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Highlights:

- We present the first unified stratigraphy of the westernmost Mediterranean.
- Miocene marine basins currently onshore are integrated.
- We present a kinematic model for the Alboran and Algero-Balearic basins.
- We evaluate western Mediterranean geodynamic models in the framework of basin evolution.
1. Introduction

The formation of the Western Mediterranean Basins began in the Oligocene as a result of the migration/dispersal of the Alboran, Kabylies, Peloritan and Corsica-Sardinia-Calabria (AlKaPeCa, inset Fig. 1) terranes/microplates along with a contemporaneous subduction of the Tethys lithosphere (Rehault et al., 1984). The Alboran and Algeo-Balearic basins are the westernmost of these basins, extending between North Africa and Iberia (inset Fig. 1a). During most of its history, the region contained several depocenters that have been individually studied, and described in the literature as basins; while here we describe them as sub-basins. There are six main historically described offshore sub-basins: the West Alboran Basin (WAB), the Malaga Basin (MB), the South Alboran Basin (SAB), the Pytheas Basin (PB), the Habibas Basin (HBB), and the East Alboran Basin (EAB) that joins eastward to the Algeo-Balearic Basin (ABB) (Fig. 1a). There are also ten main onshore sub-basins, named Fortuna, Lorca, Mazarrón, Vera, Tabernas-Sorbas, Níjar, Baza, Guadix, Granada and Malaga (Fig. 1b). The tectonic structure and sedimentary fill of these sub-basins record key information to understand their formation processes and appraise geodynamic models of the western Mediterranean.

However, the stratigraphy and tectonic studies of different offshore and onshore sub-basins have not been integrated in a single comprehensive study. Previous works have resulted in a suite of different models for each area, with interpretations that are not fully compatible when compared among the sub-basins. This hinders the understanding of regional-scale formation processes and the evaluation of geodynamic evolution models of the western Mediterranean.

In this study, we review and update the seismostratigraphy of the westernmost Mediterranean, including all Alboran sub-basins, the western ABB and emerged sub-basins in the Betics, focusing on the Neogene and Quaternary sedimentary units. We present a modern seismic dataset collected and processed by the Barcelona Center for Subsurface Imaging that covers the entire system, providing correlation across sub-basins to define a coherent stratigraphy for the entire region. These results are used to evaluate existing models of basin formation and geodynamic evolution. Our main objectives are: (1) to define a coherent seismostratigraphic framework for the entire region, integrating previous interpretations and available well-data information to establish a correlation between the units of different sub-basins; (2) to interpret the sedimentary record of the main depocenters to propose an evolutionary model that accounts for each sub-basin; (3) to evaluate existing geodynamic models of the westernmost Mediterranean; and (4) to integrate the results in a coherent evolutionary model for the entire region.

2. Geological setting

The western Mediterranean formed in a context of NW-SE plate convergence between the African and Eurasian plates (Dewey et al., 1989), with the driving forces having an important contribution from slab dynamics in the mantle, acting obliquely to the NW-SE plate convergence (Lonergan and White, 1997; Carminati et al., 1998; Wortel and Spakman, 2000; Faccenna et al., 2004). The interaction between plate convergence and mantle-driven mechanisms produced compressional and extensional domains that migrated in space and time during the Cenozoic. Geodynamic interaction in the western Mediterranean involved also a set of small plates, such as the Iberian and Apulian plates, and the AlKaPeCa allochthonous meso-Mediterranean metamorphic terrains (Boullin et al., 1986, van Hinsbergen et al., 2020).
The structure of the resulting extensional basins is complex, their evolutionary histories are distinct and diverse and not well explored by modern studies. The Ligurian and Tyrrhenian basins (inset Fig. 1a) opened by rollback of the Ionian slab, leading to break-up of the Variscan lithosphere. The Ligurian and Tyrrhenian break-ups were followed by local magmatism (Prada et al., 2014; Merino et al., 2019). The interpretation of these bodies as magmatic back-arc oceanic crust is based on their two-layer structure (Grevemeyer et al., 2018; Prada et al., 2020). In the Tyrrhenian, opening subsequently led to mantle exhumation (Prada et al., 2015; 2020), but extension has stopped and the basin is currently being inverted (Zitellini et al., 2020). In the westernmost Mediterranean, the allochthonous terrains are represented by the Alboran Domain that outcrops in southeast Iberia and northwest Africa (Fig. 1a, García-Dueñas et al., 1992), although its offshore extension is poorly constrained. Modern wide-angle seismic data across the eastern Alboran basin supports the existence of magmatic-arc crust (Booth-Rea et al., 2018, Gómez de la Peña et al., 2020) and the interpretation of basement domains across the basin is based on deep-penetration seismic images and integration of seafloor dredging and drilling results (Booth-Rea et al., 2007; Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018).

2.1. The Gibraltar Arc System

The Alboran and the Algeo-Balearic basins form the Gibraltar Arc subduction system together with the Betics, the Rif and the Gulf of Cadiz (Fig. 1a). The post-Variscan Mesozoic structuration of the lithospheric domains forming the Gibraltar Arc possiblystarted in the late Triassic (~230 Ma - Ladinian), when, as a consequence of the first phases of extension between America and Africa, initial extension at the Tethyan Rift occurred. The Tethys ocean between the Iberian and African plates started to open in Early Jurassic times (~190-185 Ma) (Roveé et al., 2004; Martínez-Loriamente et al., 2014; Michard et al., 2018). The western part of the Tethys is termed Ligurian-Tethys, and its eastern part is referred as Alpine-Tethys. The western Mediterranean basins, including the Alboran Basin, the Algeo-Balearic Basin and the Betic and Rif orogenic belts, formed in the upper plate, above the subducted Ligurian-Tethys oceanic lithosphere and its South Iberian, Apulian and North Maghrebian passive margins (e.g., Lonergan and White, 1997; Booth-Rea et al., 2007; Platt et al., 2013). The subduction process of Alpine-Tethys oceanic lithosphere may have begun in the early Cretaceous (~120 Ma), but it did not affect the Ligurian-Tethys before the Eocene-Oligocene.

2.1.1. The Betic-Rif System

The system comprises the Betic mountain belt in southeastern Iberia and the Rif in north Morocco (Fig. 1a) showing a complex structure that holds evidence of changes in the dominant orientation of the subduction zone. During the Oligocene – Miocene, oblique collision of terrains occurred along the northern and southern branches of the system in the Betics and Rif, whilst in the central segment the Jurassic oceanic lithosphere subducts under the Gulf of Cadiz and Gibraltar arc (Sallarès et al., 2011; 2013). The westward directed thrusting of the Betic-Rif orogenic arc is faced, further westward, by the accretionary prism outcropping in the Gulf of Cadiz (Sartori et al., 1994; Torelli et al., 1997; Gràcia et al., 2003) while towards the East it abuts the Alboran basins that include the fore-arc, volcanic arc and back-arc domains of the subduction system (inset Fig. 1a). The Betic and Rif belts form an arcuate orogenic system that surrounds the marine domain, and consist of a superposition of different tectonics domains. The Betics and Rif mountain belts show equivalent tectonic units, that can be separated into: (i) the foreland basins, (ii) the external zones, (iii) the flysch units, and (iv) the internal zones (inset Fig. 1a) (Sanz De Galdeano, 1990; García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Chalouan and Michard, 2004; Balanyà et al., 2007).
The foreland basins correspond to the Guadalquivir Basin in southern Iberia and the Rharb Basin in northwest Morocco (Fig. 1a). They contain middle Miocene to Pliocene sedimentary sequences (Alonso-Chaves et al., 2004; Chalouan et al., 2009).

The External Zones (Fig. 1a) form a Foreland Thrust Belt (FTB) composed of Mesozoic and Cenozoic sediment overlaying the Iberian and Moroccan continental basements (Sanz De Galdeano, 1990; Crespo-Blanc and Frizón de Lamotte, 2006) (Fig. 1a). The Miocene deformation in this domain is different in Iberia and North Africa. The Betics FTB underwent a thin-skinned tectonic evolution, with upper Triassic evaporites commonly acting as a detachment level, while the deeper units of the Rif FTB includes a Paleozoic basement in their nappes reaching greenschist metamorphic conditions typical of thick-skinned tectonics (Chalouan and Michard, 2004; Crespo-Blanc and Frizón de Lamotte, 2006; Negro et al., 2007; Jabaloy et al., 2015). The Flysch units (Fig. 1a) contain deformed siliciclastic rocks ranging from the late Jurassic to early Miocene (Burdigalian), deformed during the early to middle Miocene (Luján et al., 2006). They represent pre-tectonic deep-sea sedimentation on the African-Iberian continental margins of the Tethys Ocean, and syntectonic Miocene deposits (Butler et al., 2020). They are structurally sandwiched between the External and Internal Zones (Guerrera et al., 1993; Alonso-Chaves et al., 2004).

The Alboran Domain of the Internal Zones (Fig. 1a) represents the inner part of the orogen resulting from the structural superposition of three allochthonous polymetamorphic terrains. The Alboran Domain terrains have been interpreted as part of the AlKaPeCa terrain dismembered during the opening of the western Mediterranean basins (García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Platt et al., 2005; 2013). Subduction drove terrains to different burial depths, with different degrees of metamorphism and to a gradual collision with the surrounding margins (e.g., Sanz De Galdeano, 1990; Alonso-Chaves et al., 2004). Structurally, from bottom to top, these terrains are the Nevado-Filábride, Alpujárride and Maláguide in the Betics. On the African margin, the Sebtide and Ghomaride units are analogous to the Alpujárride and Maláguide complexes, respectively (Sanz De Galdeano, 1990; Alonso-Chaves et al., 2004; Chalouan and Michard, 2004). The present-day boundaries between these terrains are extensional shear-zones, related to their exhumation (García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Alonso-Chaves et al., 2004; Chalouan and Michard, 2004). The Nevado-Filábride and the Alpujárride/Sebtide complexes exhibit high-pressure low-temperature metamorphism. The metamorphism of the Alpujárride complex has been dated as Eocene, however, this age is still debated, having a clear early Miocene thermal overprint (e.g., Azañón et al., 1997; Sánchez-Rodríguez and Gebauer, 2000; Platt et al., 2003, 2005). The Nevado-Filábride high-pressure metamorphism took place later, between the early to middle Miocene (López Sánchez-Vizcaíno, 2001; Platt et al., 2006; Kirchner et al., 2016). Initially interpreted as an allochthonous complex, the Nevado-Filábride is currently interpreted as autochthonous metamorphosed South Iberian margin basement (Platt et al., 2006; Booth-Rea et al., 2015; Jabaloy-Sánchez et al., 2018). The Alboran Domain forms the basement flooring the West Alboran and Malaga sub-basins (Fig. 1a) (Comas et al., 1999; Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018).

2.1.2. The Alboran and Algero-Balearic basins

The origin, formation process, and evolutionary model of the western Mediterranean basins remains debated. Regions of the Alboran Basin and related (currently emerged) sub-basins were extended during the late Oligocene – Miocene, whereas the Gibraltar Arc and sectors of the Gulf of Cadiz were shortened during the same period (Sanz de Galdeano et al., 1990). Three different hypotheses were proposed to explain the extension leading to the basin formation: (1) post-orogenic extensional collapse related to the convective removal of continental lithospheric mantle (Dewey et al., 1989; Platt and Vissers, 1989); (2)
delamination of lithospheric mantle beneath the orogen (García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Seber et al., 1996; Calvert et al., 2000; Duggen et al., 2003); and (3) subduction of oceanic lithosphere and associated slab rollback (Royden, 1993; Lonergan and White, 1997) and/or slab break-off (Blanco and Spakman, 1993; Zeck, 1999). Improvements in the resolution of the 3D mapping of Mediterranean mantle slabs (Wortel and Spakman, 2000) lend further support to the hypothesis that the Alboran and Algero-Balearic basins were produced by extension kinematically linked to the slab rollback of the subducting plate (e.g., Spakman and Wortel, 2004; Faccenna et al., 2014 and references therein), together with slab-tearing or detachment at the edges of the system (Govers and Wortel, 2005; Mancilla et al., 2015; Hidas et al., 2019). Nevertheless, the 3D geometry, the continental or oceanic nature of the slab, and the spatial and temporal evolution of the subduction system are still debated (e.g., Levander et al., 2014; Chertova et al., 2014a).

The Alboran Basin traditionally has been interpreted as a back-arc basin due to its location with respect to the structural Gibraltar arc, linking the Betics and Rif orogenic belts (Comas et al., 1992; Watts et al., 1993). However, this interpretation considers the basin geographic position in respect to the tectonic arc (Betic-Rif orogen) and not to the volcanic arc, which disagrees with the geodynamic setting of the area. The first evidence of the volcanic arc in the Alboran Basin came from volcanic rock samples (Hoernle et al., 1999; Duggen et al., 2003, 2008) and multichannel seismic reflection images (Booth-Rea et al., 2007). Further mapping of the basement using deep-penetration seismic reflection images and wide-angle seismic profiles supports the existence of three main geodynamic domains in the westernmost Mediterranean: a) a fore-arc basin, represented by the WAB and MB (Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018); b) the magmatic-arc of the central and EAB (Booth-Rea et al., 2007, 2018; Gómez de la Peña et al., 2020); and c) a back-arc basin across the easternmost EAB and the ABB (Booth-Rea et al., 2007; Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018).

During the opening of the Alboran basin, extensional processes were linked to significant magmatic activity, generally in a supra-subduction setting (Hoernle et al., 1999; Maury et al., 2000; Gill et al., 2004; Duggen et al., 2008). Late Oligocene to early Miocene tholeiitic and calc-alkaline dykes, described onshore, intrude the Malaguide and Roldán peridotites complexes (Torres-Roldan et al., 1986; Duggen et al., 2004; Marchesi et al., 2012). This magmatism was followed by a poorly constrained-middle to late Miocene phase, characterized by calc-alkaline, tholeiitic and shoshonitic volcanism that forms a subduction-related volcanic arc (Hoernle et al., 1999; Duggen et al., 2008; Varas-Reus et al., 2017). Seafloor spreading in the ABB back-arc setting was possibly coeval, as inferred from seismic data (Booth-Rea et al., 2007, 2018; Medauri et al., 2014; Aidi et al., 2018). Magmatism waned during the latest Neogene (Messinian) to Quaternary, with limited alkaline volcanism localized mainly on the basin rims and reflecting a source of hot asthenospheric material, rather than subduction related fluids (Duggen et al., 2004, 2008).

The ABB opening kinematics and crustal structure are also debated. Some models suggest a NW-SE opening at ~19 Ma (Rosenbaum et al., 2002; Schettino and Turco, 2011), although most models propose an E-W opening between ~16–8 Ma during the westward migration of the Alboran Domain (Mauffret et al., 2004; Chertova et al., 2014b; van Hinsbergen et al., 2014). Leprêtre et al. (2013) proposed an opening in two stages, an initial opening of the central ABB in a N-S or NW-SE direction, and a second strike-slip stage along the margin linked to westward migration of the Alboran Domain. Recent seismic studies of the crustal structure of the North Algerian margin proposed that a strike-slip margin developed above a STEP (Subduction Transform Edge Propagator, Govers and Wortel, 2005) fault -i.e., above a propagating tear in the underlying slab (Leprêtre et al., 2013; Badij et al., 2015; Hidas et al., 2019).
The crustal structure resulting from the opening process is also debated. Seismic reflection images (Booth-Rea et al., 2007) and coincident wide-angle data (Booth-Rea et al., 2018) support a W to E transition from magmatic-arc crust to back-arc oceanic crust. The S to N change from African continental crust to magmatic-arc crust appears abrupt in seismic images of the Alboran basin (Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018, 2020). Seismic images display a back arc oceanic basement with smooth relief (Booth-Rea et al., 2007), indicating limited tectonic extension during spreading and comparatively more important magmatic processes, which might indicate its formation at intermediate or high spreading rates (Ranero et al. 1997a; 1997b). However, indistinct seafloor spreading magnetic anomalies do not constrain the extent of the oceanic basement domains (Galdeano and Rossignol, 1977) and the spreading rate and age remain undefined. Continuous Moho reflections map the transition from continental or arc crust to back arc oceanic crust (Booth-Rea et al., 2007; Medaouri et al., 2014; Giaconia et al., 2015; Gomez de la Peña et al., 2018). The continuous Moho images support an abrupt transition to oceanic crust, i.e. without mantle exhumation (e.g., Cameselle et al., 2017), in contrast to the nearby Tyrrenian back arc where abundant mantle exhumation occurs (Prada et al., 2014; 2015).

The Messinian period includes the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis (MSC, ~6–5.3 Ma) (Hsü et al., 1973; Roveri et al., 2014 and references therein). This event has been interpreted as resulting from the closure of the Straits of Gibraltar and the African and Iberian gateways, which produced a rapid sea-level drop, implying subaerial erosion and deep-basin salt deposits (e.g., Rouchy and Caruso, 2006; Rohling et al., 2008; Loﬁ et al., 2011, García-Castellanos et al., 2020). However, recent studies support that the Straits of Gibraltar was not closed, as the top of the Messinian salinity is a paraconformity along much of the WAB. The lack of Messinian erosion in the shallow region of the WAB margins supports that it was not desiccated during the MSC, and that -partial- closure of the Atlantic-Mediterranean corridor occurred at the EAB volcanic arc (Fig. 2, Booth-Rea et al., 2018).

In the latest Miocene, subduction probably stalled (Iribarren et al., 2007; Zitellini et al., 2009). Since late Messinian times, the deformation associated to the NW-SE convergence (4.5–5.6 mm/yr) between the African and Eurasian plates (DeMets et al., 2010; Nocquet, 2012) is deforming the Alboran Basin together with strike-slip and reverse faults (Gracia et al., 2006, 2011, 2019; Martinez-Garcia et al., 2013; Grevermeyer et al., 2015) that appear to reactivate pre-existing structures (Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018). GPS-derived kinematic vectors indicate that lithospheric-scale structures bound tectonic blocks that move independently (e.g., Pelage et al., 2015).

2.1.3. The marine sedimentary record onshore

Several Miocene marine sedimentary sub-basins occur onshore in SE Iberia (Fig. 1b). These depocenters were in continuity with the Alboran and Algero-Balearic basins during the Miocene. These sub-basins formed by extensional tectonics since the late Oligocene until the present-day and are coeval to contractional and transcurrent deformation of the external foreland thrust-belt (García Dueñas et al., 1992; Martínez-Martínez et al., 2002; Giaconia et al., 2014). This extension propagated behind the NW to W migrating the Gibraltar Arc orocline, overstepping previous contractional and transpressive structures (Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2011; Mancilla et al., 2015). Since the latest Tortonian, these sub-basins -especially in the SE Betics- were tectonically inverted and shortened in a transcurrent setting related to Africa-Iberia plate convergence (Bousquet, 1979; Montenat and Ott d’Estevou, 1990; Ott d’Estevou et al., 1990; Booth-Rea et al., 2004a), and they were uplifted and progressively emerged (Braga et al., 2001; Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2011).
Uplift and emersion of the onshore Betic depocenters has been ascribed to the interplay between plate convergence and deep mantle mechanisms, like slab tearing and lithospheric-mantle edge delamination under the SE Betics, which produced the important isostatic rebound of the continental margins of the Alboran basin (Duggen et al., 2003, 2008; García-Castellanos et al., 2011; Mancilla et al., 2015). This process is thought to have been propagated westwards during the late Miocene, being recorded by Tortonian evaporites in the Lorca and Fortuna basins on the eastern Betics (Krijgsman et al., 2001) and reaching near the Straits of Gibraltar during the Pliocene (García-Castellanos et al., 2011; Booth-Rea et al., 2018).

The sedimentary infill of the onshore extensional sub-basins recorded a complex tectonic evolution from the late Oligocene to Holocene. This sedimentary evolution is often described as “intramontane” basin evolution within the Betics (Fig. 1b) (e.g., Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2012). However, the emersion on land of these basins occurred in a late stage of their evolution in relation to (i) their continuous westward displacement in the hanging-wall of regional extensional detachments, and (ii) the development of late Miocene to present contractional antiformal ridges, and associated transcurrent structures among them (Martínez-Martínez et al., 2002; Booth-Rea et al., 2004a; Giaconia et al., 2012, 2013; Azañón et al., 2015). The westward movement of these basins continues nowadays to the west and south-west of the detachment of the Sierra Nevada extensional dome, as attested by GPS-measured displacements (Galindo-Zaldívar et al., 2015). This has resulted in significant paleomagnetic rotations of late Miocene sediments within all these “basins” (Cifelli et al., 2006; Crespo-Blanc et al., 2016).

In the basin stratigraphy section, we include a revision of the currently onshore Betic sub-basins, which were connected to the Alboran and Algiero-Balearic basins during the late Miocene forming marine gateways to the Atlantic (e.g. García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 1999, 2011; Soria et al., 1999; Flecker et al., 2015) (Fig. 1b). The sediments are divided into two groups, corresponding to the older and younger Neogene, a distinction based on the presence or absence of clasts of the subducted basement of southern Iberia (Nevado-Filabride metamorphic complex), exhumed in the core of the Betics (Völk, 1967; Giaconia et al., 2014; Booth-Rea et al., 2015). The Nevado-Filabride complex reached the surface by extensional faults in the Tortonian. These two sediment groups recorded two different extensional exhumation events, early to middle Miocene unroofing of the Alpujarride complex and late Miocene denudation of the deeper Nevado-Filabride complex. These two unroofing events followed after two different crustal thickening phases, the Paleogene for the Alpujarride and early to middle Miocene of the Nevado-Filabride (e.g., Platt et al., 2005, 2006). The older Paleogene-Neogene sediments comprise four sedimentary units deposited between the latest Oligocene and the Serravallian. Meanwhile, the younger Neogene-Quaternary sequence includes Tortonian to Pliocene-Holocene sediments (Fig. 5).

3. Data and methods

To characterize the Alboran and western Algiero-Balearic basins, we integrated a new grid of seismic reflection profiles from the Barcelona-CSI (i.e., TOPOMED, EVENT-DEEP, IMPULS and EVENT-SHELF, Fig. 1b) with datasets available from industry (i.e., CAB) and vintage academics (i.e., CONRAD and ESCI, Fig. 1b). The regional distribution of these profiles and their multi-scale resolution allows us to re-evaluate the seismostratigraphy of the entire Alboran Basin. Available dredge information in addition to the academic and commercial wells are also integrated into this analysis in order to calibrate and correlate
the identified seismic units (Fig. 1b). The acquisition characteristics and the processing flow applied to the seismic data sets are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

We used IHS Kingdom Advanced software to interpret the stratigraphy and main structures of the MCS dataset, integrating the multibeam bathymetry map and the well records. We used Generic Mapping Tools (GMT, Wessel and Smith, 1991) to plot the seismic images. The seafloor map analysis and display were carried out using ArcGIS software.

4. Basin stratigraphy

4.1. Seismic units

Recent works mainly focus on the post-Messinian stratigraphy of the Alboran Basin, which is reasonably well established (Martínez-García et al., 2013, 2017; Moreno et al., 2016; Juan et al., 2016). The earlier stratigraphy is well defined in a few regionally restricted studies. In this study, we revised the seismostratigraphy for the entire Alboran and western Algeo-Balearic basins (Figs. 3, 4), integrating our dataset with previous studies (Jurado and Comas, 1992; Alvarez-Marrón, 1999; Comas et al., 1999; Booth-Rea et al., 2007; Gràcia et al., 2006, 2012; Soto et al., 2010, 2012; Medaouri et al., 2012, 2014; Giaconia et al., 2015; Moreno et al., 2016; Do Couto et al., 2016; Juan et al., 2016). We have unified the stratigraphic nomenclature for all the Alboran and ABB sub-basins (Fig. 3a). The correlation between the units defined in this work (Fig. 3) with previous studies is shown in Figure 4.

We correlate the seismostratigraphic units by their calibrated age. Despite a limited drilling information, particularly for pre-Messinian units in the deep basins, the main unit boundaries are regional unconformities that provide a reliable chronostratigraphy, to correlate units among sub-basins. Following the literature and available drill-sites, and to facilitate comparison with previous works, the units are labelled in three approaches (Fig. 5): 1) Most sediment units are named with Roman numbers from I to VII; 2) Pre-Messinian sediment units of the HBB and PB have no units equivalent to I to VII and are labelled as UT (Upper Tortonian unit), LT (Lower Tortonian Unit) and S-L (Serravallian-Langhian unit); and 3) Due to a restricted distribution, volcanic units overlying crystalline basement have been labelled starting with a v, and with the first letter of the area where they are found (i.e., A: Alboran Ridge area, Y: Yusuf Fault area, D: Djibouti Plateau area, and C: Carboneras Fault area). When more than one volcanic unit are identified in a given area, increasing numbers indicate older age (i.e., vA1 is the youngest volcanic unit in the Alboran Ridge area).

4.1.1. Sedimentary units

4.1.1.1. Post-Messinian units

**Unit I** (0-1.80 Ma, Holocene-Pleistocene) shows parallel continuous reflections and ranges between 0.3 and 0.7 s two-way travel time (TWTT) thickness. Near the Alboran Ridge it is subdivided into sub-unit 1a (0-0.78 Ma), characterized by parallel continuous reflections intercalated with chaotic bodies and a maximum thickness of 0.4 s TWTT, and 1b (0.78–1.80 Ma), characterized by high-amplitude, parallel continuous reflections and a thickness ranging between 0.1 and 0.3 s TWTT (Fig. 3a, c, d, Martínez-García et al, 2013).
**Unit II** (1.80-5.33 Ma, Gelassian-Zanclean) is well stratified and thickens from < 0.5 s TWTT in the EAB to 0.5–1 s TWTT in the WAB (Fig. 3b, e). In the WAB, it can be divided into sub-units U-II (1.80-3.60 Ma) and L-II (3.60-5.33), with L-II showing a comparatively low-reflectivity character (Fig. 3b). Across the Alboran Ridge (SAB and N.AR, Fig. 3c, d), four internal sub-units are differentiated (IIa-d), following the interpretation of Martínez-García et al. (2013). The boundaries of these sub-units are unconformities possibly related to successive deformation pulses in the Alboran Ridge area (Martínez-García et al., 2013).

4.1.1.2. Messinian units

**Unit III** (6.25-5.33 Ma, Messinian) is made of rather variable deposits. In the deep water EAB and ABB it terminates with the evaporites of the Messinian Salinity Crisis (MSC, 5.96-5.33 Ma). The top of Unit III is often delineated by the “M” reflection, typically an unconformity, but across the WAB and MB it appears as a paraconformity (Fig. 3). In the Alboran Channel and the SAB (Fig. 3c, d) interpreted Unit III may also include the uppermost Tortonian, since it has not been calibrated by drilling. In the HBB-PB and the ABB this unit is well developed and can be divided into sub-unit IIIa (5.96–5.33 Ma) and sub-unit IIIb (6.25-5.96 Ma) (Fig. 3a, f, g). Sub-unit IIIa top layers are irregular, sedimentary bodies that look like chaotic mass-transport deposits (“e” in Figures 3a, g), similar to deposits at the same stratigraphic position in neighbouring regions (e.g., Cameselle and Urgeles, 2015). In the easternmost EAB and in the ABB, evaporite deposits form most of the sub-unit IIIa. Where evaporites are found, we correlated sub-unit IIIa with the MSC units defined for the whole Mediterranean region (Fig. 3a, f). These are the **Upper Unit (UU)**, **Mobile Unit (MU)** and **Lower Unit (LU)**, respectively (e.g., Lofi et al., 2011; Giaconia et al., 2014; Roveri et al., 2014). This series are bounded by the **Top Surface or Top Erosion Surface (TS/TES)**, that correlates with the “M” unconformity (Loi et al., 2011), and the **Bottom Surface or Bottom Erosion Surface (BS/BES)** (Fig. 3a, f, Lofi et al., 2011).

4.1.1.3. Pre-Messinian units

In the WAB and MB, **Unit IV** (> 6.25 Ma - early Tortonian) is characterized by high-amplitude parallel continuous reflections, almost 1 s TWTT thick (Fig. 3a, b). Ongoing revisions of the Andalucia G-1 well (Fig. 1b) suggests that the upper part of this unit is early Messinian (Sierro, 2018, personal communication). Unit IV is also found at the western EAB (Fig. 3a, e). **Unit V** (early Tortonian–late Serravallian) shows parallel continuous reflections at the top with decreasing reflectivity towards its base, locally reaching >1.5 s TWTT in thickness. **Unit VI** (Serravallian and Langhian) shows spaced, low-amplitude parallel continuous reflections. In the WAB, this unit can be subdivided in sub-units VIa and VIb, being unit VIb characterized by alternate flickering reflections and chaotic layers (Fig. 3a, b). **Unit VII** (possibly of Burdigalian age) is characterized by high-reflectivity discontinuous reflections, underlain by a low-continuity reflection that is interpreted as the basement top.

In the HBB and PB, on the African shelf, three Miocene units are identified: **Unit UT** (late Tortonian) alternating low reflectivity and well-stratified zones, **Unit LT** (early Tortonian) showing low continuity internal reflections with changing dips, and **Unit S-L** (Serravallian-Langhian) characterized by low-amplitude non-parallel reflections with a poorly defined base (Figs. 3a, g). These units are calibrated with the ages published for the HBB-1 well (Medaouri et al., 2014).

4.1.2. Volcanic units

Volcanic units overlaying the basement occur across a large part of the sub-basins, however their correlation is difficult mainly due to 1) their restricted geographical distribution, 2) uncertainty in age due
to scarce sampling, and 3) the nature of the volcanic processes that tends to produce accumulations near the source. When possible, an age obtained from dredged samples were assigned to the units, and a relative age based on the relationship with other units was proposed. We mapped volcanic units in four distinct areas: the Alboran Ridge (vA units), the Yusuf Fault (vY units), the Djibouti Plateau (vD units) and the Carboneras Fault (vC units). Volcanoclastic units have a clear seismic character (e.g., Fig. 6, 7: vA1 and vA2 units, Fig. 8: Yusuf Ridge and Al-Mansour Seamount, vY1 and vY2 units, Figs. 9, 10: Djibouti Plateau, vD unit; Fig. 11: Chella Bank, vC unit) and sparse dredges confirm their volcanic nature (Aparicio et al., 1991; El Bakkali et al., 1998; Hoernle et al., 1999; Duggen et al., 2004, 2005, 2008) (Fig. 1b). The units may also contain lava flows, although it remains undetermined with seismic images alone.

In the Alboran Ridge area, we identify two units. Unit vA1, displays chaotic high-amplitude reflections with low continuity, especially on the upper section. Unit vA1 outcrops at the western part of the Alboran Ridge and dredged rocks recovered from this high confirmed a Tortonian age (9.26-9.37 Ma, e.g., Duggen et al., 2004, 2008) (Fig. 1b). Below, a basal high-amplitude irregular reflection bounds the contact to Unit vA2 (Fig. 6b CMPs 16000-14000, 7a CMPs 6500-8000). Unit vA2 exhibits chaotic reflections intercalated with low-amplitude and low-continuity reflections (Fig. 7). These volcanic units overlay a basement with high reflectivity (Fig. 3c, d, 6b, 7a), characterized by strong reflections of poor lateral continuity. Tentative correlation with the HBB-1 well supports an age of > 11.62 Ma (pre-Tortonian) for these volcanic units.

The Yusuf Fault area is characterized by three volcanic units (Figs. 3a, e, 8) separated by unconformities. The youngest corresponds to Unit vY1 that outcrops at the Al-Mansour Ridge (Fig. 1a). Dredged basaltic andesites give an age of 8.7 Ma for the surface layer at this volcanic edifice (Duggen et al., 2004, 2008). High-amplitude reflections intercalate between poor- and well-stratified zones that laterally interfinger with vY2, an older volcanic unit. Andesites of vY2 were dredged at Yusuf Ridge (Fig. 1a), yielding a 10.7 Ma age (Duggen et al., 2004, 2008). The vY2 unit is characterized by high-amplitude wavy reflections (e.g., Yusuf Ridge at Fig. 8a). On the eastern flank of the Yusuf Ridge, an older vY3 unit occurs (Fig. 8c-d). This unit has not been dredged, although due to its seismic character and restricted lateral extension, we infer that it is also of volcanic origin. The base of these units is defined by changes in the character of reflectivity, rather than by a well-defined boundary. The basement below these volcanic units shows an overall low reflectivity (Fig. 3, 8c-d).

Under the sediment units of the Alboran Channel and the Djibouti Plateau, a vD volcanic unit displays similar characteristics to the units located in the Alboran Ridge area (Fig. 1a, 3, 9, 10). In the Carboneras Fault area, a volcanic unit vC, is characterized by an irregular top and high-amplitude scattered reflections (Fig. 3, 11b). This unit extends along the northeast Alboran Basin margin towards the Palomares margin. The interfingering among the calibrated sediment units in the area supports a late Tortonian–early Messinian age (Fig. 11b CMPs 12000-17000).

4.1.2. Basement nature and structure

The nature and structure of the basement varies across the Alboran–Algerian basins (e.g., Booth-Rea et al., 2007; 2018; Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018). In this section, we review the available basement data for each sub-basin and their potential implications to interpret the seismic images.

4.1.2.1. West Alboran, Malaga and currently onshore basins

The WAB and MB basins form an arcuate continuous depocenter that mimics the shape of the orogenic front across the Straits of Gibraltar and South Iberia, which often have been described as separate basins. It
contains > 6 s TWTT of sediment (~10–12 km) and it is the thickest and oldest depocenter of the Alboran Basin (Comas and Jurado, 1992; Soto et al., 2010, 2012; Do Couto et al., 2016). The Andalucía G-1, DSDP Site 121, and ODP-161 Site 976 drilling reached metamorphic continental rocks at the basement top (e.g., Comas et al., 1999). This metamorphic basement is the same of the emerged basins on the Betics, and is similar to the Alboran Domain of the the Betics internal domain on land. Volcanism only occurs along the eastern rim of the WAB and MB basins, as confirmed by dredges from the Algarrobo, Herradura and Ibn-Batouta banks (Fig. 1a; Duggen et al., 2008), as well as seismic images of other volcanic constructions (Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018).

The top of the basement is indistinct and inferred from the base of parallel reflections interpreted as sediments (Figs. 9-17, see Figure 1b for location). At the WAB, the basement top is smooth and deepens from the margins towards the centre of the sub-basin. Along a W-E transect, the basement top is found at ~2 s TWTT at the western margin (Fig. 12a CMPs 20000-16000) and deepens to ~5.5 s TWTT (e.g., Fig. 12a CMPs ~11500) towards the centre of the sub-basin. Similarly, in a NW-SE direction the top of the basement deepens from the NW flank to the depocenter (Fig. 14a), with a maximum sedimentary infill of >7s TWTT (Fig. 14b CMP ~12000), and then shallows again towards the SE flank (Fig. 13a CMPs 15000–11000, 14b CMPs 12000-18000). Figure 15 images the WAB in the SW-NE trending transect. The basement top rises from ~7.5 s TWTT at the depocenter (Fig. 15a CMPs 2000-7000) to ~2.5s TWTT at the sub-basin’s flanks (Figs. 15a CMPs 9000–12000). On the axis of the WAB (Fig. 1b), figures 16 and 17 displays the basement at 4.5–6 s TWTT. Towards the east and southeast, volcanic constructions intrude the metamorphic basement (i.e., Ibn-Batouta Bank at Fig. 13b).

Profile TM21 (Fig. 15) images the continuity between the WAB and MB sub-basins, interrupted by the volcanic construction of the Algarrobo Bank (Fig. 15b CMPs 18000–20000). In the MB, profile TM22 (Fig. 11) images the basement at 4–5 s TWTT, masked eastwards by the volcanic buildup of the Chella Bank (Fig. 11b CMPs 12000–16000). The basement at the MB ends abruptly against the Carboneras Fault (Fig. 11b CMPs ~18000), and to the eastwards it is possibly formed by Neogene igneous arc rocks of the “Cabo the Gata” volcanic province (Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018).

4.1.2.2. Habibas and Pytheas basins

Offshore North Africa, the Betics and Habibas sub-basins are separated by the Provençaux Bank and each sub-basin delineates a semi-circular low relief in the bathymetry (Fig. 1a). The basement of the HBB was sampled by the HBB-1 well-site, with the recovery of continental metamorphic rocks (Medaouri et al., 2014). In the seismic images, the basement is defined by a general high-reflectivity character, bounded by a poor-defined top reflection (base of Unit S-L) located between ~2 and ~5 s TWTT at the HBB (Fig. 18, 19c) and between ~1 and ~3.5 s TWTT at the PB (Fig. 19b). The basement top defines a syncline (Fig. 18-20).

4.1.2.3. South Alboran Basin

This SW-NE elongated sub-basin, with up to ~1.3 s TWTT sedimentary infill, is located on the African continental platform (Fig. 1a) and runs parallel to the Alboran Ridge. The ODP Leg 161 Site 979 (Fig. 1b) calibrates the shallow sedimentary sequence to the Piacenzian (Fig. 6b, Comas et al., 1999; Juan et al., 2016). Dredged volcanic rocks of the Alboran Ridge basement (Aparicio et al., 1991; Duggen et al., 2004; Gill et al., 2004), together with seismic images, supports that a late Miocene volcanic layer probably extends under the basin (Figs. 6, 7). The base of these volcanic units is inferred corresponding to a marked decrease in the reflection amplitude (i.e., black dots in Figures 6b, c, 7a). These late Miocene volcanics
(Duggen et al., 2004), in some cases covering Tortonian marine marls, also crop out along the southern margin of the basin in the Trois Fourches cape (Fig. 1a, Duggen et al., 2004) and along the southern margin of the basin in the Ras Tarf cape (Fig. 1a, Serravallian basaltic andesites, El Bakkali et al., 1998).

4.1.2.4. East Alboran Basin and the Algero-Balearic Basin

The EAB is a triangular shaped basin (Fig. 1a) limited to the south by the Yusuf Fault system and to the north by the SE Iberian Peninsula, grading to the east into the ABB. Dredges of the Al-Mansour and the Yusuf ridges (Fig. 1) recovered Tortonian volcanic rocks (Duggen et al., 2008). Seismic images show a high-reflectivity package interpreted as a volcanic unit with alternating chaotic and layered reflections, with a base that is delineated by an abrupt decrease in reflectivity (e.g., black dots in Figure 8). The internal geometry of the ridges support that they are volcanic build-ups. The volcanic basement of the EAB continues onshore in the “Cabo de Gata” region (Fig. 1a). These volcanics erupted between the middle and late Miocene (14-6.2 Ma, Turner et al., 1999; Zeck et al., 2000; Duggen et al., 2004). The images show a gradual thinning and change in the crustal reflectivity towards the ABB, interpreted as the transition from volcanic-arc basement of the EAB to back-arc oceanic crust of the ABB (Fig. 21b) (Booth-Rea et al., 2018; Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018; 2020). The ABB is generally inferred to be floored across its deeper region by oceanic crust formed during the middle to late Miocene (Rehault et al., 1984), supported by seismic data (Booth-Rea et al., 2007, 2018; Aidi et al., 2018).

4.2. Basin analysis and onshore sediment units

4.2.1. Late Oligocene to middle Miocene units

Late Oligocene, Aquitanian and Burdigalian to early Serravallian sediments overlie the Alboran Domain basement (Fig. 22). The Malaguide complex shows a Mesozoic to Cenozoic sediment cover that recorded the tectonic evolution of the Alboran Domain (e.g., Lonergan and Johnson, 1998; Martín-Martín and Martín-Algarra, 2002). Here we review the sedimentary units that transgressed over the internal zones of the Betics during the early extensional attenuation of the Alboran domain orogenic wedge. Turbidite systems and deep-basin marls record the progressive denudation of the Betic hinterland between the Chattian to Burdigalian. First, the upper units of the Malaguide complex nappe stack were exhumed, bearing the first Cenozoic extensional mission track ages (44–28 Ma) in Chattian to Aquitanian sediments of the Ciudad de Granada group and Río Pliego formation (Lonergan and Johnson, 1998; Serrano et al., 2006). The first metamorphic minerals appear locally around 19 Ma, including clasts of continental lithospheric–mantle peridotites in the early Burdigalian Viñuela group (e.g., Aguado et al., 1990; Lonergan and Mangerajetzky, 1994; Serrano et al., 2007). Their presence records the exhumation of deeper metamorphic units forming the Alpujarride complex (Lonergan and Johnson, 1998). It is composed of a shallowing upward sequence of pelagic sediment, frequently including volcano-sedimentary chert intercalations (Fig. 5). These sediments are described in numerous of the so called “intramontane basins” of the Betics, such as the Lorca, Vera, Granada and Malaga basins, among others (Fig. 22) (Bourgois et al., 1972; Boullin et al., 1973; Aguado et al., 1990; Rodríguez-Fernández and Sanz de Galdeano, 1992).

The early to middle Miocene sequence is present in the Central and Eastern Betics with two additional units (Fig. 5). A late Burdigalian to Langhian sequence contains from bottom to top, red conglomerates, sandstones with echinoderms and lamellibranchia, platform limestones, turbiditic marls and continental conglomerates (Barragán, 1997; Giaconia et al., 2014). An upper unit is made of Serravallian open-marine turbidites, capped by an early Tortonian continental sequence of red conglomerates and lacustrine silty sandstones with gypsum. This sequence, or parts of it, has been described in sediment depocenters onshore
in the eastern and central Betics, such as Lorca, Vera, Granada, and Guadix-Baza (Figs. 1b, 22) (Rodríguez-Fernández and Sanz de Galdeano, 1992; Braga et al., 1996; Booth-Rea et al., 2004b; Giaconia et al., 2014). In these basins, middle Miocene sediments are syntectonic in relation to north-south directed extensional detachments described in the central and eastern Betics (García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Crespo-Blanc et al., 1994, 1995; Booth-Rea et al., 2005).

Offshore, the oldest sediment drilled or extruded through mud volcanoes, are dated as Aquitanian – Burdigalian. Although, these sediments include older Mesozoic and Tertiary sediment clasts inferred to be part of an olistostromic unit (Comas et al., 1999; Sautkin et al., 2003). Only the WAB-MB and HBB-PB sub-basins record the early Miocene sedimentation. The oldest is Unit VII, only identified at the WAB and MB (Fig. 1a), largely affected by intense shale mobilization. The areas free of mud diapirism are characterized by discontinuous reflections that onlap the basement (Fig. 13a CMPs 20000–15000, 15a). Maximum thickness is ≤ 3 s TWTT (Fig. 15a CMPs 2000-6000) and thins to ~1 s TWTT into the MB (Fig. 11a). Unit VI onlaps unit VII (Fig. 15a CMPs 7000–9000). Sub-unit Vlb displays either a chaotic reflection configuration (Fig. 13a CMPs 20000–16000) or sub-parallel, laterally continuous, reflections (Figs. 14b CMPs 10000–14000, 15a, 17). Units Vlb and VIb have been traditionally associated to “overpressured units” (Comas et al., 1999; Soto et al., 2012; López-Rodríguez et al., 2019), source of mud diapirism in the WAB (e.g., Figs. 12a CMPs 14000–17000, 14a-b CMPs 4000–10000). Some diapirs pierce the sediment cover to the seafloor, generating mud volcanoes (Fig. 15a CMPs 6000–7000, 17 CMPs 3000–2000). Cores from mud diapirs contain Paleogene and Mesozoic sediment clasts within an early Miocene matrix (Sautkin et al., 2003). In the HBB-PB, well HBB-1 recovered Langhian to early Serravallian sediment (Unit S-L) above basement (Medaouri et al., 2014). Here, the basement high-reflectivity is locally laterally continuous (Fig. 18, 19).

4.2.2. Late Miocene units: late Serravallian, Tortonian and Messinian

During the late Serravallian, Tortonian and Messinian, sedimentation continued at the WAB-MB, HBB-PB and the now emerged Betics basins. Magmatic activity in the SAB, Djibouti Plateau and EAB began at this stage, which was coeval to extension (Fig. 1a).

In the eastern Betics, two sedimentary units were deposited during the Tortonian (Fig. 5, 22). The lower unit comprises (from bottom to top) conglomerates, calcareous sandstones and marls, deposited in transitional marine environments, capped by red continental conglomerates with Nevado-Filábride boulders between 11.6 and 9 Ma. This unit represents syn-rift deposits (Booth-Rea et al., 2004b, Giaconia et al., 2014), which continues through the overlying late Tortonian unit (9-8 Ma). This later unit is formed, from bottom to top, by deltaic conglomerates, sandstones and siltstones of transitional and marine facies, deepening into turbiditic marls and limestones with sand and silt intercalations of the Chozas Formation (Fig. 5, Ruegg, 1964). The late Tortonian is the period with greatest tectonic subsidence across Alboran and the currently onshore Betic marine basins (Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 1999). However, in the south-easternmost Betics, marine restriction initiated at this stage with the development of widespread alluvial fans and deltaic systems that are laterally interfingered with the Chozas marls (~8 Ma), and were closely followed by evaporitic deposition at 7.8 Ma in the Lorca and Fortuna basins (Krijgsman et al., 2001). In some cases, dacite volcanics are intercalated with the Chozas marls. Most of the shoshonitic Si-K rich volcanism in the Eastern Betics was coeval to the extension and the deposit of the Chozas unit (Duggen et al., 2003, 2004). The two Tortonian sedimentary units deposited during extensional tectonics in semigrabens overlying westward-directed detachment faults. These detachments exhumed the deepest metamorphic units of the Betics (Nevado-Filábride complex) along the axis of elongated core complexes,
probably under a general transtensive regime (Johnson et al., 1997; Martínez-Martínez et al., 2002; Giacovia et al., 2014; Hidas et al., 2016). The Sierra Nevada core-complex detachment has approximately 100 km of hanging-wall displacement (Martínez-Martínez et al., 2002), occurring between 13-3 Ma according to apatite and zircon fission-track ages in the footwall to the extensional detachment (Johnson et al., 1997; Vázquez et al., 2011). Thus, large displacements are expected for the Tortonian basins in the hanging-wall of the extensional system, which should be taken into consideration in paleogeographic reconstructions of the region.

A progressive angular and laterally erosive unconformity bounds the base of the latest Tortonian to Messinian sedimentary unit (Fig. 5, 22). This unit includes the fully marine Turre formation (Völk, 1966), the evaporitic Yesares Formation (5.96–5.6 Ma), and the Lago-mare Feos formation (5.6–5.3 Ma) (Krijgsman et al., 2001). The Turre Formation shows a transgressive sequence that includes the Azagador temperate carbonates calcirudite member (8–7.24 Ma) later deepening into the Abad marls (7.24–5.96 Ma) (Krijgsman et al., 2001; Martín et al., 2003). Shoshonitic volcanics also occur intercalated in the Azagador member, drilled in the Vera basin (Booth-Rea et al., 2003).

The angular erosive unconformity at the top Tortonian is associated to a basin contractive inversion related to NW-SE convergence between Africa and Iberia (Ott d’Essenou and Montenat, 1990; Booth-Rea et al., 2004a). During the late Tortonian-Messinian sedimentary cycle, between 7.8 and 7.37 Ma, several Betic depocenters initiate their isolation from open-marine conditions with the deposition of evaporites in the Granada, Lorca and Fortuna basins (e.g., Krijgsman et al., 2001; Corbi et al., 2012). In the Lorca and Fortuna basins, the latest Messinian evaporates and coeval continental sediments seal most of the late Miocene rifting phase (Booth-Rea et al., 2004b).

In the WAB-MB depocenter, Unit V (late Seravallian–early Tortonian) and Unit IV (late Tortonian to Messinian) (Fig. 3) represent this period: Unit V thins from ~2.7 s TWTT in the WAB (Fig. 13a) to <1 s TWTT in the MB (Fig. 11a). In the WAB, Unit V thickens towards the centre of the basin, and onlaps older units and basement rocks (Fig. 13a CMPs 20000–15000). Unit IV thickens from 0.9 s TWTT at the WAB to ~1.3 s TWTT at the MB (Fig. 11a), and onlaps Unit V (Fig. 13a CMPs 20000–18000). Unit III (late Messinian) has the most variable character across the entire Alboran Basin. The top of this unit is a para-conformity across most of the WAB and MB (Figs. 2, 11a CMPs 5000-10000, 12, 17), being erosive only at the deeper part of the basin, particularly in the latitude of the Straits of Gibraltar and also near the basement highs and diapiric structures (Figs. 2, 13 CMPs 17000-15000, 14b CMPs 500-3000 and 13000–15000). In the deepest sector of the WAB, Unit III is formed by deposits with chaotic appearance topped by an erosional surface (Figs. 13a CMPs 17000-15000, 11 CMPs 500-4000). In the eastern sector of the MB, sediments are intercalated with volcanics (vC) extending from the Chella Bank, which displays prominent aprons on either side (Fig. 11b CMPs 11500–17000). The volcanic apron onlaps Unit V and underlies Unit IV and younger sediments, supporting a Tortonian age for the volcanism. Further south, the same occurs for the volcanic unit of the Djibouti Plateau (vD) (Fig. 1a, 9, 10), which onlaps Unit V and is covered by Unit IV implying a Tortonian age (Fig. 9 CMPs 4000–2000). Profile ESCI-Alb1 (Fig. 9) runs across the MB and the Djibouti Plateau showing the onlap of sediment Unit III, (perhaps Units III and IV, as the age at the base of the sedimentary sequence is unknown), further supporting a late Tortonian age for the vD units (Fig. 10).

In the HBB-PB. Unit LT (Lower Tortonian) shows low-continuity reflections with changing dips and variations in thickness, (0.1–1 s TWTT, Figs. 18-20) possibly indicating folding that appears to be syn-sedimentary (Fig. 19d). Units S-L and LT define a gentle syncline thickest at the basin depocenter of the
HBB (Figs. 18, 19c) and PB (Fig. 20) filled by the late Tortonian Unit UT which onlaps the previous folded units LT and L-S (Figs. 18, 19c-d). Unit UT is overlapped by Unit III (Figs. 18, 19c). The upper part of Unit III are chaotic discontinuous deposits (c at Fig. 18, 19c). The M reflection presents a marked erosive character at the HBB (Figs. 18, 19b CMPs 3000–4000, 19c CMPs 11000–10000) and some sectors of the PB (Fig. 20b 19000-17500), while it appears roughly concordant in other sectors of the PB (Fig. 20a CMPs 13000-11000). Contrasting with units LT and UT, the Unit III strongly thickens towards the depocenters of the HBB and PB (Figs. 18, 19, 20).

In the SAB, stratigraphy supports the hypothesis that the first volcanic activity began in late Serravallian–early Tortonian times. Two volcanic units are identified: Unit vA2 with a top and a base defined by gradual changes in reflectivity (i.e., black dots in Fig. 6b, c). Unit vA1’s (Fig. 6b) internal configuration consists of alternating high-amplitude reflections and chaotic zones (Fig. 6b CMPs 17000–17500) with sub-parallel reflections (Fig. 6b CMPs 16000–14000), similar in character to other volcanic units. Unit III is the first non-volcanic sediment in this area, possibly of late Tortonian to Messinian age, locally identified and filling volcanic-basement lows (e.g., Fig. 6c CMPs 12000–10000 and CMPs 7000–6000). The top of Unit III, the Messinian M reflection, directly overlaps volcanic basement highs (Fig. 6c CMPs ~10000) and appears conformable in the deeper sectors of the SAB (Fig. 6c CMPs 7000-6000). Unit III is < 0.5 s TWTT, thickening towards the Alboran Ridge to ~1.2 s TWTT (Fig. 7c).

The volcanic basement of the EAB was generated at late Serravallian – early Tortonian times. Ages of dredged rocks (e.g., Duggen et al., 2004) and seismic ranges indicate three successive volcanic episodes in this area. In the transition to the ABB, vY3 is identified (Fig. 8c, d). In the west, unit vY2 occurs next to the Yusuf Ridge (Fig. 8a CMPs 12000–11000). Unit vY1 crops out at the Al-Mansour Ridge (Fig. 8a CMPs 11250–13500). The limit between units vY2 and vY1 is not sharp, and they may overlap in time, inter-finger with lateral changes of facies (Figs. 8a CMPs 9500 - 11500, 8b CMPs 4000–1000). Unit IV locally overlies the volcanic basement of the eastern EAB (Fig. 8a) with a toplap geometry against the base of Unit III (Fig. 8a CMPs 9250–9750). Unit IV terminates towards the ABB, where Unit III overlies the volcanic basement and thickens to < 1.2 s TWTT. The top of Unit III (“M” reflection) is erosive at the western sector (Fig. 8a), and concordant in the east as the basin deepens (Figs. 8a-d). Clear evaporate deposits occur only at the easternmost EAB and the ABB (Figs. 8c, 8d, 21).

4.2.3. Plio-Pleistocene units

After the MSC, marine sedimentation in the basins now outcropping in the Betic only continued in the south-easternmost depocenters, like the Vera, Sorbas and Nijar basins, together with some coastal regions near the Malaga sub-basin (Braga et al., 2003; Guerra-Merchán et al., 2010; do Couto et al., 2014). Continental deposition continued in several onshore basins, such as the Guadix-Baza and Granada basins (Fig. 1b), coeval to further extensional faulting in the central Betic that continues nowadays (Fig. 22, e.g., Azañón et al., 2004; Martínez-Martínez et al., 2006; Galindo-Zaldívar et al., 2015). **Offshore**, Plio-

Pleistocene units are correlated across the entire basin, as they are not confined to the sub-basins depocenters. Instead, these units onlap the basement highs, covering the entire region. Different units denote local tectonic features.

Unit II (Zanclean–Gelasian) is associated to contourite and turbidite deposits (Estrada et al., 1997; Palomino et al., 2011; Somoza et al., 2012). In the SAB, it is affected by the Alboran Ridge deformation, and four sub-units are identified (Sub-units IIa-d, Martínez-García et al., 2013). Sub-units IId and IIC are characterized by parallel reflections, and they onlap the Messinian Unit III, as well as the volcanic basement. Their thicknesses (0.35–0.4 s TWTT) are fairly constant, except in the easternmost SAB (Fig.
7c), where they thin away from the Alboran Ridge. Sub-unit IIIb shows a similar seismic expression as Unit IIc. Sub-unit IIb thickens by ~0.5 s TWTT towards the depocenter (Fig. 7c) and its top corresponds to an erosional unconformity (e.g., Fig. 7b CMPs 5000–4000). The boundary between sub-units IIa and IIb is interpreted as a hiatus from 2.52 to 2.45 Ma, based on the correlation with ODP-161 “Site 976” (Martínez-García et al., 2013). Sub-unit IIa onlaps Unit IIb and has also an erosional top (Fig. 7b CMPs 5000–4000, Fig. 7c CMPs 5250–7000). These two bounding unconformities are identified in the southern sector of the WAB, and possibly extend into the western sector of the EAB. In the eastern EAB and the ABB, Unit II shows parallel undisturbed reflections (Fig. 8).

Unit I (Calabrian–Upper Pleistocene) exhibits similar characteristics across the WAB, MB, HBB, EAB and ABB (e.g., Figs. 8, 11, 15, 18). In the WAB and the HBB, Unit I gently thickens towards the basin depocenter (Fig. 15a, 19b). In the SAB, it is divided into sub-units Ia and Ib, separated by an angular unconformity related to the Alboran Ridge tectonics, with sub-unit Ia onlapping Ib (Fig. 7a CMPs 7000–8000).

5. Interpretation and discussion

5.1. Formation and evolution of the West Alboran and Malaga sub-basins

The first basin infill correspond to Unit VII, which has been drilled offshore and dated as Aquitanian- Burdigalian. Onshore, the denudation of the upper units of the Alboran domain is recorded in the Oligocene-Aquitanian sediments of the Ciudad Grazada group, which has been especially well studied at the Rio Pliego formation in the Eastern Alps (Lonergan and Mangerajetzky, 1994; Lonergan and Johnson, 1998; Serrano et al., 2006). Unit VII is interpreted as an olistostrome (Comas et al., 1999; Martínez del Olmo and Comas, 2008; Soto et al., 2012), or as part of the Malaguide complex (do Couto et al., 2016). However, Unit VII internal geometry and thickness appear fairly regular in some sectors, although extensive mud diapirism at the WAB makes its regional-scale depositional geometry unclear in seismic images, supporting a post-depositional deformation by overpressure-related remobilization processes. Units VIa and V slightly thicken towards the depocenter (e.g., Fig. 15a CMPs 2000–9000). This thickening is more pronounced in Unit IV, with fan-shaped strata towards the center of the basin (e.g., Fig. 15a CMPs 2000–9000). This unit is topped by the M reflection. Sedimentary infill is > 7 s TWTT in the WAB (Figs. 14b, 15a) and 4 s TWTT in the MB (Fig. 11), indicating that subsidence varied between sub-basins. This variation in subsidence is likely related to changes in basement thickness (Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018).

The top of Unit III is concordant in most of the MB and WAB (Figs. 2, 11a CMPs 5000–9000, 14b CMPs 10000–14000, 15a CMPs 2000–7000, 17). It only shows an erosive character near the basement highs, at the western basin flanks near the Straits of Gibraltar (Figs. 2, 13a CMPs 17000–15000, 15a CMPs 8000–9500), and at the deepest water sector of the sub-basin (Figs. 2, 11 CMPs 500-5000; Booth-Rea et al., 2018). This lack of erosion at shallow sectors supports that the WAB and MB were not affected by a MSC sea level drop (Booth-Rea et al., 2018). The erosional channels observed in the deepest-water sector of the Messinian sub-basin may have formed under submarine conditions, related to deep countouritic currents of latest Messinian or Zanclean age. This is supported by the presence of similar, younger comparatively large intra-Pliocene erosive channels in the region, as well as the present-day erosive features at the sea-bottom of the Straits of Gibraltar (Booth-Rea et al., 2018). In the WAB, Unit III has traditionally been interpreted as a thin package with discontinuous and chaotic internal structure (e.g., Comas et al., 1999;
Figs. 9-17), which may be the equivalent of the latest Messinian chaotic deposits found at the HBB (Figs. 18, 19) and in the nearby Valencia Trough (Cameselle and Urgeles, 2015). Due to the absence of an erosional unconformity at the top of the Messinian unit in most sectors of the WAB and MB (Fig. 2, Booth Rea et al., 2018, and this work), and assuming a similarity in the seismic expression of the Messinian sequences at the HBB-PB and the WAB-MB, we propose a different interpretation of the units in the WAB (Fig. 23). The new interpretation implies a thicker Unit III (Fig. 23d-e), which is in good agreement with the thickness of Unit III in other depocenters (Fig. 23). The thickness of Unit III in the WAB-MB has not been constrained by drilling, and the proposed interpretation might be further tested with the revision of the ages of the early Pliocene and late Miocene sediments drilled in the Andalucia G-1, ODP-161 Site 976 and DSDP 121 wells.

Pliocene units cover the entire Alboran basin, presenting similar seismic characteristics along and across the basin. These observations imply three temporally and spatially different phases of subsidence for the WAB and MB: 1) Pre-Burdigalian, 2) Serravallian-Messinian, and 3) Pliocene-Holocene. The first pulse should have been previous to the deposition of Units VII and VI because they are infilling the basement lows and show little evidence of syn-sedimentary extension. Assuming that the unit thickness is fundamentally driven by subsidence, and provided that there is enough sediment supply as appears likely in this context, the second pulse initiates with Unit V and accelerated during the deposition of Unit IV (Tortonian), with fan shape stratigraphic geometry towards the WAB center. Subsidence changed during the Pliocene-Holocene, as sedimentation is no longer confined to individual depocenters delineating the sub-basins, and extends over most basement highs across the whole region with similar depositional characteristics. There is an apparent lack of extensional structures that could control the sub-basins extension and subsidence, although basement faults may be masked by magmatic activity across the eastern Alboran. Thus, much of the region displays an enigmatic long-term subsidence that will be further discussed in section 7.

5.2 Formation and evolution of the Habibas and Pytheas sub-basins

The HBB-PB contains a sequence of Langhian-Tortonian sediment units that are folded. The folded stratigraphic geometry of unit S-L supports syn-sedimentary Serravallian–Langhian deformation (Figs. 18 CMP 6000-2000, 19c). The overlying unit LT (early Tortonian) also exhibits folding with steeply-dipping reflections that onlap unit S-L (Fig. 16 CMPs 6000–5500). In Unit LT, top and base are roughly parallel, delineating a deposit of approximately constant thickness. Unit UT (late Tortonian) is comparatively less deformed, onlaps unit LT and changes in thickness delimit its lateral extension (Figs. 18 CMPs 6000–1000, 19c CMPs 10000–11000). Deformation appears limited to pre-Messinian units. Post-Tortonian sediment shows parallel reflections onlapping unit UT (Figs. 18-20). The sedimentary package thins abruptly towards the sub-circular basin flanks (Fig. 1a)—with the depocenter located at the centre of the basin.

We propose that units S-L and LT (Langhian–early Tortonian) are syntectonic, with tilting gradually attenuated during deposition of late Tortonian Unit UT. The end of local subsidence and the folding pulse agree with the end of an extension phase proposed by Medaouri et al. (2014) for the Algerian platform, based on industry seismic lines and well data. The basin geometry is peculiar because it appears with a similar concave geometry along perpendicular transects, without clear normal faults bounding the sediment accumulation. We interpret this geometry as a pull-apart basin, mainly originated by subsidence in a transtensional setting. Deposition of Unit III occurred in a different tectonic setting, dominated by gentle basin-wide subsidence, leading towards the present-day configuration.

5.3. Formation and evolution of the South Alboran sub-basin
The SAB volcanic basement is Tortonian, and the oldest non-volcanic sediment is late Tortonian(?)-Messinian in age. The later evolution is influenced by tectonics causing the uplift of the Alboran Ridge. In agreement with Martínez-García et al. (2013), we identify three main contractional pulses. These pulses produced distinct contractional structures, tighter in the Eastern and Central Alboran Ridge, and broader towards the west, which indicates a decreasing deformation from East to West.

Different to previous works (e.g., Comas et al., 1999), we interpret the Messinian Unit III as pre-tectonic to the contractional phase. The M reflection marks the top of Unit III, which corresponds to an erosional unconformity across much of the sub-basin and is generally well-defined. Unit III displays internal parallel reflections with concordant contacts (Fig. 7c CMPs 5250-6000). Thus, we interpret Unit III deposition as previous to any major contractional pulse related to the uplift of the Alboran Ridge. While Unit III internal strata delineate constant thickness layers in the core of the main fold, Unit II thins towards the shallowest part of the AR, indicating a syn-tectonic deposit (Fig. 7).

Three intra-Pliocene discontinuities provide an accurate chronology of the deformation, also studied by Martínez-García et al. (2013). The oldest Pliocene units IIa and IIc onlap the M unconformity. These units show gentle variations in thickness, like the wedge-shape geometry of Unit Ic (Fig. 7a CMPs 6250–8000). In agreement with Martínez-García et al. (2013), we interpreted that the first compressive pulse occurred in the early Pliocene, during the deposition of units IIa and IIc. Unit IIb thins towards the Alboran Ridge (Fig. 7c CMPs 5250-8000), and may represent a second deformation pulse during late Pliocene to earliest Pleistocene times (3.28–2.45 Ma). Unit IIa fills depressions, onlapping Unit IIb. Unit Ib base is erosive partially truncating Units IIa and IIb, locally cutting into units IIc and IId (Fig. 7b CMPs 6000–5000). Unit Ib onlaps its erosive base thinning laterally confined within uplifting and contractional structures. Unit Ia shows a similar discordant character, thinning towards the Alboran Ridge. The unit’s geometry indicates a tectonic slow-down period when the erosion of Unit II occurred, between the Piacenzian contraction and the deposit of Pleistocene Unit Ib. The wedge-shape configuration and thinning towards the Alboran Ridge of Unit I imply a third tectonic pulse during the early Quaternary (Martínez-García et al., 2013). The faulting affects the shallowest unit and the seafloor (Figs. 7b CMPs 6000–5000, 7c CMPs 6750–6250), supporting that the Alboran Ridge is actively uplifted at the present.

5.4 Formation and evolution of the East Alboran and the Albero-Balearic basins

The EAB and the ABB basement contains at least three volcanic units, identified in the seismic images as vY1, vY2 and vY3. In the westernmost area (Fig. 8a), the uppermost part of sediment Unit IV has been interpreted. The thickness of Unit III significantly increases from west to east, with maximum values further east in the ABB (Figs. 8d CMPs 4000-1500), where it is characterized by the presence of evaporites (Figs. 8c CMPs 9500–13000, 8d CMPs 4000–1500, 21b CMPs 9000–12250).

In the east EAB and west ABB, the evaporite layer (MU) is deformed by halokinesis, despite a thickness of <0.3 s in TWTT. Profiles TM13 (Fig. 8c) and TM23 (Fig. 21b) reveal gliding structures, with sediment above the MU sliding downslope, where the MU base acts as a weak décollement level. This gliding generates listric faulting up slope (Figs. 8c CMPs 9250–10000, 21b CMPs 9000–10500). Based on the wedge-shaped geometry of Unit I infilling the accommodation space generated by faulting and the rather constant thickness of Unit II, halokinetic processes began at the initial deposit of Unit I (~1.8 Ma), continuing at the present, as faulting affects the seafloor (Gómez de la Peña et al., 2016). Unit II changes from four sub-units in the west (Fig. 8a CMPs 9500-11500) associated to the Alboran Ridge deformation (Martínez-Garcia et al., 2013), to one unit in the east (Fig. 8d).
The geometrical relation between sediment units and the age of the volcanic units, supports that the Al-Mansour Seamount relief is a volcanic construction, while the Yusuf Ridge, which is bounded by the Yusuf Fault on its southern flank, may have resulted from a combination of volcanic and tectonic processes. The Al-Mansour Seamount depicts a triangular shape in cross section (Fig. 8a CMPs 11000–14000), which together with the geometry of the volcanic units support a volcanic construction. The top of this high has been dated at 8.7 Ma (vY1 unit), older than the deposit of the sediment infill. Sediment Units I–III onlap the flanks of Al-Mansour, showing little deformation and supporting that volcanism was active prior to the first deposit of Unit III. The Yusuf Ridge is also a volcanic high. Units II and I onlap the Yusuf Ridge flanks (Figs. 8a CMPs 8000–10000, 8b CMPs 6500–5000), and Unit II slightly thins towards the Yusuf Ridge, while Unit I abruptly thins above it. This geometry indicates syn-sedimentary activity during the deposition of Unit II that increases during the deposition of Unit I. Thus, the Yusuf Ridge relief is possibly build up by Tortonian or older volcanic activity (vY2, ≤ 10.7 Ma old (Duggen et al., 2004)), and post-Messinian tectonic compression.

6. Implications for West Mediterranean geodynamics models

Three groups of geodynamic models calling for different lithospheric processes to explain the formation of the westernmost Mediterranean region:


ii. Mantle delamination after continental subduction of thickened continental lithosphere, leading to asymmetric removal envisaged as the peeling back of subcontinental lithospheric mantle (García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Seber et al., 1996; Calvert et al., 2000).

iii. Subduction models inferring oceanic slab rollback (Royden, 1993; Lonergan and White, 1997), slab break-off, or a sequence of both (e.g., Zeck, 1999). All models propose extension above the slab with variations related to slab geometry, and/or changes in convergence rate. Slab break-off models propose upper-plate extension caused by the replacement of the cold slab by hot asthenosphere (Blanco and Spakman, 1993).

Upper mantle tomography has given support for subduction-based models (Wortel and Spakman, 2000; Bezada et al., 2013). Growing evidence supports an E-W directed shortening on land -i.e., terrain displacement with respect to the foreland (Platt et al., 2013; Gonzalez-Castillo et al., 2015)- and similar coeval to younger marine and land extension direction (García-Dueñas et al., 1992; Bouyahiaoui et al., 2015; Garate et al., 2015). This E-W shortening direction contradicts the proposals of radial extension that pure orogenic lithospheric models supported (Dewey, 1988; Seber et al., 1996; Platt and Vissers, 1989; Calvert et al., 2000). However, a gravitational spreading component driving the extension may have occurred in a slab rollback context, whereas the NW-SE plate convergence may have distorted the pattern of extension. Geochemistry of magmatic-arc rocks can be better explained by a time sequence of subduction-related melts (e.g., Duggen et al., 2008). Current tomographic upper mantle models support that slab rollback may have followed by lithospheric tearing under the Betics (Fig. 24, Bezada et al., 2013; Chertova et al., 2014b; Fichtner and Villaseñor, 2015). However, it has not yet been possible to unequivocally differentiate the continental or oceanic nature of the slabs (Levander et al., 2014). The type
of slabs needs to be resolved, and their kinematic evolution integrated with surface geology to explain the evolution of the arcs and basins (e.g., García-Castellanos and Villaseñor, 2011; Spakman et al., 2018).

### 6.1. Available observations

A geodynamic model should explain the evolution of the sediment infill, the structure and nature of the basement, the slab distribution, and the tectonic history of the basins. Available observations to be integrated include:

#### 6.1.1. Slab dimensions and geometry

Recent Full Waveform Inversion models refined the image of slabs in the mantle (Fichtner and Villaseñor, 2015). A slab extends from under the south of the Straits of Gibraltar until the eastern Betics, being detached eastward of the western Betics (near Malaga), where it is first imaged at ~200 km depth. Below northern Morocco there is no velocity anomaly indicating a slab (Fig. 24 b-c). Receiver functions across the Betics have been interpreted to show that the slab is the Iberian lithosphere overthrusted by the Alboran Domain (Mancilla et al., 2015).

#### 6.1.2. Magmatic activity

Geochemical analyses of volcanic rocks revealed three main groups: the oldest group, with tholeiitic and calc-alkaline affinity, sampled at the center and near the margins of the Alboran Basin, the second group of Si-K rich shoshonitic magmatism onshore in the Eastern Betics and Rif, and the youngest group exhibiting alkaline affinity and mainly located on land (Duggen et al., 2008; Lustrino et al., 2011; Carminati et al., 2012) (Fig. 24 a). The tholeiitic and calc-alkaline rocks are associated with subduction-related fluids, the shoshonitic Si-K rich magmatism indicates partial melting of the subcontinental crust in a suprasubduction setting, while the alkaline Si-depleted volcanism appears related to the asthenospheric mantle upwelling, interpreted to replace delaminated or torna d lithosphere (Duggen et al., 2005; Varas-Reus et al., 2017; Hidas et al., 2016, 2019).

#### 6.1.3. Crustal structure

Basement samples together with deep MCS images support four main types of crust under the westernmost Mediterranean: (i) <30 km continental crust under most of the WAB and MB, (ii) ~20-30 km thick continental crust under the north African Margin, (iii) ~8-16 km thick magmatic-arc crust under the EAB and SE Iberian margin, and (iv) 6-7 km thick back-arc oceanic crust under the ABB basin (Booth-Rea et al., 2007, 2018; Giaconia et al., 2015; Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018) (Fig. 24 a).

The Moho depth obtained from earthquake data with onshore recordings does not image well the thickness variations under the offshore basins (Mancilla and Díaz, 2015; Díaz et al., 2016), but provides a good estimation for surrounding onshore areas. Moho depth ranges from ~55 km under the Gibraltar Arc to ~40 km west of Al-Idrissi, Trougout and Nekor Fault systems (Fig. 1, 25a) (Díaz et al., 2016), abruptly changing to the east to 25–35 km (Fig. 24 a; Mancilla and Díaz, 2015; Díaz et al., 2016). Along the NE Moroccan margin and in NW Algeria, the presence of IP-LT metamorphic rocks in the Temsamane units (eastern Rif) suggests transpression in an oblique collisional setting before the Tortonian (Rupelian-early Serravallian, ~33–13 Ma, Negro et al., 2008; Booth-Rea et al., 2012; Jabaloy-Sánchez et al., 2015; Azdimousa et al., 2018), followed by extension (late Serravallian-Tortonian, ~12–7 Ma, Booth-Rea et al., 2012). The proposed age for the transtensional processes in the Temsamane units are broadly consistent with the extensional processes observed at the HBB and PB offshore basins.
6.1.4. Basin evolution

The evolution of the marine sub-basins has several characteristics that place constraints on the geodynamic models:

- The oldest two depocenters developed above distinct continental domains and evolved differently. The WAB-MB developed on the Alboran Domain continental crust and migrated westward together with the overriding Alboran Domain plate; while the HBB-PB developed on the North African continental margin that has remained attached to Africa.

- The arcuate WAB-MB, interpreted as the fore-arc basin (Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018), contains the oldest little deformed sediment infill, so that the geometry of the contemporary subduction front should be consistent with the WAB-MB depocenters.

- Migration of the WAB-MB is interpreted to be associated to slab rollback. However, since Messinian times, magmatism and extensional processes in the arc and back-arc wereed, so at early Pliocene times, slab rollback must have strongly slowed down or stop and the WAP-MB was close to its present position.

6.1.5. Timing of extension and Pliocene contractual reorganization

The initiation of the oldest, western sub-basins of the Alboran Basin is not well established, possibly dated to earliest Miocene from sediment infill (e.g., Comès et al., 1999; Do Couto et al., 2016), or latest Oligocene from basement studies (Booth-Rea et al., 2004b; Garrido et al., 2011). Previous works interpreted the end of extension during the late Tortonian (e.g., Martínez-García et al., 2017), although our work supports that some extension continued into the Messinian. However, the end of the extension may not be synchronous across the entire system. Post-Messinian evolution is characterized by contractual reorganization with faults accommodating African-Iberian NW plate convergence. Most of these structures, such as the Carboneras and Palomares fault systems initiated in the latest Miocene or early Pliocene times, leading to the contractual reorganization of the basin during the Pliocene-Holocene (Gracia et al., 2006, 2019; Morán et al., 2016; Gómez de la Peña et al., 2016). A similar basin re-structuration with inversión of previous extensional faults appears to have occurred across the entire West Mediterranean (Zitellini et al., 2021; Camafort et al., 2020a, 2020b).

6.2. Review of proposed geodynamic models

We summarize the geodynamic evolution of the Western Mediterranean so far proposed in 8 main models (Table 3), which can be gathered in three groups (Fig. 25; Chertova et al., 2014a).

6.2.1. North-dipping continuous slab

This group of models proposes an initial north-dipping subduction zone south of the Balearic Islands, which evolves as a continuous subduction zone (Fig. 25a). From Oligocene times Model 1 trench migrated southward and westward acquiring an arcuate shape by the early Miocene (Jolivet et al., 1999; Jolivet and Faccenna, 2000; Jolivet et al., 2009). Extension in the Alboran Basin started between 30-23 Ma (late Oligocene) (Jolivet and Faccenna, 2000), and at ~5 Ma the deformation front is located near its present-day position. Model 2 subduction front is initially longer than in Model 1, extending further north (Rosenbaum et al., 2002). The trench migrates southwards until the late Oligocene, when westward directed rollback causes extension and magmatic activity in the Alboran Basin starting in Late Burdigalian. The subduction front reached its current position in the Tortonian, and extension ceased, although volcanism remained
active into the Messinian. Model 3 is similar to Model 1 but integrates slab windows to explain anorogenic volcanism (Faccenna et al., 2004, 2014). Model 4 focuses on the WAB to propose that subsidence initiated in the late Oligocene, and that the arcuate WAB-MB shape developed in Serravallian-Tortonian time, with extension and volcanism stopping in Tortonian time (do Couto et al., 2016).

6.2.2. North-dipping discontinuous slab

These models also start with a north-dipping subduction zone, but restricted to south of the Balearic Islands. Lithospheric tearing compartmentalized the slab evolution (Fig. 25b). Model 5 uses mantle tomography (Carminati et al., 1998; Wortel and Spakman, 2000) to propose an initial subduction front (~500 km long) that migrated first southward and later westward, opening the South Balearic and Alboran Basins (Spakman and Wortel, 2004). A 3D reconstruction infers that the opening of the Alboran Basin occurred ~25 Ma ago (late Oligocene) (Chertova et al., 2014b). The subduction front is bounded by slab tears along the North African margin and Balearic Islands, which allow horizontal displacement of the different crustal domains and lateral influx of the asthenosphere. Model 6 invokes onland allochthonous terranes distribution to infer a southward, and later westward motion of a segmented slab (van Hinsbergen et al., 2014). The Alboran Basin formed at ~21 Ma (early Miocene), when the subduction front developed an arcuate shape with the southern and western flanks as subduction zones, while the northern flank is a transform boundary. At 16 Ma (Langhian), a collision with North Algeria, forms the Kabylides and Tell belts, which triggers transform lithospheric-fault formation south of the subduction front, limiting active subduction to the western section (Fig. 25b).

6.2.3. South-dipping and North-dipping slabs

The models focus on land geology and assume a segmented Tethyan slab with different sections that evolve independently. A south-dipping subduction in NW Africa is separated by a transform fault from a north-dipping subduction under the Balearic Peninsula (Fig. 25c). Model 7 proposes a first Cretaceous-Paleogene subduction, followed by terrane collision during the early Oligocene and initial opening of the basins in the late Oligocene. The Alboran slab retreats north-westward and later westward (Gelabert et al., 2002). Model 8 proposes a SE-dipping subduction that migrates northward to later rotate to E-dipping subduction that retreats westward (Vergés and Fernández, 2012). Subduction initiates in the Cretaceous, with Tethys slab subducting under the North African margin until middle Eocene (~47 Ma). The Alboran Basin opens at ~27 Ma (late Oligocene). At ~5 Ma, extension stopped and compressional deformation initiates. Calk-alkaline volcanism occurs during active subduction, and alkaline volcanism is not discussed.

6.2.4. Discussion of models and synthesis

No existing model explains all available observations (Table 3). North-dipping continuous slab models (section 6.2.1) are broadly consistent with magma distribution and geochemistry, and with timing of extension and contractive reorganization. However, this category of models cannot explain either the offshore sub-basins evolution or the mantle structure. These models mainly consider land data and do not integrate marine data and basin evolution (Models 1, 2, and 3), or only integrate local offshore observations (Model 4). Model 3 integrates upper-mantle tomography, but the limited resolution does not constrain slab discontinuities. A northward-dipping continuous slab is inconsistent with (i) a segmented slab supported by recent tomography (e.g., Fitschner and Villaseñor 2015); (ii) the southeastwards plunging of the Iberian lithosphere (Mancilla et al., 2015); and (iii) the metamorphic rocks distribution along the North African margin, as these models propose a continuous collision front along this margin.
Volcanic activity is commonly not integrated, and only Model 3 integrates that information in the reconstruction (Table 3).

Models with segmented slabs are supported by improvements in the resolution of the upper-mantle tomographic images (Section 6.2.2). Late-stage volcanism related to asthenospheric influx appears a consequence of lithospheric tearing, and lateral changes in crustal domains are explained by the presence of lithospheric structures. A discontinuous subduction front may explain the metamorphic rock distribution and crustal thickness variations along North Africa, where evidences of collision and transpression exist at the Rif (NW Morocco), the Temsamane units and the eastern Kabylides (N. Algeria) (Caby et al., 2014; Jabaloy-Sánchez et al., 2015; Bruguier et al., 2017). However, the geometry of subduction along the North African margin is not yet certain. The main observables not fully explained by these models are (i) the SE dipping Iberian slab under the Alboran Domain (Mancilla et al., 2015), as the initial subduction is north-directed; and (ii) not integrated evolutionary aspects of the Alboran Basin. The fore-arc WAB-MB location and curved shape is not coherent with the west directed subduction geometry proposed in these models for the Gibraltar Arc. These models integrate the opening of the basins but do not discuss the end of extension offshore.

Finally, models that infer a segmented slab initially dipping towards the south in the westernmost Mediterranean explain the images of the Iberian SE dipping slab (Mancilla et al., 2015). Although the dynamics of the subduction zone are similar in both models, Model 8 (Vergés and Fernández, 2012) includes lithospheric tears, while Model 7 (Gelabert et al., 2002) does not consider slab segmentation. A south-dipping segmented slab appears coherent with the recent models of slab structure (Fichtner and Villaseñor, 2015), with the crustal domain distribution, and with the collisional events recorded in the North African margin. Nonetheless, upper mantle tomography is poorly constrained under north Africa, due to the sparse seismic station coverage, compared to southern Europe. These models, however, assume several poorly documented aspects (Table 3): (i) subduction initiation in Cretaceous-middle Paleogene time is not clearly supported by recent observation; (ii) volcanic affinity is partially integrated — the model does not exclude lithospheric detachment below the Betics or Rif, which may explain the alkaline volcanism, although such scenario is not discussed in a largely 2D model; and (iii) marine data were not integrated in detail, so 3D basin evolution is not discussed. The end of extension in Model 8 is coherent with contractional reorganization at ~5 Ma.

7. The western Mediterranean evolution

We propose a revised kinematic model of the evolution of the westernmost Mediterranean that integrates the evolution of the marine sub-basins to refine the existing models (Fig. 26). The arcuate shape of the WAB-MB fore-arc basin supports a northwestward migration of the basins during the early Miocene, in agreement with models that propose a northwards retreat of a south-dipping slab followed by a late Miocene westward migration. The oldest two sub-basins, WAB-MB and HBB-PB, formed above pre-Miocene metamorphic basement (WAB-MB and HBB-PB), whereas the SAB, EAB and the NE Alboran sub-basins developed above volcanic basement, mainly generated during Tortonian times. The generation of oceanic and magmatic-arc crust implies significant horizontal displacement of pre-existing basins (Fig. 26a-e).

Based on our analysis, the evolution of the westernmost Mediterranean basin can be divided into two main stages: 1) The formation stage, during latest Oligocene to late Miocene times, in which the region evolved in a dominantly extensional setting kinematically linked to subduction-related slab rollback and when the sub-basins described in this work developed, and 2) A younger contractive inversion stage that
propagated in time and space from latest Miocene to Quaternary times, which we interpret as controlled by the NW-SE convergence between the African and European tectonic plates rather than being subduction–
driven, that is active in the entire west Mediterranean (e.g., Camafot et al., 2020b). Evidence of this
younger phase are currently active structures like Yusuf and Carboneras Fault Systems and the Alboran
Ridge, which support a contractive reorganization of the westernmost Mediterranean beginning in late
most to post-Messinian times (e.g., Gràcia et al., 2006, 2012, 2019; Martínez-García et al., 2013; d’Acremont et
al., 2020). Sedimentary units differ among sub-basins until the latest Messinian, changing to a common
region-wide depositional system afterwards.

Our reconstruction starts in the early Miocene, corresponding to the oldest little-deformed offshore drilled
sediments. During Aquitanian or perhaps Burdigalian times, Unit VII was deposited in the WAB, MB, and
currently emerged Betic sub-basins (BB) (Fig. 26a) in restricted basement depocenters. These oldest
sedimentary units support that rollback started at these times (Rosenbaum et al., 2002). The position of the
WAB-MB-BB during the basins opening time should be restored towards the east, a distance equivalent to
the extension in the back-arc, which presently is not well constrained (e.g., Faccenna et al., 2004), plus the
new crust of the magmatic-arc. Considering the width of the newly-created magmatic-arc crust, this
distance is at least of ~300 km, without including back-arc extension. Due to the WAB-MB-BB arcuate
shape and widening of depocenters over time, we support a north-west directed migration of the slab
turning to a later westward retreat. We locate the subduction front based on the WAB-MB-BB geometry,
as this sub-basin was developed behind the subduction front. Based on their present-day relationship to the
slab, we assume they were above the slab hinge, although the distance between the depocenters and the
subduction front is not well constrained because it depends on the dimensions of the contractional frontal
structures at each age step. The WAB and MB lack of visible extensional faulting in basement and
sediments, and the deposits indicate vertical subsidence, which might have been driven by the density
anomaly generated by the sub-vertical slab below these fore-arc basins (Ranero et al., 2000; Fig. 24). The
continuity of the Ronda and Beni Benissan peridotites below the WAB supports a very thin continental
basement under the WAB-MB (Guadal, et al., 2019), which may have contribute to the subsidence. We
infer for the BB Miocene marine depocenters a tentative position, north of the WAB-MB depocenter (Fig.
26a). The exact relative position of these sub-basins is difficult to restore, due to the latter
compartmentalization and deformation affecting them. The metamorphism of the Temsamane units in
north Morocco (33–13 Ma, Booth-Rea et al., 2012, Jabaloy-Sánchez et al., 2015) may support an early
Miocene transpressive zone along the North African margin (currently located within the eastern Rif),
tentatively displayed in grey in Figure 26a.

During the Langhian–Serravallian times, sedimentation in the WAB-MB-BB indicates regional changes.
The WAB widened in a W-E direction, while the width of the MB (W-E oriented) remained constant,
indicating a change in dynamics, probably related to the change of subduction direction towards the west
(Fig. 26a-b). Langhian–Serravallian sediments are the first in the HBB-PB, indicating the development of a
new depocenter (Fig. 26b). While no signs of Langhian–Serravallian deformation are recorded in the
WAB-MB, the pre-Messinian HBB-PB sequence is folded (Figs. 18 CMP 7000-2000, 19c). Deformation of
pre-MSC units in the continental platform of eastern Algeria has been explained by the collision of the
subduction front with the north African margin (Bouyahiaoui et al., 2015). However, that type of
deformation does not extend into the westernmost basins (Strzerzynski et al., 2010; Badji et al., 2015;
Bouyahiaoui et al., 2015). The lack of significant Serravallian compressional deformation on land in the
HBB-PB area supports a different origin for the pre-MSC sediment deformation. The pattern of the
deformation supports that a transcurrent fault-system bounded the HBB-PB (Fig. 26b). The HBB-PB are
located on the North African continental shelf, and their position through time has been reconstructed based on paleo-tectonic reconstructions of the North African coastline (Mazzoli and Helman, 1994; Gueguen et al., 1998, Fig. 26a, b). The exact position of the Langhian–Serravallian WAB-MB depocenters is not well constrained, but can be inferred from the amount of extension in the arc and the back-arc. The different seismic units identified and the different metamorphic crustal domains (Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018) between the WAB-MB and the HBB-PB supports that they were separated by major tectonic structures allowing the westward migration of the WAB-MB continental block with respect to the North African continental crust, which contained the HBB-PB. Thus, the HBB-PB probably originated by the transtensional stresses related to this major strike-slip lithospheric boundary during the westward migration of the Alboran Domain. These transtensional processes affecting the North African margin are consistent with observations on land, describing Tortonian extensional detachments in relation to a subduction transform setting (Booth-Rea et al., 2012).

In the early Tortonian (Fig. 26c), the beginning of magmatic activity implies the creation of new areas with a volcanic basement as part of the volcanic arc (EAB) and back-arc (ABB), which led to the creation of new sedimentary depocenters. Volcanic activity led to the formation of the Djibouti Plateau, the SAB and EAB basements, and the basement of the Carboneras Fault arc, almost completed by the late Tortonian (Fig. 26d). The opening of the arc and back-arc region is roughly coeval to the exhumation of the Temsamane units onshore (inset Fig. 1), and affected by extensional detachments dated as Tortonian (~11–7 Ma) (Negro et al., 2008; Jabaloy-Sánchez et al., 2015; Azdinioua et al., 2018).

The end of significant volcanism and beginning of sedimentation associated to dominant subaerial-erosion in these new areas started in the latest Tortonian–Messinian (Fig. 26d, e). Tortonian units are different among the new depocenters. They are ≤ 0.5 s TWTT thick and have intercalated volcanic layers in the SAB, EAB, and HBB-PB sub-basins, and are much thicker in the WAB-MB, related to an important subsidence pulse in this depocenter. In the H2B-PB, subsidence continued during the Tortonian, but it was subdued and restricted to narrow depocenters. It is inferred that extension at the ABB stalled. The position of the deformation front at 9 Ma times has been reconstructed by on-land paleomagnetic data (Crespo-Blanc et al., 2016).

Messinian sediments occur across most of the region and filled-up the existing sub-basins. Although subsidence appears to diminish in sub-basins and sedimentation extends out of the depocenters, sediment facies remain different among depocenters. In much of the WAB-MB, Messinian sediments appear as thin discontinuous deposits with a chaotic structure. In the SAB and the western side of the EAB, this unit is similar to the WAB, but with more continuous deposits. In the EAB, HBB and PB, Messinian units thicken to ≤ 1.5 s TWTT. The eastern part of the EAB and in the ABB the Messinian largely consists of evaporites, that are not imaged to the west (Figs. 8c-d, 21b). The top of the Messinian (M reflection) appears different for each sub-basin. Late Messinian units onlap the individual constructions of the volcanic arc like ridges, indicating that by the end of the Messinian volcanic arc activity terminated.

During the late Miocene–early Pliocene to recent, the southern sector of the Iberia Peninsula was progressively uplifted in relation to the slab tearing or detachment under the Betics (Garcia-Castellanos and Villaseñor, 2011; Mancilla et al., 2015). During the uplift, possibly much of the emerging marine Miocene sediments were eroded and currently, only the deepest depocenters are preserved along much of the Betics, indicating that the original width of the basin was considerably larger (Figs. 1b and 26e-g).

The first deposit with a distribution not associated to local subsidence or volcanic basement growth is Pliocene–early Pleistocene Unit II (Fig. 26f). Subsidence analysis of wells in the north margin of the
Alboran Basin show that subsidence extended towards the eastern basin in the Zanclean (Docherty and Banda, 1995). In agreement, Unit II extends across the entire deep basin displaying similar facies. The change in subsidence is coeval to changes of slab dynamics described for late Messinian time (e.g., Iribarren et al., 2007). We interpret that the change in subsidence is related to a stalling of slab migration, perhaps related to the slab detachment under the eastern Betics. This change, together with the waning of magmatic activity (Duggen et al., 2004, 2008) led to thermal subsidence of the whole region, and may explain the similar basin-wide subsidence.

The latest Miocene change in stress configuration initiated a phase of shortening mostly accommodated along a few major tectonic structures, probably re-using previous tectonic domain boundaries (Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018; Gràcia et al., 2019) (Fig. 26e-g). These are the Carboneras Fault system (Gràcia et al., 2006, 2012; Moreno, et al., 2016), the Al-Idrissi Fault system (Martínez-García et al., 2013; Lafosse et al., 2016; Medina and Cherkaoui, 2017; Gràcia et al., 2019), the Alboran Ridge Fault system (Martínez-García et al., 2013, 2017) and the Yusuf Fault system (Alvarez-Marrón, 1999; Martínez-García et al., 2011, 2013, 2017). Shortening in the Alboran basins probably propagated from east to west, with evidence of shortening onshore in the Eastern Betic basins and the Palomares margin during the latest Tortonian (~8 Ma) (De Larouzière et al., 1988; Booth-Rea et al., 2004a; Gràcia et al., 2015). Structures further west, such as the Alboran Ridge and the Yusuf fault systems, initiated their activity later during the latest Messinian to Zanclean. The lack of compressive structures in contemporary sedimentary basins suggests that the main deformation focused along those few crustal-scale structures (Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018). During the Pliocene-Holocene period, the Alboran Ridge and the Yusuf fault systems accommodated a NW-SE shortening estimated at ~20–30 km (Gómez de la Peña, PhD Thesis, 2017). Due to the activity of the Yusuf and Alboran Ridge fault systems, the HBB and PB were displaced towards the NW during the Pliocene-Holocene (Fig. 26e-g).

In summary, differences in stratigraphy and tectonic structure supports individual evolution of sub-basins until the Messinian time, and a younger common evolution for the whole region after its transformation into a single basin. While Miocene depocenters appear related to arc and back-arc extension and fore-arc subsidence, Pliocene-Holocene unit deposition appears controlled by basin-wide subsidence and conditioned by local contractional or strike-slip fault systems.

8. Conclusions

We provide the first basin evolution study for the westernmost Mediterranean. The region is characterized by several large depocenters that formed on different types of basement and had a distinct evolutionary history during the Miocene. In contrast, the entire region has a similar Pliocene-Holocene tectonic and stratigraphic evolution.

Extension in the Alboran Basin initiated in the Chattian–Burdigalian and continued until the Messinian. The first sediments in the Algo-Balearic Basin are late Miocene (possibly Tortonian) in age, although Messinian salt obscures images of the older stratigraphy. During the latest Miocene, regional extension stopped, evolving since then to a compressive setting, although not synchronously in all the basin. The Miocene compartmentalized subsidence, crustal domains distribution, and Pliocene-Holocene contractional structures help to understand the approximate position of the depocenters through time.

The oldest depocenter is the WAB-MB (Aquitanian-Burdigalian), including marine basins currently found onshore in the Betics. The WAB-MB may have initiated in a position some 300 km eastwards of its current location.
location. The lack of extensional structures in the WAB-MB depocenter, together with its position above the slab hinge, suggests a subsidence evolution model in a fore-arc setting for this basin.

The subsequently created depocenter is the HBB-PB (Langhian). The HBB-PB strata are folded and separated from the WAB-MB depocenter by a major lithospheric-scale strike-slip fault. This boundary accommodated the westward motion of the WAB-MB allowing the independent evolution of both areas, and provided a transtensional regime forming the HBB-PB depocenter.

The development of a volcanic arc basement formed new sedimentary depocenters that record an initiation in Tortonian time at the central, eastern and northeastern Alboran Basin. Extension in the back-arc created new oceanic crust at the ABB. Meanwhile, subsidence in the WAB-MB and the HBB-PB depocenters continued.

Each depocenter evolved independently until the Messinian. A change in deposition occurred near the Miocene-Pliocene transition. The Miocene sediment units were restricted by basement depocenters, but Pliocene-Holocene sedimentary units are widespread across the entire basin. Marine sedimentation on the BB only continues in the depocenters closest to the coast. The Pliocene-Holocene is characterized by contractional deformation mainly accommodated by reactivation of pre-existing crustal structures separating basement domains, such as Carboneras, Yusuf and the Alboran Ridge Fault Systems.

Different geodynamic models of the westernmost Mediterranean evolution fail to explain the marine basin evolution. The most suitable group of models include a discontinuous slab that migrated westward during the middle to late Miocene. The geometry of the subduction front should be coherent with the arcuate shape of the WAB-MB depocenter. Based on our observations, we propose a refined kinematic model of the westernmost Mediterranean (Fig. 26) that integrates basin evolution from the earliest Miocene to the present-day.
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Figure captions:
Figure 1: (a) Regional bathymetric map of the Alboran Sea compiled using the swath-bathymetric data acquired during the IMPULS-06, EVENT-10, TOPOMED-11, SHAKE-15 and IDRISSI-16 marine cruises (e.g., Gracia et al., 2006, 2012) and the existing datasets from “Instituto Español de Oceanografía” (IEO, Ballesteros et al., 2008, Gómez de la Peña et al., 2016) and GEBCO. Land topography is from the SRTM-3 grid. Main tectonic structures are displayed (Gracia et al., 2019). AIF: Al-Idrissi Fault System, ARF: Alboran Ridge Fault System, CF: Carboneras Fault System, PF: Palomares Fault System, YF: Yusuf Fault System. Inset: Main tectonic units of the Westernmost Mediterranean with the location of the studied area boxed in red (modified from Gómez de la Peña et al., 2018). The current location of the AlKaPeCa terrains is shown, as well as a sketch of the Tethys subduction front. ABB: Algero-Balearic Basin, Alb: Alboran Basin, BBP: Beni-Boussera Peridotites, GC: Gulf of Cadiz, Guad. Basin: Guadalquivir Basin, Lig.: Ligurian Basin, RP: Ronda Peridotites, Tyr.: Tyrrenian Basin. (b) Location of MCS profiles, commercial and scientific wells and dredges (see map legend with the details). The location of the figures is shown (red and yellow lines). Red numbers depicted the location of the main sedimentary depocentres onshore the Betic: 1: Fortuna Basin, 2: Lorca Basin, 3: Mazarrón Basin, 4: Vera Basin, 5: Tabernas-Sorbas Basins, 6: Nijar Basin, 7: Baza Basin, 8: Guadix Basin, 9: Granada Basin, 10: Malaga Basin.

Figure 2: (a) Depth migration of profiles CAB-104, parallel to the SE margin, and (b) CAB-125, perpendicular to the margin (modified from Booth-Rea et al., 2018). Along these profiles, is well notice how the Messinian top is a paraconformity along most of Iberian continental slope, while is an unconformity in areas disturbed by mud diapirism. Most of the unconformities are intra-Pliocene. The cross point of the two profiles, as well as the projected position of the DSDP-121 well are shown. See Figure 1a for location. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3.

Figure 3: (a) Ages and seismostratigraphic units identified in the Alboran Basin. Each column in the table represents a geographical area: WAB: West Alboran Basin, MB: Malaga Basin, SAB: South Alboran Basin, N. AR: North Alboran Ridge (Alboran Channel), EAB: East Alboran Basin, ABB: Algero-Balearic Basin and HBB: Habibas Basin. Limits between units are displayed in the same colour as the respective horizon at the seismic profiles. Red wavy lines represent unconformities. (b-g) Examples of the seismic facies found at (b) the West Alboran Basin (WAB), (c) the South Alboran Basin (SAB), (d) northern Alboran Ridge, (e) the western East Alboran Basin (EAB), (f) the eastern East Alboran Basin and the Algero-Balearic Basin (ABB) and (g) the Habibas and Pytheas basins (HBB and PB) (see Figure 1b for location). c: chaotic deposits, UU: Upper Unit, MU: Mobile Unit, LU: Lower Unit. TS: Top surface, BS: Bottom surface, M: Mesosonic top reflection, vA: volcanic unit in Alboran Ridge area, vY: volcanic unit in Yusuf Fault area, vD: volcaniclastic unit in Djibouti plateau area. Mt. B: Metamorphic basement, Mg. B: Magmatic basement. Correlation with ODP Leg 161 sites and HBB-1 is displayed.

Figure 4: Correlation between the seismostratigraphic units defined in this article (colored columns) and the previous units defined in the Alboran Basin depocenters. The correlation is organized by basins, starting from the WAB–MB (West Alboran and Malaga basins) (left panels), compared to Jurado and Comas 1992 (J&C, 92), Soto et al., 2012 (S, 2012) and Do Couto et al., 2016 (DC, 2016) units. In the central panels are the SAB (South Alboran Basin), N.AR (North Alboran Ridge) and EAB (East Alboran Basin) areas, compared to the Martínez-García et al., 2013 (MG, 2013), Juan et al., 2016 (J, 2016), Álvarez-Marrón, 1999 (AM, 1999), Booth-Rea et al., 2007 (BR, 2007) and Giaconia et al., 2015 (G, 2015) units. Finally, in the right section are the units of the HBB and PB, compared with the units proposed by Medaouri et al., 2014 (M, 2014). The wavy red lines depict the inferred erosive unconformities from the mentioned studies.
**Figure 5:** Stratigraphic column of the eastern Betic basins, representative of the Vera, Sorbas, Nijar and Tabernas basins (see Figure 1b for location). Modified from Giaconia et al., 2014.

**Figure 6:** Time migration of profiles EVDT1-3B (a), EVDT1-3A (b) and TM16 (c), parallel to the SAB depocenter (Fig. 1b). Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3, and location of ODP Leg 161 site 979 is shown. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 applying a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments.

**Figure 7:** Time migration of profiles (a) TM05, (b) TM04 and (c) TM03, perpendicular to the SAB depocenter (see location in Figure 1b). These profiles record the Alboran Ridge uplift in the Pliocene-Holocene units, shown by the wedge of sediments displayed on the flank of the Alboran Ridge. Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 using a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments.

**Figure 8:** Time migration of profiles (a) TM11, (b) TM12 (c), TM13, and (d) TM14, running perpendicular to the Yusuf Fault in the EAB (Fig. 1b). These profiles show transition from the EAB to the ABB, where Messinian evaporites are imaged. The base of the MU (salt unit) is an inverse polarity reflection (Figs. 8c CMPs 9250-13000, 8d CMPs 5000-2000) that acts as décollement surface to the overlying material and causes normal faulting of units I and II (Fig. 8c CMPs 9250–1000). Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3, and location of ODP Leg 161 site 977 is shown. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 taking into account a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments. B: basement.

**Figure 9:** Time migration of profile ESCI-ab1 (see location in Figure 1b). Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 taking into account a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments.

**Figure 10:** Time migration of profile TM28 (see location in Figure 1b). Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 using a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments.

**Figure 11:** Time migration of profile TM22 (see location in Figure 1b). This profile is divided in (a) Western section and (b) Eastern section. Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 considering a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediment.

**Figure 12:** Time migration of profile TM29 (see location in Figure 1b). This profile is divided in (a) Western section and (b) Eastern section. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. ODP 976: ODP Leg 161 site 976. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 considering a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments.

**Figure 13:** Time migration of profile TM17 (see location in Figure 1b). This profile is divided in (a) Northwestern section and (b) Southeastern section. Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Also are shown the location of DSDP 121 and ODP site 976 (Leg 161). Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 taking into account a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments.

**Figure 14:** Time migration of profile TM19 (see location in Figure 1b). This profile is divided in (a) Northwestern section and (b) Southeastern section. Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are
identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 considering a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments.

**Figure 15:** Time migration of profile TM21, running across the WAB in a SW-NE section (see location in Figure 1b). This profile is divided in a) Southwestern section and b) Northeastern section. Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 taking into account a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments.

**Figure 16:** Time migration of profile TM18 (see location in Figure 1b). This profile can be correlated with the Andalucia G-1 well (located northern) using the CAB cruise profiles (Fig. 1b), as due to the steepness of the margin the sedimentary thickness highly increases in N-S direction. Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 considering a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments.

**Figure 17:** Time migration of profile TM20 (see location in Figure 1b). Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 using a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments.

**Figure 18:** Time migration of profile TM15 (see location in Figure 1b). This profile runs across the HBB. Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 considering a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments. Mt.B.: metamorphic basement.

**Figure 19:** Time migration of profiles TM14 (a), TM13 (b), TM12 (c), and TM11 (d) (see location in Figure 1b), running perpendicular to TM15 (Fig. 18). These profiles show the HBB variations from east to west. Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 taking into account a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments. Mt.B.: metamorphic basement, YF: Yusuf Fault.

**Figure 20:** Time migration of profiles TM15 (a) and TM09 (b) in the PB area (Fig. 1b). Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 considering a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments.

**Figure 21:** Time migration of profile TM23 (see location in Figure 1b) (modified from Gómez de la Peña et al., 2016). This profile is divided in a) Northwestern section and b) Southeaster section. The deeper parts of the profile are masked by the multiple energy. Main structures and seismostratigraphic units are identified. Age of the units is defined in Figure 3. Vertical exaggeration is of ~x:2.5 aplying a 2100 m/s velocity, suitable for the sediments.

**Figure 22:** SW-NE geological section of the Lorca Basin (see location in Figure 1b). The units are described in the color legend. Modified from Booth-Rea et al., 2004c.

**Figure 23:** Proposed interpretation for the late Miocene sediments at the WAB. (a) Un-interpreted and (b) interpreted sections of profile TM12 (see location in Figure 19c), running across the HBB (Fig. 1). (c) Un-interpreted and (d) interpreted sections of profile TM17 (see location in Figure 13a), across the WAB (Fig. 1). (e) Proposed interpretation of the same section of profile TM17, base on the similarities in the seismic expression of the late Miocene sediments of the HBB and the WAB.
**Figure 24:** a) Crustal configuration of the Gibraltar Arc System. The crustal thickness is shown where available, based on receiver function analysis (Mancilla et al., 2015) and Wide-Angle seismic data (Booth-Rea et al., 2018, Gómez de la Peña et al., 2020). Gray dots marked the location of the onshore stations used for the receiver functions analysis, and yellow dots the location of the Ocean Bottom Hydrophones/Seismometers used in the Wide-Angle seismic data acquisition. The pink dotted line delimited the Alboran Domain allochthonous terrain extension. The red dotted-line englobes the magmatic-arc crust. A brown dotted line depicts the boundary between the Alboran and North African continental crusts. The volcanic outcrops are displayed (see legend for details). AIF: Al-Idrissi Fault, ARF: Alboran Ridge Fault, CF: Carboneras Fault, TF: Trougout Fault, YF: Yusuf Fault. b and c) Tomographic images showing the slab distribution at 100 and 300 km depth, respectively (modified from Chertova et al., 2014a).

**Figure 25:** Illustration of the three different subduction scenarios for the opening of the Alboran Sea (modified from Chertova et al., 2014a). (a) Long initial trench along the entire Gibraltar-Balearic margin (Rosenbaum et al., 2002; Faccenna et al., 2004; Jolivet et al., 2009; Do Couto et al., 2016). (b) Initial subduction zone near the Balearic Islands, north-dipping discontinuous slab (Spakman and Wortel, 2004; van Hinsbergen et al., 2014). (c) S-SE dipping initial subduction zone under North Africa (Gelabert et al., 2002; Vergés and Fernández, 2012).

**Figure 26:** Reconstruction of the Alboran Basin evolution based on the ages, distribution and deformation of the sedimentary units. Main depocenters and structures are shown (see figure legend for details). The African coastlines at 23 Ma (pink dash line) and at 16 Ma (orange dash line) are depicted as reference (Mazzoli and Helman, 1994; Gueguen et al., 1998). CF: Carboneras Fault, ARF: Alboran Ridge Fault, YF: Yusuf Fault, AIF: Al-Idrissi Fault. (a) **Burdigalian.** Only the WAB-MB-BB depocenter was created. The shape of this basin mimics the subduction front. (b) **Langhian-Serravallian.** Sedimentation at the WAB, MB and BB sub-basins continues, and the basin expands. A new depocenter, the HBB-PB, appears. The extensional directions change from NW-SE to E-W. A transform fault is inferred between these two depocenters, in order to allow the westward displacement of the subduction front. (c) **Early Tortonian.** The WAB-MB-BB depocenter continues travelling towards the west, following the slab rollback. First volcanic activity (red triangles) take place. (d) **Late Tortonian.** The magmatic activity in the area continues, creating a volcanic arc (volcanic outcrops are depicted with red polygons and the areas floored by volcanic basement are depicted with red lines). The extension in the arc and the westward migration of the subduction zone derives in a rapid migration of the WAB-MB-BB. At this time, the position of the subduction front and the WAB are well constrained on the basis of Crespo-Blanc et al. (2016) reconstruction. First sediments in the EAB, intercalated with volcanic layers, are identified, and probably oceanic crust is already created at the ABB. (e) **Messinian.** Extensional processes in the basin ceased. The volcanic activity in the basin finished, and main depocenters are already created. Contractive reorganization of the basin begins in some areas, as at the NE margin, where the Carboneras Fault starts its activity. (f) **Pliocene.** The general contractive reorganization of the basin occurred. The Yusuf Fault and the Alboran Ridge front fault begins to accommodate this convergence. Activity along these boundaries has a direct consequence the NW displacement of the SAB and the HBB-PB depocenters. Sedimentation is no more controlled by the subduction system and the basement distribution, and a Pliocene unit with similar characteristics over the entire region is observed. (g) **Quaternary.** The basin continues its evolution in this compressive setting, till acquired is current configuration. The Carboneras Fault, the Yusuf fault and the Alboran Ridge frontal fault are still active, and the Al-Idrissi fault appears. Sedimentation continues homogenous along the entire basin.
**Table 1:** Acquisition parameters for the new MCS data presented in this study. The total length of seismic profiles acquired in each survey is indicated.

**Table 2:** Processing flow applied to the TOPOMED, EVENT-DEEP and ESCI Alboran profiles (for further details, see Gómez de la Peña et al. (2018) supplementary material). Profiles TM23 and EVDT1-3A were processed in a different way. These two profiles (Figs. 6a and 21) were processed to study the sedimentary cover, and thus, multiple energy arriving later has not been removed. Seismic processing of these profiles included full streamer geometry, spherical divergence correction, normal-move-out correction based on velocity semblance analysis, stretching mute, amplitude recovery, time migration and a time and spatial variant band-pass filter.

**Table 3:** Comparison between the different models discussed and the data to fit. Nd: North directed, Sd: South directed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profiles</th>
<th>TOPOMED-GASSIS Leg 1</th>
<th>TOPOMED-GASSIS Leg 2</th>
<th>EVENTDEEP Leg1</th>
<th>EVENTDEEP Leg2</th>
<th>ESCI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>km acquired</td>
<td>~1900 km</td>
<td>~650 km</td>
<td>~300 km</td>
<td>~1470 km</td>
<td>~90 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of active channels</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between channels (m)</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total streamer length (m)</td>
<td>5397</td>
<td>6332</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streamer depth (m)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance source-first channel (m)</td>
<td>150.8</td>
<td>203.7</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP distance (m)</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>3.125</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample rate (ms)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace length (s)</td>
<td>12 / 14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.5 / 3.125</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22 / 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shot distance (m)</td>
<td>37.5 / 50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>50 / 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-guns depth (m)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-guns volume (c.i.)</td>
<td>3060</td>
<td>4600</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>7118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Journal Pre-proof
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-processing</th>
<th>Deconvolution</th>
<th>Multiple attenuation</th>
<th>Dip Move Out (DMO) correction</th>
<th>Final stack</th>
<th>Post-stack time migration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Quality control and trace edition</td>
<td>- Wiener predictive deconvolution in Tau-P domain</td>
<td>- Surface Related Multiple Elimination (SRME)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Finite Difference migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Anti-aliasing band pass filter (1-3-100-120 Hz)</td>
<td>- Surface Consistent predictive deconvolution</td>
<td>- First velocity analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Automatic Gain Control (AGC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Minimum phase conversion</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Radon demultiple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Output final time-migrated line in segy format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Resampling (4 ms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Quality factor amplitude correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Set up geometry information accounting for streamer feathering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Band-pass time-space variant filter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data to fit | Geodynamic models
---|---
| N directed (Nd) continuous slab | Nd discontinuous slab | Sd discontinuous slab

| Slab distribution | Poor | Poor | Poor | Poor | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Direction of subduction | Poor | Poor | Poor | Poor | Poor | Poor | Good | Good |
| Magma geochemistry | - | Fair | Good | - | Good | Good | - | Fair |
| Crust nature | Poor | Poor | Poor | Poor | Fair | Fair | Good | Good |
| Basin evolution | Poor | Poor | Poor | Poor | Poor | Poor | Fair | Fair |
| Pliocene reorganization | Good | Good | Good | Good | - | - | - | Good |
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