

Detailed analytical methods

In situ zircon U-Pb isotopes, trace element contents and Lu-Hf isotopes were analysed at ETH Zürich. U-Pb isotopes were measured simultaneously with trace elements (from the same ablated volume) using a Thermo Element XR SF-ICP-MS coupled with a Resonetics Resolution 155 type ablation system. We used a 30 μm spot size, 5 Hz repetition rate, 2.0 J/cm^2 energy density (fluence) and 40 s ablation time after five cleaning pulses and 30 s of gas blank acquisition. We performed the analyses in six sessions during which the analytical conditions were similar. For U-Pb dating, GJ-1 reference zircon (Jackson et al., 2004, Horstwood et al., 2016) was used as a primary reference material, while zircons 91500, Plešovice, AUSZ7-1, AUSZ7-5, Rak-17, Temora2 and LG-0302 were measured as validation reference materials (Wiedenbeck et al., 1995; Sláma et al., 2008; Kennedy et al., 2014; von Quadt et al., 2016, Webb et al., 2020; Black et al. 2004; Gutiérrez et al. 2018, respectively). The average precision of these reference materials (RM) ranged from 0.9% to 3.2% (2 SE) in case of secondary RM older than 30 Ma, while the younger have 6.7–12.5% (2 SE) average precisions (further details are in Supplementary Data, Table S2). Validation reference materials for dating, covering an age interval between 1063.5 Ma and 2.409 Ma, were used to correct the matrix dependent age offsets following the procedure described in Sliwinski et al. (2017). Data reduction of in-situ dating by LA-ICP-MS was done using IOLITE (Paton et al., 2011) combined with VizualAge (Petrus and Kamber, 2012). The Th disequilibrium correction was performed after alpha dose-correction (Sliwinski et al., 2017) assuming a constant Th/U partition coefficient ratio of 0.33 ± 0.06 (1σ ; Rubatto and Hermann, 2007) and using the equations of Schärer (1984). The in-situ dates were not corrected for common Pb contents; however, during data reduction, integration intervals were set to exclude the common Pb contaminated signal intervals and data were filtered according to their discordance ($[(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{235}\text{U})_{\text{Age}} - (^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U})_{\text{Age}}] / (^{207}\text{Pb}/^{235}\text{U})_{\text{Age}} < 10\%$). Average uncertainty of the individual zircon dates is given as 2 SE and it is between 1.4 and 2.3%. For the in-situ zircon trace element analysis we used NIST610 as primary reference material and zircon 91500 for quality control, and an in-house synthetic reference material (Synthetic Zircon Blank) to correct the Nb concentrations. Target elements were Si, Zr, REE, Y, Hf, P, Nb, Ta, U, Th, Ti (see Supplementary Data, Table S2), and either Al or Ba were measured for monitoring glass, apatite inclusions. Si (15.2 wt% in zircon) was used as internal standard for data reduction done by IOLITE. Zircon compositions with Al above detection limit were discarded from the database.

Zircons from four key samples were also dated by chemical abrasion isotope dilution thermal ionisation mass spectrometry (CA-ID-TIMS) at ETH Zürich. Select grains, most of which were previously analysed by LA-ICP-MS, were extracted from zircon mounts and individually annealed in a muffle furnace at 900 °C for 48 h. The annealed crystals were then subjected to chemical abrasion (Mattinson, 2005) at 190 °C for 12 hours in 29 M HF in individual 200 µl PFA microcapsules placed in a Parr digestion vessel. The zircons were then kept on a hotplate at 80 °C in 6 M HCl for several hours, followed by repeated rinsing with HNO₃. The crystals were then loaded into individual 200 µl PFA microcapsules, spiked with the EARTHTIME ²⁰⁵Pb-²³³U-²³⁵U tracer solution (Condon et al., 2015; McLean et al., 2015) and dissolved in ca. 70 µl 29 M HF and trace HNO₃ in a Parr digestion vessel at 210 °C for 60 h. Following dissolution, the samples were dried down and converted to chloride by placing them back in the oven overnight in 6 M HCl. The solutions were then dried down and re-dissolved in 3 M HCl, and purified to U and Pb through anion exchange column chromatography (modified from Krogh, 1973). Once purified, the U and Pb fractions were combined in cleaned 7 ml PFA beakers and dried down with trace 0.02 M H₃PO₄ prior to loading on single outgassed zone-refined Re filaments with a Si-gel emitter (Gerstenberger and Haase, 1997). U and Pb isotope analyses were conducted with a Triton Plus TIMS. Both Pb and UO₂ analyses were performed using static multicollection in Faraday cups connected to 10¹³ Ω resistors, except for ²⁰⁴Pb collected in the axial secondary electron multiplier (von Quadt et al., 2016). ¹⁸O/¹⁶O in uranium runs was determined online by monitoring mass 272 (Wotzlaw et al., 2017). Mass fractionation of Pb was corrected using an instrumental mass fractionation factor derived from compiled analyses of ²⁰²Pb-²⁰⁵Pb-spiked samples. For U, instrumental mass fractionation was corrected with the known ²³³U/²³⁵U of the spike and assuming a sample ²³⁸U/²³⁵U ratio of 137.818 ± 0.045 (2σ; Hiess et al., 2012). All common Pb was considered laboratory blank and was corrected using the long-term isotopic composition of the Pb blank of the lab (Supplementary Data, Table S2). Data were processed with the Tripoli and Redux U–Pb software packages (Bowring et al., 2011; McLean et al., 2011). All ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U dates were corrected for initial ²³⁰Th/²³⁸U disequilibrium using a fixed partition coefficient ratio derived from a compilation of natural and experimental data ($D^{\text{Th/U}}_{\text{[zircon]}}/D^{\text{Th/U}}_{\text{[melt]}} = 0.183 \pm 0.061$, 1σ) and assuming that variations in Th/U between zircons reflect changes in magma composition rather than in U/Th partitioning.

In-situ Lu-Hf isotopic analysis was performed using Resolution 193 nm ArF laser ablation system coupled to a Nu2 multicollector inductively-coupled-plasma mass-spectrometer (MC-

ICP-MS) at the ETH Zürich. Ablation was carried out using He as a sweep gas with a flow rate of ~0.37 l/min and combined with Ar (~0.85 l/min) using a 50 µm spot size and a 5 Hz laser pulse repetition rate and an energy density of 4 J/cm². Data reduction was done by using IOLITE 4.0. Lutetium and Yb were analysed in order to correct for isobaric interferences on ¹⁷⁶Hf using ¹⁷⁶Yb/¹⁷³Yb = 0.796218 (Thirlwall and Anckiewicz, 2004). Mass bias correction coefficients for Hf, Yb, and Lu isotopes were determined based on the natural ratio of ¹⁷⁹Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf and ¹⁷³Yb/¹⁷¹Yb (¹⁷⁹Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf = 0.7325; ¹⁷³Yb/¹⁷¹Yb = 1.132685; Chu et al., 2002), respectively. The Lu mass bias fractionation was assumed to be the same as Yb. The accuracy and precision of the data obtained was monitored through the systematic measurements of the well characterized RM Mud Tank (0.282507; Woodhead and Hergt, 2005), 91500 (0.282307; Wu et al., 2006), Temora (0.282680; Wu et al., 2009), and GHR1 (0.283050; Eddy et al. 2019). The standard reference materials were chosen in order to have a range in Yb/Hf ratios to test the accuracy of the ¹⁷⁶Yb correction following the protocols of Fisher et al. (2014). Repeated standard analyses yielded results for Mud Tank: ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf = 0.282492 ± 0.000021 (n = 83); 91500: 0.282309 ± 0.000039 (n = 31); Temora: 0.282675 ± 0.000032 (n = 28); GHR1: 0.283061 ± 0.000030 (n = 27) with uncertainties reported as 2 standard deviations. Initial ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf ratios and εHf were calculated using the crystallization age of each sample, the decay constant of Söderlund et al. (2004) for ¹⁷⁶Lu (1.867x10⁻¹¹ a⁻¹) and the CHUR parameters of Bouvier et al. (2008). All uncertainties are reported at the 2 sigma level.

Bulk rock composition of pumices and pyroclastic rocks was determined at the Bureau Veritas Mineral Laboratories (ACME Labs; <http://www.acmelab.com/>) using ICP-OES and ICP-MS technique for major-minor and trace elements, respectively. Internal standards and duplicate sample analysis by sessions were used to check the reliability of the results.

Major and trace element composition of volcanic glass was analysed for each pyroclastic unit, except for SAU (where no fresh glasses were found) and from the Lénárdaróc outcrop. Major elements in 20-22 glass shards per sample were analyzed at GEOMAR (Kiel, Germany) using JEOL JXA 8200 electron microprobe. The analytical conditions were 15 kV accelerating voltage, 6 nA current and 5 µm electron beam size for all analyses. The conditions correspond to the electron beam current density of 0.076 nA µm⁻² on sample, which is within the recommended range (<0.1 nA µm⁻²) to minimize the Na loss during analysis, especially in a combination with short (5s in our work) counting time for Na (e.g., Kuehn et al., 2011). Full analytical details and data on long-term analytical precision can be

found in Portnyagin et al. (2020). Some elements (e.g. Ti, Fe, Mn, Mg), which are typically analysed by electron microprobe with high precision, occur in the glasses studied at very low concentrations approaching and below the quantification limit of microprobe (typically 200-300 ppm at the given analytical conditions). High precision quantitative data for these elements are provided by LA-ICP-MS analyses. Data on reference glasses analyzed along with the samples in this study are provided in Supplementary Data, T table S3.

Trace element analyses were obtained at the Institute of Geosciences, Christian-Albrecht University of Kiel (Kiel, Germany) using ICP-MS Agilent 8900 and a Coherent GeoLas ArF 193 nm Excimer LA system operated with a fluence of 5 J cm^{-2} , at a repetition rate of 10 Hz and 24 μm ablation craters. Analyses were performed using a Rotatable Channel Cell (RCC) sample chamber (Wuhan Sample Solution Analytical Technology Co. Ltd.). Helium (0.7 L min^{-1}) with addition of $14 \text{ mL min}^{-1} \text{ H}_2$ were used as carrier gas. The carrier gas was mixed with Ar ($\sim 1 \text{ L min}^{-1}$) prior to introduction to the ICP-MS. Ten major elements (Si, Ti, Al, Fe, Mn, Mg, Ca, Na, K, P) and 31 trace elements were analyzed. Analyses included 20 s background (laser-off) and 30 s signal (laser-on) measurements. Dwell time for different elements varied from 5 to 20 ms depending on their abundance. One complete measurement cycle lasted 0.607 ms and initial data reduction was performed in Glitter software (Griffin et al., 2008), that included manual selection of intervals for signal integration and preliminary calibration. The intensities corrected for background and averaged over the selected intervals (typically 10-20 s) were normalized to the intensity of ^{43}Ca isotope and converted to concentrations by matching the sum of major element oxides to 100 wt% (e.g. Pettke et al., 2004). Some data for phosphorus have been excluded due to unexpected contamination by the epoxy EpoThin-2 from Buehler used in this study. The previously distributed epoxy EpoThin-1 from the same provider had negligibly low P content and has been used in a numerous works (e.g. Portnyagin et al., 2020 and references therein). The calibration and correction of instrumental drift used data on ATHO-G reference glass (Jochum et al., 2006), which was measured in duplicate after every 18 points on unknown samples. Typically, 10-12 glass shards were analyzed for every sample. Data on reference glasses analyzed along with the samples in this study are provided in Supplementary Data, Table S3. Further analytical details can be found in (Portnyagin et al., 2020).

References:

- Black, L.P., Kamo, S.L., Allen, C.M., Davis, D.W., Aleinikoff, J.N., Valley, J.W., Mundil, R., Campbell, I.H., Korsch, R.J., Williams, I.S., Foudoulis, C. (2004). Improved $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ microprobe geochronology by the monitoring of a trace-element-related matrix effect; SHRIMP, ID-TIMS, ELA-ICP-MS and oxygen isotope documentation for a series of zircon standards, *Chem. Geol.* 205, 115–140.
- Bouvier, A., Vervoort, J. D., Patchett, P. J. (2008): The Lu–Hf and Sm–Nd isotopic composition of CHUR: Constraints from unequilibrated chondrites and implications for the bulk composition of terrestrial planets, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 273, 1–2, pp. 48–57, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.010>.
- Bowring, J.F., McLean, N.M., Bowring, S.A., 2011. Engineering cyber infrastructure for U–Pb geochronology: Tripoli and U-Pb_Redux. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 12, Q0AA19.
- Chu, N.-C., Taylor, R.N., Chavagnac, V., Nesbitt, R.W., Boella, R.M., Milton, J.A., et al. (2002). Hf isotope ratio analysis using multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry: an evaluation of isobaric interference corrections. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 17(12), 1567–1574. doi: 10.1039/B206707B.
- Condon, D.J., Schoene, B., McLean, N.M., Bowring, S.A., Parrish, R.R., 2015. Metrology and traceability of U–Pb isotope dilution geochronology (EARTHTIME Tracer Calibration Part I). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 164, 464–480.
- Eddy, M.P., Ibañez-Mejia, M., Burgess, S.D., Coble, M.A., Cordani, U.G., DesOrmeau, J., Gehrels, G.E., Li, X., MacLennan, S., Pecha, M., Sato, K., Schoene, B., Valencia, V.A., Vervoort, J.D. and Wang, T. (2019), GHR1 Zircon – A New Eocene Natural Reference Material for Microbeam U–Pb Geochronology and Hf Isotopic Analysis of Zircon. *Geostand Geoanal Res.* 43: 113–132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ggr.12246>
- Fisher, Ch.M., Vervoort, J.D., Hanchar, J.M. (2014). Guidelines for reporting zircon Hf isotopic data by LA-MC-ICPMS and potential pitfalls in the interpretation of these data, *Chemical Geology*, 363, 125–133, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.10.019>.
- Gerstenberger, H., Haase, G., 1997. A highly effective emitter substance for mass spectrometric Pb isotope ratio determinations. *Chem. Geol.* 136, 309–312.
- Griffin, W., Powell, W., Pearson, N., and O'Reilly, S. (2008) GLITTER: data reduction software for laser ablation ICP-MS, *Laser Ablation-ICP-MS in the earth sciences*. Mineralogical association of Canada short course series, v. 40, pp. 204–207.
- Gutiérrez, F., Payacán, I., Szymanowski, D., Guillong, M., Bachmann, O., Parada, M.A. 2018. Lateral magma propagation during the emplacement of La Gloria Pluton, central Chile. *Geology*; 46 (12): 1051–1054. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1130/G45361.1>
- Hiess, J., Condon, D.J., McLean, N., Noble, S.R., 2012. $^{238}\text{U}/^{235}\text{U}$ systematics in terrestrial uranium-bearing minerals. *Science* 335, 1610–1614.
- Horstwood, M.S.A., Košler, J., Gehrels, G., Jackson, S.E., Mclean, N.M., Paton, C., Pearson, N.J., Sircombe, K., Sylvester, P., Vermeesch, P., Bowring, J.F., Condon, D.J., and Schoene, B. (2016). Community-Derived Standards for LA-ICP-MS U–(Th–)Pb Geochronology – Uncertainty Propagation, Age Interpretation and Data Reporting.

Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research 40, 311-332. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-908X.2016.00379.x>

- Jackson, S. E., Pearson, N. J., Griffin, W. L., and Belousova, E. A. (2004). The application of laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry to in situ U–Pb zircon geochronology. *Chem. Geol.* 211 (1), 47–69. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2004.06.017
- Jaffey AH, Flynn KF, Glendenin LE, Bentley WC, Essling AM (1971): Precision measurement of half-lives and specific activities of ²³⁵U and ²³⁸U. *Phys Rev C* 4(5):1889–1906
- Jochum KP, Stoll B, Herwig K, et al. (2006) MPI-DING reference glasses for in situ microanalysis: New reference values for element concentrations and isotope ratios. *Geochem Geophys Geosyst* 7(Q02008), doi:10.1029/2005GC001060
- Keller et al., 2018, A stochastic sampling approach to zircon eruption age interpretation. *Geochemical Perspectives Letters* 8, 31-35.
- Kennedy, A.K., Wotzlaw, J.-F., Schaltegger, U., Crowley, J.L., and Schmitz, M. (2014). Eocene zircon reference material for microanalysis of U-Th-Pb isotopes and trace elements. *The Canadian Mineralogist* 52(3), 409-421. doi:10.3749/canmin.52.3.409.
- Krogh, T.E., 1973. A low-contamination method for hydrothermal decomposition of zircon and extraction of U and Pb for isotopic age determinations. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 37, 485-494.
- Kuehn SC, Froese DG, Shane PAR (2011) The INTAV intercomparison of electron-beam microanalysis of glass by tephrochronology laboratories: Results and recommendations. *Quaternary International* 246(1-2):19-47
- Mattinson, J.M., 2005. Zircon U–Pb chemical abrasion (“CA-TIMS”) method: Combined annealing and multi-step partial dissolution analysis for improved precision and accuracy of zircon ages. *Chem. Geol.* 220, 47-66.
- McLean, N.M., Bowring, J.F., Bowring, S.A., 2011. An algorithm for U-Pb isotope dilution data reduction and uncertainty propagation. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 12, Q0AA18.
- McLean, N.M., Condon, D.J., Schoene, B., Bowring, S.A., 2015. Evaluating uncertainties in the calibration of isotopic reference materials and multi-element isotopic tracers (EARTHTIME Tracer Calibration Part II). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 164, 481-501.
- Paton, C., Hellstrom, J., Paul, B., Woodhead, J., and Hergt, J. (2011). Iolite: Freeware for the visualisation and processing of mass spectrometric data. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 26(12), 2508-2518. doi:10.1039/C1JA10172B
- Petrus, J.A., and Kamber, B.S. (2012). VizualAge: A Novel Approach to Laser Ablation ICP-MS U-Pb Geochronology Data Reduction. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research* 36(3), 247-270. doi:10.1111/j.1751-908X.2012.00158.x.
- Pettke T, Halter WE, Webster JD, Aigner-Torres M, Heinrich CA (2004) Accurate quantification of melt inclusion chemistry by LA-ICPMS: a comparison with EMP and SIMS and advantages and possible limitations of these methods. *Lithos* 78(4):333-361

- Portnyagin MV, Ponomareva VV, Zelenin EA, Bazanova LI, Pevzner MM, Plechova AA, Rogozin AN & Garbe-Schönberg D (2020). TephraKam: geochemical database of glass compositions in tephra and welded tuffs from the Kamchatka volcanic arc (northwestern Pacific). *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*. 12: 469-486. doi:10.5194/essd-12-469-2020
- Rubatto, D., and Hermann, J. (2007). Experimental zircon/melt and zircon/garnet trace element partitioning and implications for the geochronology of crustal rocks. *Chemical Geology* 241(1), 38-61. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.01.027.
- Sláma, J., Košler, J., Condon, D.J., Crowley, J.L., Gerdes, A., Hanchar, J.M., et al. (2008). Plešovice zircon — A new natural reference material for U–Pb and Hf isotopic microanalysis. *Chemical Geology* 249(1), 1-35. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.11.005.
- Sliwinski, J.T., Guillong, M., Liebske, C., Dunkl, I., von Quadt, A., and Bachmann, O. (2017). Improved accuracy of LA-ICP-MS U-Pb ages of Cenozoic zircons by alpha dose correction. *Chemical Geology* 472, 8-21. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2017.09.014
- Söderlund, U., Patchett, P.J., Vervoort, J.D., Isachsen, C.E. (2004): The ^{176}Lu decay constant determined by Lu–Hf and U–Pb isotope systematics of Precambrian mafic intrusions. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 219, pp. 311-324
- Thirlwall, M.F., Anczkiewicz, R. (2004): Multidynamic isotope ratio analysis using MC–ICP–MS and the causes of secular drift in Hf, Nd and Pb isotope ratios *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, 235, pp. 59-81
- von Quadt, A., Wotzlaw, J.F., Buret, Y., Large, S.J.E., Peytcheva, I., Trinquier, A., 2016. High-precision zircon U/Pb geochronology by ID-TIMS using new 1013 ohm resistors. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 31(3), 658-665. doi: 10.1039/C5JA00457H.
- Webb, P., Wiedenbeck, M., Glodny, J. (2020): G-Chron 2019 – Round 1: An International Proficiency Test for U-Pb Geochronology Laboratories; Report on the 2019 Round of G-Chron based on Palaeozoic Zircon Rak-17 (Distribution: September 2019), (Scientific Technical Report STR - Data; 21/06), Potsdam: GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences. <https://doi.org/10.48440/GFZ.b103-2106>
- Wiedenbeck, M., Allé, P., Corfu, F., Griffin, W.L., Meier, M., Oberli, F., et al. (1995). Three natural zircon standards for U-Th-Pb, Lu-Hf, trace element and REE analyses. *Geostandards Newsletter* 19(1), 1-23. doi:10.1111/j.1751-908X.1995.tb00147.x
- Woodhead, J.D. and Hergt, J.M. (2005), A Preliminary Appraisal of Seven Natural Zircon Reference Materials for In Situ Hf Isotope Determination. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research*, 29: 183-195. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-908X.2005.tb00891.x>
- Wotzlaw, J.F., Buret, Y., Large, S.J.E., Szymanowski, D., von Quadt, A., 2017. ID-TIMS U-Pb geochronology at the 0.1% level using 10 13 Ω resistors and simultaneous U and $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ isotope ratio determination for accurate UO_2 interference correction. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* 32, 579-586.
- Bouvier, A., Vervoort, J.D., Patchett, P.J. (2008): The Lu–Hf and Sm–Nd isotopic composition of CHUR: constraints from unequilibrated chondrites and implications for the bulk composition of terrestrial planets *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 273, pp. 48-57.

Wu, Fu-Yuan, Yang, Yue-Heng, Xie, Lie-Wen, Yang, Jin-Hui, Xu, Ping (2006): Hf isotopic compositions of the standard zircons and baddeleyites used in U–Pb geochronology. *Chemical Geology*, 234, 1–2: 105-126,
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2006.05.003>.