1	SUPPLEMENTARY INFROMATION
2	
3	Pronounced diel cycling of dissolved carbohydrates and amino acids in the surface ocean and
4	across diverse regimes
5	
6	
7	Authors: Theresa Barthelmeß ^{1*} , Antonia Cristi ² , Stacy Deppeler ² , Karl Safi ³ , Karine Sellegri ⁴ ,
8	Cliff S. Law ^{2,3} , and Anja Engel ¹
9	
10	¹ GEOMAR, Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, 24105 Kiel, Germany.
11	² National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA), 6021 Wellington, New Zealand.
12	³ National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA), 3216 Hamilton, New Zealand.
13	⁴ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, Laboratoire de Météorologie Physique (LaMP), 63000
14	Clermont-Ferrand, France.
15	⁵ Department of Marine Sciences, University of Otago, 9016 Dunedin, New Zealand.
16	
17	*Corresponding author
18	Email: tbarthelmess@geomar.de
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	List of content
25	
26	Figure S1. Map of stations sampled, p. S1.
27	Figure S2. Regime dependent community composition, p. S2.
28	Figure S3. Relative molecular change in DCCHO and DAA over the course of the day and across
29	regimes, p. S7.
30	Figure S4. Data on the dependence of DAA concentration and degradation index on viral particle
31	abundance, p. S4.
52 33	Table S1 Number of samples in S5
34	Table S2. Overview of averaged concentrations, p. S5.
35	Table S3. Overview of averaged concentration of the main molecular contributors to diel
36	turnover, p. S6.
37	Table S4. Overview of values and literature used to calculate diel turnover, p. S8.
38	
39	Methods, p. S1 -S6.
40	Discussion, p. S6.
41	Keierences, p. 59.
42	

1 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION



Figure S1. Stations along the cruise track (TAN2003) divided into the four regimes as encountered in the South Pacific Ocean. East of New Zealand, subtropical and sub-Antarctic water masses converge along the Chatham Rise. Green represents nutrient replete while purple represents nutrient deplete conditions. Blue contours decode for depth.

2

3 METHODS

4

5 Microbial community

6 Fractionized Chl a concentration, phytoplankton and bacterial abundances were analyzed. Chl a 7 concentration was fractionized into particles larger than 20 µm, 2-20 µm and 0.2-2 µm by filtering 8 250 mL seawater sequentially through 47 mm polycarbonate filters. Filters were stored at -80°C 9 until analysis. Chl a was extracted with 90% acetone and measured by spectrofluorometry (Varian 10 Carey spectrofluorometer). Total Chl a concentration represents the sum of its fractions. Samples for phytoplankton and bacterial abundance were fixed with 0.5% glutaraldehyde (GDA), flash 11 frozen and stored at -80°C, until analyzed by flow cytometry (Accuri™ C6 Plus, BD Biosciences). 12 Phytoplankton size groupings corresponded to the size fractioning of Chl a concentration: Nano-13 phytoplankton included cells larger than 2µm, while pico-phytoplankton cells were smaller than 14 2µm. Bacterial cells were stained with SYBR Green II. Eukaryotic phytoplankton and prokaryotic 15 picoplankton (Synechococcus spp.) were identified through their auto-fluorescent pigments. Viral 16 particle counts were analyzed with the same method as described above for bacterial abundance 17 18 (FACSCalibur, BD Biosciences). For viral counts, particles smaller than 0.5 µm (size fractions I 19 and II) and smaller than 0.22 µm (category III) were distinguished. Only the smallest category was included into the analysis as it roughly corresponded to the diel cycle. 20



Figure S2. Phytoplankton community composition between the four regimes i.e. the Subtropical front (STF). mixed waters (Mix). Sub-Antarctic waters (SAW). and subtropical waters (STW) in chlorophyll a concentration (chla) and abundance data generated by flow cytometry (flocyt). Chlorophyll *a* fractions and abundance are distinguishing according to approximate size classes of phytoplankton cells.

1

2 Statistics

3 Due to the limited data available per time spot within each regime, the GAM model fit was based4 on an assumed normal distribution of the data. Three negative values of PCCHO were excluded

4 on an assumed normal distribution of the data. Three negative values of receive were excluded

5 before computing the GAM. It should be considered that while DOM release is likely followed by

6 continuous consumption, its release could be steady (possibly the case in the afternoon with regards

7 to DCCHO) or sudden (possibly the case at night, depending on the degree of synchronization of

8 viral lysate pulses or grazing activity). In Figure 2a-d, we thus show the simplified and symmetric

9 diel cycling of DOM as estimated curves. At least diel glucan anabolism and catabolism within the

10 phytoplankton cell is symmetric ¹ and corresponds to the approximated diel curves represented in

11 Figure 2a, b.

12

13 Turnover calculations and its limitations

14 Turnover and the respective rates were calculated from the periodic diel shifts in DOM 15 concentration, including both DCCHO and DAA. Therefore, the daily median minimum was 16 subtracted from the daily median maximum. The estimated turnover is conservative as the minima and maxima of the natural diel cycles most certainly did not match our sampling schedule. Because 17 the diel cycling of DCCHO and DAA was decoupled, the exact temporal distances between the 18 19 maxima and minima were neglected and set to half a day (12 hours), which enabled us to calculate 20 the pooled carbon and nitrogen turnover rates. Rates represent likewise release (positive rate) and 21 degradation (negative rate).

22

23 We are aware of limitations in respect to how turnover rates were calculated here. In comparison

- to other studies but quantifying the particulate (intracellular) carbon pool, our time resolution was
- 25 broader as it covered an eight-hour period instead of e.g. two to four hours ^{2, 3}. A shorter time period
- 26 is certainly more relevant if diverse metabolic pathways of anabolism and catabolism within the

1 phytoplankton cell cycle are targeted. However, we intended to capture the well-known diel cycle

- 2 of phytoplankton production ⁴.
- 3

4 The Sea2Cloud voyage was dedicated to resolve primary and secondary marine aerosol formation 5 in relation to four different biogeochemical regimes, which we could unfortunately not monitor for 6 the planned three weeks. After ten days, we had to return to Wellington harbor due to the Covid-7 19 pandemic lockdown. Yet, we were able to show a robust signal of DCCHO and DAA cycling 8 despite of crossing diverse biogeochemical regions within short time. With regards to calculating 9 DOM turnover, we compensated for the scarcity in data by relying on median instead of mean values and restricting our analysis to two regimes, in which at least three data points per time of 10 11 day were available.

12

13 It should further be considered how TOC relates to DOC. The particulate organic carbon pool, 14 comprising all living biomass, accounts for a minor fraction of TOC (~2%), the combined fraction 15 of DOC (>1 kDa) accounts for already ~22% of TOC, while the remainder is truly dissolved ⁵. It 16 is thus reasonable to assume that TOC presents DOC fairly well and can be introduced as a proxy 17 for DOC. In our calculation, carbon and nitrogen turnover is based on average TOC and TON 18 concentrations, respectively. Especially in productive regimes, in which a higher faction of POC 19 attributes to TOC, turnover is thus likely underestimated.

20

To avoid confusion, the terms *'turnover'* and its respective *'rates'* are defined exclusively quantitatively, while *'flux'* describes the movement of organic matter in and out of certain pools e.g. from the particulate to the dissolved and therewith deviates from its strict mathematical definition. In this study, *'cycling'* describes the overall process that organic matter pools are replenished and depleted within a periodic rhythm. *'Degradation'* is defined as the enzymatic breakdown of organic

- 26 matter and/ or its transformation by microbes.
- 27

28 Degradation indices

29 While the degradation index (DI) is dependent on a subtle shift in the molecular composition of 30 DAA^{6,7}, the DAA-carbon yield (DAA-C yield) depends on the concentration of DAA⁸. To assign 31 fractions of DAA concentration to the different stages of degradation, i.e. refractory (degraded 32 within centuries), semi-labile (degraded within months to decades), and labile (degraded within hours to days), several assumptions are made ⁸: 1) Because the microbial turnover of the refractory 33 DAA pool is very slow (centuries), this fraction is basically the remainder after microbial 34 degradation, its concentration is therefore stable, and well-mixed within the water column (surface 35 36 to deep). By measuring the DAA concentration in very old (typically deep) waters, one can assume 37 that the same, absolute refractory DAA concentration is also present in surface waters (~85.5 nM) ⁸. 2) In contrast, microbial turnover of the labile DAA pool is very fast (hours to days), its 38 concentration is highest at its place of production and release (the surface ocean), and therefore 39 40 varies greatly in space and time. 3) The semi-labile DAA pool resides in-between those two 41 opposing states. Regionally, it is rather well-mixed within the surface ocean until downwelling and seasonal mixing equilibrates its concentration with the deep water ⁹. This relatively stable fraction 42

1 is assumed to be proportional to surface DOC concentrations ⁸. Together with the refractory

- 2 fraction, it represents 1.1-1.6% of DOC (DAA-C yield) ⁸. Notably, all DAA fractions (refractory
- 3 to labile) are characterized by differences in their molecular composition, i.e. the absolute DAA
- 4 concentrations [nM] are not first-order correlated to their respective DAA-C yields [%]. By making
- 5 these assumptions, and having surface DOC (here TOC) and DAA concentrations at hand, we could
- 6 deduce the labile DAA fraction.



Figure S4. a) Dissolved amino acid (DAA) concentration in dependence of viral particle abundance (III). b) Degradation index in dependence of viral particle abundance. Non-parametric *Spearman* rank tests with the coefficient *rho* indicated a significant correlation.

7

8 **DISCUSSION**

9

10 Lability of cycled DAA

It has been established for decades that certain amino acids, such as GIX and Arg, are preferred 11 over others in bacterial degradation experiments ¹⁰. Three major bacterial groups dominate marine 12 phytoplankton-associated communities of which one is specialized on the consumption of GIX, 13 Arg, Leu, Iso, and Val¹¹. During our campaign, an increase in the relative contribution of the same 14 amino acids characterized the night-time DAA peak and defined the degradation index. In contrast, 15 a relative increase of non-proteinogenous GABA has been associated with bacterial-driven organic 16 matter decay ¹² and relatively higher fractions of Ser, Gly, Ala characterize refractory organic 17 matter profiles ^{6, 7, 12}. We could show that the degradation index in the morning was minimal and 18 significantly differed from the degradation index at night. In incubation studies ¹², the water column 19 ^{6,12}, across seasons ¹³, and an oceanic front ¹⁴, reported changes in degradation indices were often 20 21 less pronounced than the reoccurring diel shifts which we observed within eight hours and despite 22 covering regimes of diverse biogeochemistry. As contrasting degradation indices and a decrease in labile substrate followed the night-time peak in DAA concentration, diel cycling of DAA and 23 DCCHO can be attributed to rapid bacterial degradation. 24 25

Variables	Sub-Antarctic water (SAW)	Subtropical water (STW)	Subtropical front (STF)	Mixed water (Mix)	afternoon (pm)	midnight (mi)	morning (am)
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> , TOC, AA and CCHO, DI (N=31)	n=10	n=3	n=12	n=6	n=10	n=10	n=11
TON (N=29)	n=9	n=3	n=11	n=6	n=10	n=8	n=11
Bacteria, virus particles (N=30)	n=9	n=3	n=12	n=6	n=10	n=10	n=10
Phytoplankton (N=29)	n=8	n=3	n=12	n=6	n=10	n=10	n=9

Table S1. Number of samples depending on factors and parameters.

Table S2. Overview of the mean concentration and standard deviation of bulk parameters divided into factorial categories. Only significant *p*-values are displayed, values for which this was not applicable have been replaced by n/a. Abbreviations: total organic carbon (TOC), total organic nitrogen (TON), particulate carbohydrates (PCHO), particulate amino acids (PAA), dissolved combined carbohydrates (DCCHO), dissolved amino acids (DAA), afternoon (pm), midnight (mi), morning (am), subtropical front (STF), mixed regimes (Mix), sub-Antarctic waters (SAW), subtropical waters (STW).

Factor		Time	of the day		Regime					
Category	pm	mi	am	art ANOVA	STF	Mix	SAW	STW	art ANOVA	
Data format	M ±SD	M ±SD	$M \pm SD$	<i>p-value</i> <0.05	$M \pm SD$	M ±SD	M ±SD	M ±SD	<i>p-value</i> <0.05	
TOC [µM]	81.1 ± 10.9	76.4 ± 15.0	76.7 ± 10.4	na	88.4 ± 8.4	74.4 ±2.4	68.8 ± 12.3	74.7 ±1.5	0.0000	
TON [µM]	7.11 ±2.11	6.76 ± 1.30	6.73 ± 1.27	na	8.24 ± 1.24	6.28 ± 0.47	5.66 ± 1.42	6.65 ±0.39	0.0024	
PCCHO [nM]	579 ±587	178 ±231	236 ± 312	0.0344	632 ± 552	199 ±202	96 ±69	124 ±91	0.0001	
PAA [nM]	537 ±299	276 ±218	523 ±443	0.0092	737 ± 352	324 ±232	233 ± 104	176 ±25	0.0001	
DCCHO [nM]	786 ± 326	680 ± 195	570 ± 200	0.0100	903 ±261	517 ±64	528 ± 79	607 ±221	0.0000	
DAA [nM]	392 ±141	477 ±126	305 ± 52	0.0006	437 ± 157	436 ± 109	348 ± 105	290 ±26	0.0075	
Degradation Index (DI)	-0.07 ±2.55	2.01 ±2.27	-1.57 ±1.22	0.0012	0.93 ± 2.68	0.84 ± 2.49	-0.27 ± 2.05	-2.63 ±2.05	0.0375	
Viral counts [10 ⁶ particles mL ⁻¹]	11.1 ±4.9	13.1 ±3.4	9.3 ±3.6	n/a	11.6 ± 4.0	12.8 ± 5.2	11.5 ±3.6	6.4 ± 1.1	n/a	
Bacteria [10 ⁶ cells mL ⁻¹]	2.67 ± 0.92	2.67 ± 1.23	2.70 ± 0.40	n/a	3.30 ± 0.84	2.75 ± 0.90	2.05 ± 0.379	1.71 ±0.41	0.0029	
Synechococcus [10 ³ cells mL ⁻¹]	62 ± 32	63 ±91	83 ±45	n/a	83 ±89	68 ±49	49 ±12	62 ±24	n/a	
Picophytoplankton [10 ³ cells mL ⁻¹]	12.0 ±4.6	14.2 ± 7.4	16.4 ±4.8	n/a	13.8 ± 8.0	15.6 ±4.5	13.9 ±4.0	12.6 ±4.7	n/a	
Nanophytoplankton [10 ³ cells mL ⁻¹]	1.43 ± 0.80	1.60 ± 0.79	1.77 ± 84	n/a	2.23 ± 0.64	0.88 ± 0.36	1.43 ±0.60	0.87 ± 0.06	0.0001	
total Chlorphyll <i>a</i> [mg m ⁻³]	1.12 ±0.90	0.97 ±0.63	1.15 ± 1.02	n/a	1.94 ±0.67	0.84 ±0.21	0.39 ±0.08	0.40 ±0.09	0.0001	
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> 0.2-2µm [mg m ⁻³]	0.28 ±0.16	0.32 ±0.21	0.34 ±0.16	n/a	0.44 ±0.20	0.36 ± 0.08	0.17 ± 0.05	0.21 ±0.06	0.0000	

Chlorophyll <i>a</i> 2-20µm [mg m ⁻³]	0.35 ±0.28	0.37 ±0.26	0.46 ± 0.47	n/a	0.70 ± 0.35	0.30 ± 0.14	0.14 ± 0.04	0.17 ± 0.05	0.0001
Chlorophyll $a > 20 \mu m [mg m^{-3}]$	0.49 ±0.54	0.29 ±0.31	0.36 ± 0.43	n/a	0.81 ±0.39	0.18 ±0.13	0.08 ± 0.05	0.02 ± 0.02	0.0000

Table S3. Overview of the concentration of the main dissolved amino acids (DAA) and main dissolved combined carbohydrates (DCCHO) contributing to diel carbon and nitrogen turnover separated by regimes.

Variable	Dissol	ved amino aci	ids (DAA)		Dissolved comb	ined carbohyd	rates (DCCHO)
Time of day		pm	mi	am		pm	mi	am
Data format	[nM]	$M \pm SD$	M ±SD	$M \pm SD$	[nM]	$M \pm SD$	M ±SD	M ±SD
Subtropical front (STF)	glutamic acid (GlX)	80 ± 50	87 ±14	31 ±9	glucose (Glc)	649 ± 195	461 ±73	323 ±250
(n=12)	glycine (Gly)	111 ±28	122 ±13	73 ±10	mannose/xylose (ManXyl)	163 ±11	172 ±16	135 ±17
	aspartic acid (AsX)	82 ±21	90 ±9	47 ±10	fucose (Fuc)	63 ±8	60 ±7	47 ±15
	alanine (Ala)	57 ±21	61 ±7	33 ±6	glucosamine (GlcN)	51 ±4	44 ±6	44 ±12
	arginine (Arg)	26 ±13	30 ±6	11 ±3	galactose (Gal)	71 ±4	64 ±7	60 ±5
	leucine (Leu)	19 ±8	23 ±3	8 ±2				
Mixed water (Mix)	glutamic acid (GlX)	55 ±18	98 ±22	42 ±15	glucose (Glc)	146 ±25	219 ±53	190 ±17
(n=6)	glycine (Gly)	99 ±8	119 ±9	90 ±13	mannose/xylose (ManXyl)	96 ±6	124 ±24	122 ±3
	aspartic acid (AsX)	62 ±0	91 ±5	62 ±11	fucose (Fuc)	39 ±3	38 ±3	39 ±5
	alanine (Ala)	45 ±0	65 ±3	45 ±9	glucosamine (GlcN)	47 ±2	40 ±4	45 ±11
	arginine (Arg)	15 ±1	17 ±1	10 ±1	galactose (Gal)	55 ±5	54 ±6	56 ±7
	leucine (Leu)	11 ±2	25 ±2	13 ±7				
Sub-Antarctic w. (SAW)	glutamic acid (GlX)	36 ±5	57 ±27	33 ±1	glucose (Glc)	167 ±29	185 ±84	91 ±20
(n=10)	glycine (Gly)	80 ±9	100 ±27	76 ±5	mannose/xylose (ManXyl)	128 ± 15	138 ±10	127 ±16
	aspartic acid (AsX)	52 ±10	68 ±20	51 ±3	fucose (Fuc)	39 ±3	39 ±6	33 ±1
	alanine (Ala)	36 ±5	48 ±17	36 ±1	glucosamine (GlcN)	50 ±3	47 ±6	46 ±2
	arginine (Arg)	14 ±1	23 ±10	13 ±2	galactose (Gal)	70 ±8	67 ±7	65 ±3
	leucine (Leu)	11 ±4	16 ±9	10 ±2				
Subtropical w. (STW)	glutamic acid (GlX)	24	34	37	glucose (Glc)	450	128	160
(n=3)	glycine (Gly)	72	73	82	mannose/xylose (ManXyl)	125	124	112
	aspartic acid (AsX)	43	47	55	fucose (Fuc)	51	41	36
	alanine (Ala)	40	38	39	glucosamine (GlcN)	48	39	41
	arginine (Arg)	4	6	12	galactose (Gal)	76	56	55
	leucine (Leu)	9	9	12				



Figure S3. Molecular composition in percent of **a-d**) dissolved combined carbohydrates (DCCHO) and **e-h**) dissolved amino acids (DAA) over the course of the day. Colors represent the molecular components which contributed most to diel turnover, while dark to light gray represent the remaining components. In the upper panels, the two regimes with replete nutrient concentrations are depicted and comprise the subtropical front (STF) and the mixed waters (Mix). Lower panels show the deplete regimes, including the sub-Antarctic (SAW) and subtropical waters (STW). Abbreviations: Afternoon (pm); Midnight (mi); Morning (am).

Table S4. Overview representing numbers used to calculate diel turnover (X) and turnover rates (d(n)/d(t)) from maximal and minimal concentrations of dissolved free and hydrolysable amino acids (DAA) and dissolved combined carbohydrates (DCCHO) in two regimes of the Southwestern Pacific Ocean i.e. the subtropical front (STF) and sub-Antarctic waters (SAW). DCCHO turnover rates are also represented in glucose (Glc) equivalents. Comparative literature values are listed below.

	Time	Regime	Concent	trations	Turnover rates			Literature Tur		nover	
			[µM C]	[µM N]	[nM C h ⁻¹]	[nM N h ⁻¹]	[nM Glc h ⁻¹]		C %	N %	
min DAA	am	SAW	1.751	0.405							
max DAA	mi	SAW	2.256	0.548							
delta DAA	8 hours	SAW	0.505	0.143	63.2	18.0		a, b, c			
min DAA	am	STF	1.606	0.370							
max DAA	mi	STF	3.204	0.808							
delta DAA	8 hours	STF	1.598	0.438	199.7	54.7		a, b, c			
min DCCHO	am	SAW	2.765	0.064							
max DCCHO	pm	SAW	3.323	0.075							
delta DCCHO	16 hours	SAW	0.558	0.011	34.9	0.7	5.8	d, e			
min DCCHO	am	STF	4.041	0.053							
max DCCHO	pm	STF	6.831	0.066							
delta DCCHO	16 hours	STF	2.790	0.013	174.3	0.8	29.1	d, e			
delta sum (DCCHO, DAA)	12 hours	SAW	1.063	0.154	88.6	12.9					
delta sum (DCCHO, DAA)	12 hours	STF	4.388	0.451	365.6	37.5					
TOC/TON (M ±SD)		SAW	68.8 ±12.3	8.2 ±1.2					1.6	2.7	
TOC/TON (M ±SD)		STF	88.4 ± 8.4	5.7 ±1.4					5.0	5.5	

a Uptake rates of dissolved free amino acids: 3.8-35.3 nM N h⁻¹, Fuhrman, (1987)

b Uptake rates of dissolved combined amino acids: 6.6-28.3 nM N h⁻¹, Rosenstock & Simon (1993)

c Further uptake rates of DAA reviewed by Berman & Bronk (2003)

d Laminarin degradation rates in Glc monomers: 1.6-34 nM Glc h⁻¹, as reviewed by Becker et al., (2020)

e Laminarin hydrolysis rates in Glc monomers: max. 20-22 nM Glc h⁻¹, Reintjes et al., (2019)

REFERENCES

(1) Van Oijen, T.; Van Leeuwe, M. A.; Granum, E.; Weissing, F. J.; Bellerby, R. G. J.; Gieskes, W. W. C.; De Baar, H. J. W. Light rather than iron controls photosynthate production and allocation in Southern Ocean phytoplankton populations during austral autumn. *Journal of Plankton Research* **2004**, *26* (8), 885-900. DOI: 10.1093/plankt/fbh088.

(2) Boysen, A. K.; Carlson, L. T.; Durham, B. P.; Groussman, R. D.; Aylward, F. O.; Ribalet, F.; Heal, K. R.; White, A. E.; DeLong, E. F.; Armbrust, E. V.; Ingalls, A. E. Particulate Metabolites and Transcripts Reflect Diel Oscillations of Microbial Activity in the Surface Ocean. *mSystems* **2023**, *8* (3). DOI: 10.1128/msystems.01083-22.

(3) Muratore, D.; Boysen, A. K.; Harke, M. J.; Becker, K. W.; Casey, J. R.; Coesel, S. N.; Mende, D. R.; Wilson, S. T.; Aylward, F. O.; Eppley, J. M.; Vislova, A.; Peng, S.; Rodriguez-Gonzalez, R. A.; Beckett, S. J.; Virginia Armbrust, E.; DeLong, E. F.; Karl, D. M.; White, A. E.; Zehr, J. P.; Van Mooy, B. A. S.; Dyhrman, S. T.; Ingalls, A. E.; Weitz, J. S. Complex marine microbial communities partition metabolism of scarce resources over the diel cycle. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* **2022**, *6* (2), 218-229. DOI: 10.1038/s41559-021-01606-w.

(4) Halsey, K. H.; Jones, B. M. Phytoplankton Strategies for Photosynthetic Energy Allocation. *Annual Review of Marine Science* **2015**, *7* (1), 265-297. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-marine-010814-015813.

(5) Benner, R.; Amon, R. M. W. The Size-Reactivity Continuum of Major Bioelements in the Ocean. *Annual Review of Marine Science* **2015**, *7* (1), 185-205. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135126.

(6) Kaiser, K.; Benner, R. Biochemical composition and size distribution of organic matter at the Pacific and Atlantic time-series stations. *Marine Chemistry* **2009**, *113* (1-2), 63-77. DOI: 10.1016/j.marchem.2008.12.004.

(7) Dauwe, B.; Middelburg, J. J.; Herman, P. M. J.; Heip, C. H. R. Linking diagenetic alteration of amino acids and bulk organic matter reactivity. *Limnology and Oceanography* **1999**, *44* (7), 1809-1814. DOI: 10.4319/lo.1999.44.7.1809.

(8) Davis, J.; Benner, R. Quantitative estimates of labile and semi-labile dissolved organic carbon in the western Arctic Ocean: A molecular approach. *Limnology and Oceanography* **2007**, *52* (6), 2434-2444. DOI: 10.4319/lo.2007.52.6.2434.

(9) Hansell, D. A. Recalcitrant dissolved organic carbon fractions. *Annual Review of Marine Science* **2013**, *5*, 421-445. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-marine-120710-100757.

(10) Amon, R. M. W.; Fitznar, H. P.; Benner, R. Linkages among the bioreactivity, chemical composition, and diagenetic state of marine dissolved organic matter. *Limnology and Oceanography* **2001**, *46* (2), 287-297. DOI: 10.4319/lo.2001.46.2.0287.

(11) Ferrer-González, F. X.; Widner, B.; Holderman, N. R.; Glushka, J.; Edison, A. S.; Kujawinski, E. B.; Moran, M. A. Resource partitioning of phytoplankton metabolites that support bacterial heterotrophy. *ISME Journal* **2021**, *15* (3), 762-773. DOI: 10.1038/s41396-020-00811-y. (12) Davis, J.; Kaiser, K.; Benner, R. Amino acid and amino sugar yields and compositions as indicators of dissolved organic matter diagenesis. *Organic Geochemistry* **2009**, *40* (3), 343-352. DOI: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2008.12.003.

(13) Barthelmeß, T.; Engel, A. How biogenic polymers control surfactant dynamics in the surface microlayer: insights from a coastal Baltic Sea study. *Biogeosciences* **2022**, *19* (20), 4965-4992. DOI: 10.5194/bg-19-4965-2022.

(14) Barthelmeß, T.; Schütte, F.; Engel, A. Variability of the Sea Surface Microlayer Across a Filament's Edge and Potential Influences on Gas Exchange. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **2021**, *8* (October), 1-21. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2021.718384.