Stable blocks surrounding Tibet (the Tarim and Yangtze Cratons) have, in general, a thick cratonic-type thermal lithosphere, whereas the lithosphere of the western North China Craton is significantly thinner and warmer (Figures 5–7). Thick (200–240 km) lithosphere with low surface heat flow (40–45 mW/m<sup>2</sup>), in close agreement with borehole data (with an average difference of  $-2.7 \pm 10.0$  mW/m<sup>2</sup>; Figure 8), is present below the margins of the Tarim Craton where seismic data exists. A similar lithosphere thermal structure is predicted for the north-western part of the Yangtze Craton. However, in this area heat flow measurements from petroleum wells (Jiang et al., 2019) are systematically higher than our predictions (with an average difference of  $7.8 \pm 11.8$  mW/m<sup>2</sup>; Figure 8), possibly due to a systematic bias of measurements in boreholes with a non-equilibrated heat flow. Likewise, there is some discrepancy (of  $13.5 \pm 10.5$  mW/m<sup>2</sup> on average) in the predicted and measured heat flow in the western North China Craton. The largest difference (at around 108°E/35°N) is associated with the Cenozoic Weihe Graben. In other parts of the western North China Craton our results predict surface heat flow around 45 mW/m<sup>2</sup> in the westernmost part and 60–70 mW/m<sup>2</sup> further eastwards, where the predicted and measured heat flow agree within the model and data uncertainties (Figures 5b and 8). Our results indicate that the lithosphere of the western North China Craton is only 120–180 km thick. East of 105°E, where heat-flow data exist, the predicted values of 120–140 km are in overall agreement with the lithosphere thermal thickness based on surface heat flow (Xia et al., 2020), which constrains values of 90–140 km.
- In general, topography is supported by the entire lithospheric column, including the crust and the upper mantle, although dynamic contributions from the mantle (Lithgow-Bertelloni and Silver, 1998) and lithosphere flexure (Watts, 2001) may also contribute to topography. For Tibet there is a clear linear correlation between topography and the fraction of crust in the lithosphere column (Figure 9), and other orogens are consistent with this trend. Blocks with the highest topography [the western parts of Qiangtang (W-QT) and Songpan-Ganzi (W-SGB), west of the North Tibet Anomaly, and Eastern Kunlun-Qaidam (W-EK) Blocks] have the largest fraction of the crust in the lithosphere column, 38%–46% (Table 4). In contrast, the





**Figure 3.** Moho depth versus topography for the whole region shown in Figure 2; data are sampled on a  $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$  grid to filter out uncompensated short wavelength deformation. (a) Data density with the parameters determined for Airy-type crustal isostasy (only data points with free air gravity anomalies of  $\leq \pm 80$  mGal are used). Best fit line (thick, black) is: [Moho depth in km] = 36.0 km + 5.24 \* (Topography in km); blue, red, cyan, and purple lines are different reference models used for sensitivity analyses presented in Section 5.5 and Table 3. (b) All data points color-coded by free-air gravity; (c) Data points with free-air gravity of  $\leq \pm 80$  mGal. Plots (b) and (c) have the same color scale as Figure 1c.

### Anomalous Topography



**Figure 4.** Anomalous topography: positive values represent isostatically-predicted topography higher than actual topography, indicating the presence of a buoyant, low-density sub-Moho material; and vice-versa. Hatching—areas where the results are poorly constrained.

crustal fraction is only 25%–30% in low-elevation regions of the Qaidam Block (QDB) and of the eastern parts of Qilian (E-QB) and Songpan-Ganzi (E-SGB) (east of the North Tibet Anomaly) Blocks. In the North Tibet Anomaly, the lithosphere may be thinned almost to the Moho, and so we exclude this anomalous region from the analysis (in Table 4 and Figure 9).

The two regions furthest from the general Tibetan trend line have some of the thickest (>200 km) thermal lithosphere: the Lhasa Block (LB) and the eastern Qiangtang Block (E-QT) have the same high topography (>4.5 km, on average) as the western Qiangtang Block (W-QT), but the crustal fraction is only 33%–34%, and not 42% as the Tibetan linear trend predicts. Note that the Alps also have a smaller fraction of crustal contribution than the trend prediction (Figure 9). A large contribution of lithospheric mantle to topography may be a characteristic feature of regions with thick lithosphere created by subducting slabs, as in the Lhasa Block and the Alps. This observation supports our interpretation that thick lithosphere beneath eastern Qiangtang Block (centered around 97°E/33°N) may correspond to the northern extent of the underthrusting Indian plate.

## 7. Heterogeneous Thermal Lithosphere of Tibet

### 7.1. The Northern Limit of the Indian Plate

A part of the heterogeneous thermal structure of the Tibetan lithosphere (Figure 5) may reflect fragmentation of the underthrusting Indian plate, which may remain intact beneath the cold, thick lithosphere of the Lhasa Block and the eastern and western parts of the Qiangtang Block. The northern limit of the Indian plate may be controlled by variations in the dip angle and slab tearing. In our interpretation it may be marked by the northern extent of thick lithosphere, shown by colored line in Figure 6.

In the western part of Tibet (~78–84°E), the northern limit of the thick thermal lithosphere crudely follows the Jinsha River suture (~34–36°N). The thick lithosphere may represent the sub-horizontal or gently dipping Indian plate extending up to almost ~36–38°N at ~74–77°E, where it adjoins the south-western edge of the Tarim Craton (Kufner et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2010).

In the central section of Tibet (~84–94°E), the front of the Indian plate may be located at around 32–34°N at around the Bangong-Nujiang suture (Figure 6). This location is similar to an earlier proposed lithosphere discontinuity at 31°N at 85°E (Nabelek et al., 2009).


Further east (~94–100°E), the thick thermal lithosphere may extend further north of the Jinsha River suture to ~35–36°N. The eastern extent of the thick lithosphere block is not marked by any prominent faults or sutures. Instead, the “Eastern Tibet” block with thick lithosphere crosses two major suture zones, the Bangong–Nujiang and Jinsha River sutures.

In contrast, the Longmenshan fault is marked by a sharp change in the lithosphere thickness of ca. 50 km. It separates a relatively thin (80–120 km) lithosphere of the Songpan-Ganzi Block from the thick lithosphere of the Yangtze Craton, suggesting that it is a lithosphere-scale fault.

### 7.2. Hot Mantle of the North Tibet Anomaly

A remarkable feature of our results is the sharp decrease in lithosphere thermal thickness from >180 km to <80 km in central Tibet (~84–92°E/~33–38°N), across the Bangong–Nujiang suture (Figures 5a and 6). As previously mentioned, the exact size of the anomaly cannot be resolved due to the absence of data on the crustal thickness in this area. Note that most of the existing geophysical profiles also do not constrain the size of the anomalous area, and image its margins only (Figure 1b).

The North Tibet Anomaly area (the north-central Qiangtang and central Songpan-Ganzi Blocks) has an extremely thin lithosphere (<80 km and possibly thinned to the Moho, such that lithospheric mantle is basically absent)




**Figure 5.** (a) Thermal-lithosphere thickness calculated by thermal isostasy; (b) Calculated surface heat flow overlain by measured values (colored dots); the color scales for predicted and measured heat flow are the same. Hatching—areas where the results are poorly constrained.

and high predicted heat flow ( $>90 \text{ mW/m}^2$ ). These observations are consistent with seismic evidence for a low Pn velocity (Li & Song, 2018; McNamara et al., 1997) and inefficient Sn propagation (Ni & Barazangi, 1983) reported for the southern margin of the anomalous area (Figure 1b), as well as Plio-Pleistocene volcanic activity (Guo & Wilson, 2019). Crustal xenoliths from this area also indicate high lower-crustal temperature of  $>800\text{--}1,000^\circ\text{C}$  (Ding et al., 2007; Hacker et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2016).


We interpret the North Tibet Anomaly as the junction of two subduction systems with opposite polarities: the northward Indian subduction and the southward Tarim (Asian) subduction. The interplay of two subducting systems may have created slab windows and asthenosphere upwelling as inferred from geochemical data (Guo & Wilson, 2019), and may have created a thin and hot lithosphere as inferred from our modeling results.

## 8. Conclusions

We constrain the thermal lithosphere in Tibet and adjacent regions using the thermal isostasy method (Artemieva, 2019a, 2019b; Artemieva & Shulgin, 2019) based on our compilation of seismic Moho depth in the region. We demonstrate that the lithospheric thermal structure of Tibet is highly heterogeneous, likely due to lateral variations in the northern extent of Indian subduction, the southward subduction of the Asian plate, and possible preservation of fragmented Tethyan paleo-slabs.




**Figure 6.** Simplified sketch map of lithospheric thermal structure based on Figure 5. Thick color lines are our interpretations of the front of the subducted India lithosphere and the edge of the southward Tarim subduction.




**Figure 7.** Lithospheric cross-sections based on receiver-functions for the Moho depth and on our results for thermal-lithosphere thickness (LAB depth). Abbreviations for faults and sutures are as in Figure 1a. Insert shows locations of the cross-sections with abbreviated names of tectonic blocks. Profiles 2 and 4 cross the North Tibet Anomaly (Figure 6) with inefficient Sn propagation and low Pn velocity (Li & Song, 2018; McNamara et al., 1997; Ni & Barazangi, 1983). LM: lithospheric mantle; AM: Asthenospheric mantle.





**Figure 8.** Histogram of the difference between measured and predicted surface heat flow for various tectonic blocks. For most of the region, in particular within Tibet, predicted and measured values are statistically similar. Predicted values are statistically slightly smaller than measured values in two stable blocks, which are western parts of the North China and Yangtze cratons. In the North China craton most of the discrepancy is along the southern edge at the border with the Qinling orogen.



**Figure 9.** Relative fraction of the crust in the lithosphere versus topography for various blocks in Tibet (see Table 4). Abbreviations: LB: Lhasa Block; QT: Qiangtang Block; SGB: Songpan Ganzi Block; QDB: Qaidam Block; EK: Eastern Kunlun Block; QB: Qilian Block; preceding E and W refer to eastern and western parts of tectonic blocks, respectively. For the Qiangtang and Songpan-Ganzi Blocks, the western and eastern parts are those parts outside the North Tibet Anomaly, which is excluded from the analysis because the lithosphere may be thinned almost to the Moho in this zone.

- In most of the Lhasa Block, the thickness of the thermal lithosphere exceeds 200 km and surface heat flow is low, 40–45 mW/m<sup>2</sup>. A block with a similar cratonic thermal structure is also present in eastern Tibet (beneath the eastern segment of the Qiangtang and Songpan-Ganzi Blocks at ~96–98°E). Thick thermal lithosphere in southern and eastern Tibet is likely to represent on-going underthrusting of the Indian lithosphere; its northern limit may mark the longitudinally variable northern extent of the Indian plate to 33–36°N. Alternatively, in eastern Tibet, where thick lithosphere is observed beneath the Bangong–Nujiang and Jinsha River sutures, it may be associated with Tethyan paleo-slabs. In far-western Tibet (74–78°E), the thick lithosphere continues northwards to the margin of the Tarim Craton.
- We identify the North Tibet Anomaly (~84–92°E/~33–38°N) south of the Altyn-Tag fault in the central Qiangtang and Songpan-Ganzi Blocks. In this North Tibet Anomaly zone, the lithosphere is very thin (<80 km) and surface heat flow is very high (>90 mW/m<sup>2</sup>) in a region with inefficient seismic Sn propagation and low Pn velocity. The anomaly may be caused by lithosphere delamination and asthenospheric upwelling in the area between the northernmost extent of the northward subducting Indian plate and the southernmost extent of the southward subducting the Asian plate.
- The Tarim Craton, where data on the Moho depth are available, and the north-western part of the Yangtze Craton have typical cold and thick (200–240 km) cratonic lithosphere, whereas the lithosphere thickness is 120–180 km in western North China Craton. In the Qaidam deep sedimentary basin the lithosphere is 100–120 km thick.
- The strongly heterogeneous lithospheric thermal structure in Tibet and the surrounding blocks suggests that an interplay of several mechanisms maintains the high elevation of Tibet.

### Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest relevant to this study.

### Data Availability Statement

Data used in calculations are available at Zenodo: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5930767>.

### Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the following research grants: BX—National Science Foundation of China Grant 41904090 and 42172901; BX, IMA, and HT—National Science Foundation of China Grant 92055210 to HT; IMA—Inge Lehmann Grant of the Royal Danish Academy of Sciences and Letters; HT—Large grant DFF/FNU-16/059776-15 of the Danish Fund for Independent Research; SLK—US NSF Grant EAR1628282. BX thanks Xiao-Bo Tian and Xiao-Feng Liang for discussions. Comments and suggestions from D. Hasterok and anonymous reviewers are acknowledged.

### References

- Artemieva, I. M. (2006). Global 1°×1° thermal model TC1 for the continental lithosphere: Implications for lithosphere secular evolution. *Tectonophysics*, 416(1–4), 245–277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2005.11.022>
- Artemieva, I. M. (2019a). Lithosphere structure in Europe from thermal isostasy. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 188, 454–468. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.11.004>
- Artemieva, I. M. (2019b). Lithosphere thermal thickness and geothermal heat flux in Greenland from a new thermal isostasy method. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 188, 469–481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.10.015>
- Artemieva, I. M. (2022). Antarctica ice sheet basal melting enhanced by high mantle heat. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 226, 103954. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2022.103954>
- Artemieva, I. M., & Shulgin, A. (2019). Geodynamics of Anatolia: Lithosphere thermal structure and thickness. *Tectonics*, 38(12), 4465–4487. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019tc005594>
- Artemieva, I., Thybo, H., & Shulgin, A. (2016). Geophysical constraints on geodynamic processes at convergent margins: A global perspective. *Gondwana Research*, 33, 4–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2015.06.010>
- Chan, G. N., Waters, D., Searle, M., Aitchison, J., Horstwood, M., Crowley, Q., et al. (2009). Probing the basement of southern Tibet: Evidence from crustal xenoliths entrained in a Miocene ultrapotassic dyke. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 166(1), 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.1144/0016-76492007-145>
- Chardon, D., Jayananda, M., Chetty, T. R. K., & Peucat, J.-J. (2008). Precambrian continental strain and shear zone patterns: South Indian case. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113(B8), B08402. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JB005299>
- Chen, Y., Li, W., Yuan, X. H., Badal, J., & Teng, J. W. (2015). Tearing of the Indian lithospheric slab beneath southern Tibet revealed by SKS-wave splitting measurements. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 413, 13–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.12.041>
- Chen, S. W., Wang, B. S., Tian, X. F., Liu, B. F., & Li, L. (2016). Crustal structure from Yunxian-Ninglang wide-angle seismic reflection and refraction profile in northwestern Yunnan, China. *Seismology and Geology*, 38, 91–106. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Chung, S.-L., Chu, M.-F., Zhang, Y., Xie, Y., Lo, C. H., Lee, T. Y., et al. (2005). Tibetan tectonic evolution inferred from spatial and temporal variations in post-collisional magmatism. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 68(3–4), 173–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2004.05.001>
- Craig, T. J., Kelemen, P. B., Hacker, B. R., & Copley, A. (2020). Reconciling geophysical and petrological estimates of the thermal structure of Southern Tibet. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 21(8), e2019GC008837. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008837>
- Cui, Z. Z., Lu, D. Y., Chen, J. P., Zhang, Z. Y., & Huang, L. Y. (1987). The deep structure and tectonic features of the crust in Panxi area. *Acta Geophysica Sinica*, 30, 566–580. (in Chinese with English Abstract).
- Cui, Z. Z., Li, Q. S., Wu, C. D., Yin, Z. X., & Liu, H. B. (1995). The Crustal and Deep Structures in Golmud-Ejin Qi GGT. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 38(Suppl. II), 15–28. (in Chinese with English abstract).

- Ding, L., Kapp, P., Yue, Y., & Lai, Q. (2007). Postcollisional calc-alkaline lavas and xenoliths from the southern Qiangtang terrane, central Tibet. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 254(1–2), 28–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.11.019>
- England, P., & Houseman, G. (1988). The mechanics of the Tibetan Plateau. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London - Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 326, 301–319. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1988.0089>
- Francheteau, J., Jaupart, C., Jie, S. X., Wen-Hua, K., De-Lu, L., Jia-Chi, B., et al. (1984). High heat flow in southern Tibet. *Nature*, 307(5946), 32–36. <https://doi.org/10.1038/307032a0>
- Galvé, A., Hirn, A., Jiang, M., Gallart, J., de Voogd, B., Lepine, J. C., et al. (2002). Modes of raising northeastern Tibet probed by explosion seismology. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 203(1), 35–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X\(02\)00863-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00863-4)
- Galvé, A., Jiang, M., Hirn, A., Sapin, M., Laigle, M., de Voogd, B., et al. (2006). Explosion seismic P and S velocity and attenuation constraints on the lower crust of the North–Central Tibetan Plateau, and comparison with the Tethyan Himalayas: Implications on composition, mineralogy, temperature, and tectonic evolution. *Tectonophysics*, 412(3–4), 141–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2005.09.010>
- Ge, R., Zhu, W., Wilde, S. A., & Wu, H. (2018). Remnants of Eoarchean continental crust derived from a subducted proto-arc. *Science Advances*, 4(2), eaao3159. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aao3159>
- Guo, Z., & Wilson, M. (2019). Late Oligocene–early Miocene transformation of post-collisional magmatism in Tibet. *Geology*, 47(8), 776–780. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G46147.1>
- Guynn, J. H., Kapp, P., Pullen, A., Heizler, M., Gehrels, G., & Ding, L. (2006). Tibetan basement rocks near amdo reveal “missing” mesozoic tectonism along the bangong suture, central Tibet. *Geology*, 34(6), 505. <https://doi.org/10.1130/g22453.1>
- Hacker, B. R., Gnos, E., Ratschbacher, L., Grove, M., McWilliams, M., Sobolev, S. V., et al. (2000). Hot and Dry deep crustal xenoliths from Tibet. *Science*, 287(5462), 2463–2466. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5462.2463>
- Hasterok, D., & Chapman, D. S. (2007). Continental thermal isostasy: 1. Methods and sensitivity. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112(B6), B06414. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JB004663>
- He, R. Z., Shang, X. F., Yu, C. Q., Zhang, H. J., & Van der Hilst, R. D. (2014). A unified map of Moho depth and Vp/Vs ratio of continental China by receiver function analysis. *Geophysical Journal International*, 199(3), 1910–1918. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggu365>
- Hou, Z. Q., Gao, Y. F., Qu, X. M., Rui, Z. Y., & Mo, X. X. (2004). Origin of adakitic intrusives generated during mid-Miocene east–west extension in southern Tibet. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 220(1–2), 139–155. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x\(04\)00007-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(04)00007-x)
- Hu, H. X., Lu, H. X., & Wang, C. Y. (1986). Explosion investigation of the crustal structure in Western Yunnan Province. *Acta Geophysica Sinica*, 29, 133–144. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Institute of Geophysics and Academia Sinica. (1981). Explosion seismic study for velocity distribution and structure of the crust and upper mantle from Danxung to Yasong of Xizang Plateau. *Acta Geophysica Sinica*, 24, 155–170. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Jaupart, C., & Mareschal, J. C. (2011). *Heat generation and transport in the Earth* (p. 464). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511781>
- Jia, S. X., Guo, W. B., Mooney, W. D., Wang, F., Duan, Y., Zhao, J., et al. (2019). Crustal structure of the middle segment of the Qilian fold belt and the coupling mechanism of its associated basin and range system. *Tectonophysics*, 770, 128–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.06.024>
- Jia, S. X., Liu, B. J., Xu, Z. F., Liu, Z., Feng, S., Zhang, J., et al. (2014). The crustal structures of the central Longmenshan along and its margins as related to the seismotectonics of the 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake. *Science China Earth Sciences*, 57(4), 777–790. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-013-4744-9>
- Jiang, G. Z., Hu, S. B., Shi, Y. Z., Zhang, C., Wang, Z., & Hu, D. (2019). Terrestrial heat flow of continental China: Updated dataset and tectonic implications. *Tectonophysics*, 753, 36–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.01.006>
- Jiang, M., Galvé, A., Hirn, A., de Voogd, B., Laigle, M., Su, H., et al. (2006). Crustal thickening and variations in architecture from the Qaidam basin to the Qang Tang (North-Central Tibetan Plateau) from wide-angle reflection seismology. *Tectonophysics*, 412(3–4), 121–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2005.09.011>
- Jiménez-Munt, I., Fernández, M., Vergés, J., & Platt, J. P. (2008). Lithosphere structure underneath the Tibetan Plateau inferred from elevation, gravity and geoid anomalies. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 267(1–2), 276–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.11.045>
- Karplus, M. S., Zhao, W., Klemperer, S. L., Wu, Z., Mechie, J., Shi, D., et al. (2011). Injection of Tibetan crust beneath the south Qaidam Basin: Evidence from INDEPTH IV wide-angle seismic data. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116(B7), B07301. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010jb007911>
- Klemperer, S. L. (2006). Crustal flow in Tibet: Geophysical evidence for the physical state of Tibetan lithosphere, and inferred patterns of active flow. *Geological Society of London*, 268(1), 39–70. <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2006.268.01.03>
- Kufner, S. K., Schurr, B., Sippl, C., Yuan, X., Ratschbacher, L., Akbar, A. S. M., et al. (2016). Deep India meets deep Asia: Lithospheric indentation, delamination and break-off under Pamir and Hindu Kush (central Asia). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 435, 171–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.11.046>
- Li, J. T., & Song, X. D. (2018). Tearing of Indian mantle lithosphere from high-resolution seismic images and its implications for lithosphere coupling in southern Tibet. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(33), 8296–8300. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1717258115>
- Li, Q. H., Guo, J. K., Zhou, M. D., Wei, D. Q., Fan, B., & Hou, X. Y. (1991). The crustal velocity structure of Chengxian-Xiji profile. *Northwestern Seismological Journal*, 13, 37–43. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li, Q. S., Peng, S. P., Gao, R., & Fan, J. Y. (2003). Seismic evidence of the basement uplift in the Bayan Har tectonic belt, Qinghai and its tectonic significance. *Geological Bulletin of China*, 22, 782–788. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li, S. L., Zhang, X. K., Ren, Q. F., Zhang, C. K., Shi, J. H., Zhao, J. R., et al. (2001). Seismic Sounding Profile and its Interpretation in the Region of Xiji-Zhongwei. *Seismology and Geology*, 23(1), 86–92. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li, W. H., Gao, R., Wang, H. Y., Li, L.-K., Li, H. Q., Hou, H. S., et al. (2017). Crustal structure beneath the Liupanshan fault zone and adjacent regions. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 60, 2265–2278. (in Chinese with English abstract). <https://doi.org/10.6038/cjg20170619>
- Li, Y. K., Gao, R., & Gao, J. W. (2015). Characteristics of crustal velocity structure along Qingling orogenic belt. *Progress in Geophysics*, 30, 1056–1069. <https://doi.org/10.6038/pg20150309>
- Li, Y. H., Gao, M. T., & Wu, Q. G. (2014). Crustal thickness map of the Chinese mainland from teleseismic receiver functions. *Tectonophysics*, 611, 51–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.11.019>
- Liang, C., & Song, X. (2006). A low velocity belt beneath northern and eastern Tibetan Plateau from Pn tomography. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(22), L22306. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027926>
- Liang, X. F., Sandvol, E., Chen, Y. J., Hearn, T., Ni, J., Klemperer, S., et al. (2012). A complex Tibetan upper mantle: A fragmented Indian slab and no south-verging subduction of Eurasian lithosphere. *Earth Planetary Science Letters*, 333–334, 101–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.03.036>
- Lithgow-Bertelloni, C. P. (1998). Dynamic topography, plate driving forces and the African superswell. *Nature*, 395(6699), 269–272. <https://doi.org/10.1038/26212>

- Liu, C. Z., Wu, F. Y., Chung, S. L., & Zhao, Z. D. (2011). Fragments of hot and metasomatized mantle lithosphere in Middle Miocene ultrapotassic lavas, southern Tibet. *Geology*, *39*(10), 923–926. <https://doi.org/10.1130/g32172.1>
- Liu, H. B., Teng, J. W., & Yin, Z. X. (1990). Characteristics of the 2-D crustal structure and fault distribution beneath the Seilin Co Yangamdo in the northern Xizang plateau. *Bulletin of the Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences*, *21*, 259–267. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Liu, M. J., Mooney, W. D., Li, S. L., Okaya, N., & Detweiler, S. (2006). Crustal structure of the northeastern margin of the Tibetan plateau from the Songpan-Ganzi terrane to the Ordos basin. *Tectonophysics*, *420*(1–2), 253–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2006.01.025>
- Li, S. L., Zhang, X. K., Zhang, C. K., Zhao, J. R., & Cheng, S. X. (2002). A preliminary study on the crustal velocity structure of Maqin-Lanzhou-Jingbian by means of deep seismic sounding profile. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, *45*, 210–217. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Lu, D. Y., & Wang, X. J. (1990). The crustal structure and deep internal processes in the Tuotuohe-Golmuc area of the north Qinghai-Xizang Plateau. *Bulletin of the Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences*, *21*, 227–237. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Makarov, V. I., Alekseev, D. V., Batalev, V. Y., Bataleva, E. A., Belyaev, I. V., Bragin, V. D., et al. (2010). Underthrusting of Tarim beneath the Tien Shan and deep structure of their junction zone: Main results of seismic experiment along MANAS Profile Kashgar-Song-Köl. *Geotectonics*, *44*(2), 102–126. <https://doi.org/10.1134/s0016852110020020>
- McKenzie, D. P., & Priestley, K. F. (2008). The influence of lithospheric thickness variations on continental evolution. *Lithos*, *102*(1–2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2007.05.005>
- McNamara, D. E., Walter, W. R., Owens, T. J., & Ammon, C. J. (1997). Upper mantle velocity structure beneath the Tibetan Plateau from Pn travel time tomography. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *102*(B1), 493–505. <https://doi.org/10.1029/96JB02112>
- Mechie, J., Sobolev, S., Ratschbacher, L., Babeyko, A. Y., Bock, G., Jones, A., et al. (2004). Precise temperature estimation in the Tibetan crust from seismic detection of the  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  quartz transition. *Geology*, *32*(7), 601. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G20367.1>
- Mechie, J., Zhao, W. J., Karplus, M. S., Wu, Z., Meissner, R., Shi, D., et al. (2012). Crustal shear (S) velocity and Poisson's ratio structure along the INDEPTH IV profile in northeast Tibet as derived from wide-angle seismic data. *Geophysical Journal International*, *191*(2), 369–384. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.2012.05616.x>
- Melosh, H. J., & Raefsky, A. (1980). The dynamical origin of subduction zone topography. *Geophysical Journal International*, *60*(3), 333–354. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.1980.tb04812.x>
- Min, X. Y., Zhou, M. D., & Guo, J. K. (1991). The crustal velocity structure in Lingtai-Amuquhu profile. *Northwestern Seismological Journal*, *13*, 29–36. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Murodov, D., Zhao, J. M., Xu, Q., Liu, H., & Pei, S. (2018). Complex N–S variations in Moho depth and Vp/Vs ratio beneath the Western Tibetan Plateau as revealed by receiver function analysis. *Geophysical Journal International*, *214*(2), 895–906. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggy170>
- Nabelek, J., Hetenyi, G., Vergne, J., Sapkota, S., Kafle, B., Jiang, M., et al. (2009). Underplating in the Himalaya-Tibet collision zone revealed by the Hi-CLIMB experiment. *Science*, *325*(5946), 1371–1374. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1167719>
- Ni, J., & Barazangi, M. (1983). High-frequency seismic wave propagation beneath the Indian Shield, Himalayan arc, Tibetan Plateau and surrounding regions: High uppermost mantle velocities and efficient Sn propagation beneath Tibet. *Geophysical Journal International*, *72*(3), 665–689. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.1983.tb02826.x>
- Pan, S., & Niu, F. (2011). Large contrasts in crustal structure and composition between the Ordos plateau and the NE Tibetan plateau from receiver function analysis. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *303*, 291–298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.01.007>
- Pavlis, N. K., Holmes, S. A., Kenyon, S. C., & Factor, J. K. (2012). The development and evaluation of the Earth Gravitational Model 2008 (EGM2008). *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *117*(B4). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008916>
- Pollack, H. N., & Chapman, D. S. (1977). On the regional variation of heat flow, geotherms and the thickness of the lithosphere. *Tectonophysics*, *38*(3–4), 279–296. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(77\)90215-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(77)90215-3)
- Pollack, H. N., Hurter, S. J., & Johnson, J. R. (1993). Heat flow from the Earth's interior: Analysis of the global data set. *Reviews of Geophysics*, *31*(3), 267–280. <https://doi.org/10.1029/93RG01249>
- Poudjom Djomani, Y. H. P., O'Reilly, S. Y., Griffin, W., & Morgan, P. (2001). The density structure of subcontinental lithosphere through time. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *184*(3–4), 605–621. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x\(00\)00362-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(00)00362-9)
- Roger, F., Jolivet, M., & Malavieille, J. (2010). The tectonic evolution of the Songpan-Garzê (North Tibet) and adjacent areas from proterozoic to present: A synthesis. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, *39*(4), 254–269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseas.2010.03.008>
- Rudnick, L. R., McDonough, W. F., & O'Connell, R. J. (1998). Thermal structure, thickness and composition of continental lithosphere. *Chemical Geology*, *145*(3–4), 395–411. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541\(97\)00151-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(97)00151-4)
- Sandwell, D., & Schubert, G. (1982). Lithospheric flexure at fracture zones. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *87*(B6), 4657–4667. <https://doi.org/10.1029/jb087ib06p04657>
- Shi, D., Klemperer, S. L., Shi, J., Wu, Z., & Zhao, W. (2020). Localized foundering of Indian lower crust in the India-Tibet collision zone. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *117*(40), 24742–24747. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2000015117>
- Styron, R., Taylor, M., & Okoronkwo, K. (2010). HimaTibetMap-1.0: New 'web-2.0' online database of active structures from the Indo-Asian collision. *Eos*, *91*(20), 181. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010EO200001>
- Tapponnier, P., Xu, Z. Q., Roger, F., Meyer, B., Arnaud, N., Wittlinger, G., & Jingsui, Y. (2001). Oblique stepwise rise and growth of the Tibetan Plateau. *Science*, *294*(5547), 1671–1677. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.105978>
- Teng, J. W., Li, S. L., Zhang, Y. Q., & Wang, F. Y. (2014). Fine velocity structures and deep processes in crust and mantle of the Qinling orogenic belt and the adjacent North China craton and Yangtze craton. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, *57*, 3154–3175. (in Chinese with English abstract). <https://doi.org/10.6038/cjg20141006>
- Teng, J. W., Sun, K. Z., Xiong, S. B., Yin Zhou-Xun Yao Hung, & Chen Li-Fang (1983). Deep seismic reflection waves and structure of the crust from Dangxung to Yadong on the Xizang plateau (Tibet). *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, *31*(4), 293–306. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201\(83\)90089-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(83)90089-4)
- Teng, J. W., Xiong, S. B., & Yin, Z. X. (1983). Structure of the crust and upper mantle pattern and velocity distributional characteristics at northern region of the Himalayan mountains. *Acta Geophysica Sinica*, *26*, 525–540. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Tian, X., Chen, Y., Tseng, T., Klemperer, S. L., Thybo, H., Liu, Z., et al. (2015). Weakly Coupled Lithospheric Extension in Southern Tibet. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *430*, 171–177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.08.025>
- Tilmann, F., & Ni, J. (2003). Seismic imaging of the downwelling Indian lithosphere beneath central Tibet. *Science*, *300*(5624), 1424–1427. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1082777>
- Unsworth, M., Wenbo, W., Jones, A. G., Bedrosian, P., Booker, J., Sheng, J., et al. (2004). Crustal and upper mantle structure of northern Tibet imaged with magnetotelluric data. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *109*(B2), B02403. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JB002305>
- Vozar, J., Jones, A. G., Fullea, J., Agius, M. R., Lebedev, S., Le Pape, F., & Wei, W. (2014). Integrated geophysical-petrological modeling of lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary in central Tibet using electromagnetic and seismic data. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *15*(10), 3965–3988. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005365>



- Wang, C. Y., Chen, W. P., & Wang, L. O. (2013). Temperature beneath Tibet. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 375, 326–337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.05.052>
- Wang, C. Y., Han, W. B., Wu, J. P., Lou, H., & Chan, W. W. (2007). Crustal structure beneath the eastern margin of the Tibetan Plateau and its tectonic implications. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112(B7), B07307. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JB003873>
- Wang, G. C., Thybo, H., & Artemieva, I. M. (2021). No mafic layer in 80 km thick Tibetan crust. *Nature Communications*, 12(1), 1069. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21420-z>
- Wang, Q., Hawkesworth, C. J., Wyman, D., Chung, S. L., Wu, F. Y., Li, X. H., et al. (2016). Pliocene Quaternary crustal melting in central and northern Tibet and insights into crustal flow. *Nature Communications*, 7(1), 11888. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11888>
- Wang, S. J., Liu, B. J., Tian, X. F., Song, X., Deng, X., Sun, Y., et al. (2018). Crustal P-wave velocity structure in the northeastern margin of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau and insights into crustal deformation. *Science China Earth Sciences*, 61(9), 1221–1237. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-017-9227-7>
- Wang, S. J., Liu, B. J., Zhang, J. S., Liu, B. F., Duan, Y., Song, X., et al. (2015). Study on the velocity structure of the crust in southwest Yunnan of the north-south seismic belt—Results from the Menghai-Gengma-Lushui deep seismic sounding profile. *Science China Earth Sciences*, 58(12), 2175–2187. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-015-5189-0>
- Wang, S. J., Wang, F. Y., Zhang, J. S., Liu, B. F., Zhang, C. K., Zhao, J. R., et al. (2015). The deep seismogenic environment of Lushan Ms7.0 earthquake zone revealed by a wide-angle reflection/refraction seismic profile. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 58, 3193–3204. (in Chinese with English abstract). <https://doi.org/10.6038/cjg20150915>
- Wang, S. Q., & Klemperer, S. L. (2021). Love-wave normal modes discriminate between upper-mantle and crustal earthquakes: Simulation and demonstration in Tibet. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 571, 117089. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2021.117089>
- Wang, W., Wu, J., Fang, L., Lai, G., & Cai, Y. (2017). Sedimentary and crustal thicknesses and Poisson's ratios for the NE Tibetan Plateau and its adjacent regions based on dense seismic arrays. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 462, 76–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.12.040>
- Wang, Y. X., Mooney, W. D., Huan, G. H., Yuan, X. C., & Jiang, M. (2005). Crustal P-wave velocity structure from Altyn Tagh to Longmen mountains along the Taiwan-Altay geoscience transect. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 48(1), 98–106. (in Chinese with English abstract). <https://doi.org/10.1002/cjg2.632>
- Wang, Y. X., Mooney, W. D., Yuan, X. C., & Okaya, N. (2013). Crustal structure of the northeastern Tibetan plateau from the Southern Tarim Basin to the Sichuan Basin, China. *Tectonophysics*, 584, 191–208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.09.003>
- Watts, A. B. (2001). *Isostasy and flexure of the lithosphere* (p. 458). Cambridge University Press.
- Wei, W. B., Unsworth, M., Jones, A., Booker, J., Tan, H., Nelson, D., et al. (2001). Detection of widespread fluids in the Tibetan crust by magnetotelluric studies. *Science*, 292(5517), 716–719. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1010580>
- Xia, B., Thybo, H., & Artemieva, I. M. (2020). Lithosphere mantle density of the North China craton. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 125(9), e2020JB020296. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020jb020296>
- Xiong, S. B., & Liu, H. B. (1997). Crustal structure in Western Tibetan plateau. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 42(8), 665–669. (in Chinese). <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03182647>
- Xiong, S. B., Zheng, Y., & Yin, Z. X. (1993). The 2-D structure and its tectonic implications of the crust in the Lijiang-Panzhihua-Zhejiang region. *Acta Geophysica Sinica*, 36, 434–444. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xu, T., Zhang, Z. J., Liu, B. F., Chen, Y., Zhang, M., Tian, X., et al. (2015). Crustal velocity structure in the Emeishan large igneous province and evidence of the Permian mantle plume activity. *Science China Earth Sciences*, 45(7), 561–576. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-015-5094-6>
- Xu, X., Niu, F., Ding, Z., & Chen, Q. (2018). Complicated crustal deformation beneath the NE margin of the Tibetan plateau and its adjacent areas revealed by multi-station receiver-function gathering. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 497, 204–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.06.010>
- Yin, A., & Harrison, T. M. (2000). Geologic evolution of the Himalayan-Tibetan orogen. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 28(1), 211–280. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.28.1.211>
- Yin, Z. X., & Xiong, S. B. (1992). Explosion seismic study for the 2-D crustal structure in Xichang-Sukou-Muding Region. *Acta Geophysica Sinica*, 35, 451–458. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Yue, H., Chen, Y. J., Sandvol, E. A., Ni, J. F., Hearn, T. M., Zhou, S., et al. (2012). Lithospheric and upper mantle structure of the northeastern Tibetan Plateau. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, B5, 117. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008545>
- Zhang, J. S., Wang, F. Y., & Liu, B. F. (2014). A study of the crust-mantle velocity structure beneath the Yushu earthquake zone and its adjacent areas. *Seismology and Geology*, 36, 322–332. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, S. Q., Wu, L. J., Guo, J. M., Chen, X. B., Zhao, J. X., Ding, Y. Y., et al. (1985). An interpretation of the DSS data on Menyuan-Pingling-Weinan profile in west China. *Acta Geophysica Sinica*, 28, 460–472. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, X. K., Jia, S. X., & Zhao, J. R. (2008). Crustal structures beneath West Qinling-East Kunlun orogen and its adjacent area—results of wide-angle seismic reflection and refraction experiment. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 51, 439–450. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, X. Y., Gao, R., Bai, Z. M., Xu, T., & Li, Q. S. (2017). Crustal structure beneath the Longmenshan area in eastern Tibet: New constraints from reprocessing wide-angle seismic data of the Aba-Longmenshan-Suining profile. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 60, 2200–2212. (in Chinese with English abstract). <https://doi.org/10.6038/dig20170614>
- Zhang, Z., Xu, C. M., Meng, B. Z., Liu, C., & Teng, J. W. (2007). Crustal reflectivity characters from the Eryuan-Jiangchuan wide-angle seismic profile. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 50, 1082–1088. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, Z., Zhao, B., Zhang, X., & Liu, C. (2006). Crustal structure beneath the wide-angle seismic profile between Simao and Zhongdian in Yunnan. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 49, 1377–1384. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, Z. J., Bai, Z. M., Klemperer, S., Tian, X., Xu, T., Chen, Y., & Teng, J. (2013). Crustal structure across northeastern Tibet from wide-angle seismic profiling: Constraints on the Caledonian Qilian orogeny and its reactivation. *Tectonophysics*, 606, 140–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.02.040>
- Zhang, Z. J., Bai, Z. M., Mooney, W., Wang, C., Chen, X., Wang, E., et al. (2009). Crustal structure across the Three Gorges area of the Yangtze platform, central China, from seismic refraction/wide-angle reflection data. *Tectonophysics*, 475(3–4), 423–437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2009.05.022>
- Zhang, Z. J., Bai, Z. M., Wang, C. Y., Teng, J., Lu, Q., Li, J., et al. (2005a). Crustal structure of Gondwana- and Yangtze-type blocks: An example by wide-angle seismic profile from Menglian to Malong in Western Yun-nan. *Science in China - Series D: Earth Sciences*, 48(11), 1828–1836. <https://doi.org/10.1360/03yd0547>
- Zhang, Z. J., Bai, Z. M., Wang, C. Y., Teng, J., Lu, Q., Li, J., et al. (2005b). The crustal structure under Sanjiang and its dynamic implications: Revealed by seismic reflection/refraction profile between Zhefang and Binchuan, Yunnan. *Science in China - Series D: Earth Sciences*, 48(9), 1329–1336. <https://doi.org/10.1360/01yd0567>

- Zhang, Z. J., Deng, Y. F., Teng, J. W., Wang, C., Gao, R., Chen, Y., & Fan, W. (2011). An overview of the crustal structure of the Tibetan plateau after 35 years of deep seismic soundings. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, *40*(4), 977–989. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseas.2010.03.010>
- Zhang, Z. J., & Klempere, S. (2010). Crustal structure of the Tethyan Himalaya, southern Tibet: New constraints from old wide-angle seismic data. *Geophysical Journal International*, *181*, 1247–1260. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04578.x>
- Zhang, Z. J., Klempere, S., Bai, Z. M., Chen, Y., & Teng, J. W. (2011b). Crustal structure of the Paleozoic Kunlun orogeny from an active-source seismic profile between Moba and guide in east Tibet, China. *Gondwana Research*, *19*(4), 994–1007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2010.09.008>
- Zhang, Z. J., Li, Y. K., Wang, G. J., Teng, J., Klempere, S., Li, J., et al. (2001). East-west crustal structure and “down-bowing” Moho under the northern Tibet revealed by wide-angle seismic profile. *Science in China (Series D)*, *31*, 881–888.
- Zhang, Z. J., Teng, J. W., Li, Y. K., Klempere, S., & Yang, L. Q. (2002). Crustal structure of seismic velocity in southern Tibet and east-westward escape of the crustal material—An example by wide-angle seismic profile from Peigu Tso to Pumoyong Tso. *Science in China (Series D)*, *32*, 793–798. <https://doi.org/10.1360/zd2002-32-10-793>
- Zhen, D., & Yao, T. D. (2004). *Uplifting of Tibetan Plateaus with Its Environmental Effects*. Science Press. (pp. 59–116). ISBN:978-7-03-014581-9 (in Chinese).
- Zheng, D., Li, H., Shen, Y., Tan, J., Ouyang, L., & Li, X. (2016). Crustal and upper mantle structure beneath the northeastern Tibetan Plateau from joint analysis of receiver functions and Rayleigh wave dispersions. *Geophysical Journal International*, *204*, 583–590. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggv469>
- Zhao, J. M., Jin, Z. J., Mooney, W. D., Okaya, N., Wang, S., Gao, X., et al. (2013). Crustal structure of the central Qaidam basin imaged by seismic wide-angle reflection/refraction profiling. *Tectonophysics*, *584*, 174–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.09.005>
- Zhao, J. M., Mooney, W. D., Zhang, X. K., Li, Z., Jin, Z., & Okaya, N. (2006). Crustal structure across the Altyn Tagh Range at the northern margin of the Tibetan Plateau and tectonic implications. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *241*(3–4), 804–814. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.11.003>
- Zhao, J. M., Yuan, X. H., Liu, H. B., Kumar, P., Pei, S., Kind, R., et al. (2010). The boundary between the Indian and Asian tectonic plates below Tibet. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *107*(25), 11229–11233. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1001921107>
- Zhao, W. J., Kumar, P., Mechie, J., Kind, R., Meissner, R., Wu, Z., et al. (2011). Tibetan plate overriding the Asian plate in central and northern Tibet. *Nature Geoscience*, *4*(12), 870–873. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1309>
- Zhu, D. C., Zhao, Z. D., Niu, Y., Dilek, Y., Hou, Z. Q., & Mo, X. X. (2013). The origin and pre-Cenozoic evolution of the Tibetan Plateau. *Gondwana Research*, *23*(4), 1429–1454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2012.02.002>