Supplement of Biogeosciences, 21, 3819–3838, 2024 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-21-3819-2024-supplement © Author(s) 2024. CC BY 4.0 License.





Supplement of

Influence of wind strength and direction on diffusive methane fluxes and atmospheric methane concentrations above the North Sea

Ingeborg Bussmann et al.

Correspondence to: Ingeborg Bussmann (ingeborg.bussmann@awi.de)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

1 Supplement

Hydrographic parameters:

In the RV Ludwig Prandtl, the built-in FerryBox (Petersen, 2014) recorded data using a Teledyne RDI thermosalinograph (temperature and salinity), Aanderaa oxygen optode 4330 (oxygen concentration and saturation), Meinsberg pH electrode (pH values), and SCUFA submersible chlorophyll fluorometer (turbidity and fluorescence). The data were stored on board and automatically sent via a mobile phone connection to the Hereon database. The water flow in the FerryBox was approximately 12 L min/1. In the RV Mya II, the built in Ferrybox recorded data using a salinometer (FSI), and SBE38 Digital Oceanographic Thermometer (SBE38) for salinity and temperature. For pH measurements a Meinsberg pH Sensor (EGA150) was used and for oxygen an Aanderaa oxygen optode (4330). On the RV Uthörn and RV Littorina, a portable pocket FerryBox (4HJena, Germany) was used to record the hydrographic parameters using the following sensors: Seabird SBE45 thermosalinograph, Aanderaa oxygen optode 4835, Meinsberg pH electrode (EGA150), Seapoint Chlorophyll Fluorometer (SCF), and Seapoint Turbidity Meter. The water flow was between 3 and 4 L min/1. Data were saved once per minute.

Methane analysis:




Fig. S1: Regression lines with 95% confidence interval for the instruments on RV Mya and RV Littorina on Stern-5. The slope and r² for the other calibrations were: 39.51; 20.17; 21.77 ppm to nM for Stern-3 Uthörn, Littorina and Prandtl with the respective r² of 0.97; 0.96 and 0.97. For Stern-5 the slopes were 22.65; 26.92; 23.42 for Stern-5 Mya, Littorina and Prandtl with the respective r² of 0.8; 0.99 and 0.98.






Figure S2: Histograms for the dissolved methane concentrations (nmol /L) for Stern-3 (left panel) and Stern-5 (right panel)



#1	coastal sea of the Elbe River	590000003		
#2	Helgoland	590000002		
#3	Piep Tidal basin	950000001		
#4	Outer Elbe North	590000006		
#5	western Wadden Sea of the Elbe	590000005		
#6	eastern Wadden Sea of the Weser River	490000003		
#7	open coastal sea of the Weser	490000004		
#8	coastal sea of the Weser	490000005		

Figure S3: Marine ecosystems of the German Bight, with the areas of eastern Wadden Sea of the Weser, open coastal sea of the Weser, coastal sea of the Weser, Helgoland, coastal sea of the Elbe, western Wadden Sea of the Elbe, Outer Elbe North and Piep Tidal basin all indicated with their respective key numbers. Modified after https://oekosystematlas-ugr.destatis.de






Figure S4: Concentrations of dissolved CH₄ (top), diffusive CH₄ flux (bottom) on 31.8; 1.9; 2.9 and 3.9.2020 (from left to right). Schlitzer, Reiner, Ocean Data View, odv.awi.de, 2024




Fig. S5: Range of diffusive fluxes calculated with all in-situ data from 2019 (flux-1), with in-situ data and atmospheric CH₄ from the land station (flux-2) and within-situ data and atmospheric CH₄ and wind from a land stations (flux-3). The calculations were performed for the region of Bremerhaven (blue), for Cuxhaven (green) and Helgoland (red, see Fig. 2).

Histogram of Stern_3_all\$diff_flux




Figure S6: Frequency distribution (n) of the diffusive fluxes (flux-1, in µmol m⁻² d⁻¹) in September 2019

Histogram of Stern_5_all\$diff_flux




Figure S7: Frequency distribution (n) of the diffusive fluxes (flux-1, in µmol m⁻² d⁻¹) in September 2020

Table S1: Excel sheet for the calculation of the area weighted flux.

		total number of samples		total area (m^2)				
		3028		3779470000				
					sum of relative flux			
					(µmol/d)	sum of relative flux		
					(SUMME(F7:F48))	(kmol/d)		
					6.00217E+11	600		
					20747454895	21	std deviation	from column F
scores / bins range	mean diffusive flux of	Freqency (number of	relative frequency	relative area in	relative flux in			
(µmol/m2/d)	each bin (µmol/m2/d)	samples in this bin)	(C7/\$C\$2)	m^2 (D7*\$E\$2)	μmol/d (E7*B7)			
(0,100]	34	2026	0.669	2528799941	86485460243			
(100,200]	140	636	0.210	793838480.8	1.1112E+11			
(200,300]	233	156	0.052	194715099.1	45438933283			
(300,400]	334	32	0.011	39941558.78	13354141174			
(400,500]	459	17	0.006	21218953.1	9730448397			
(500,600]	556	26	0.009	32452516.51	18044021432			
(600,700]	662	21	0.007	26211647.95	17348183034			




Figure S8: Backward trajectory over 24 hours for air mass at 10 m height for 1 and 3 September 2020. The star indicates an arbitrary point in our study area.